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## EDITORIAL PREFACE.

This volume of cuneiform texts from the archives of Murash $\hat{u}$ Sons of Nippur forms the direct continuation of Series A, Vol. IX, by H. V. Hilprecht and A. T. Clay. In accordance with a resolution of the Publication Committee of the Babylonian Expedition, the authors of that volume had originally planned to edit Vol. X likewise together. With this aim in view, the undersigned had even gone to Constantinople and transliterated and translated all the texts of the same archives preserved in the Imperial Ottoman Museum. But, in consequence of the remarkable success of the University's fourth campaign at Nippur, which soon afterwards led to the founding of the Clark Research Professorship of Assyriology by Messrs. Edward W. and Clarence H. Clark, it became necessary to rearrange the work of the Babylonian Section of the University in accordance with the new conditions and regulations. The time and attention of the occupant of this new chair being required principally for the study of the thousands of earlier documents, which unfortunately for the greater part are unbaked and therefore often in a deplorable condition, ${ }^{1}$ he readily accepted the friendly offer of his co-laborer to continue the publication of the Murash $\hat{l}$ archives alone, reserving for himself only the right to make his previous researches on the proper names of this class of tablets, as far as not presented by Prof. Clay, accessible to Assyriologists through additional notes characterized by the letters $E d$. ( = Editor). With the exception of these, Prof. Clay is alone responsible for the preparation of this entire volume and for the views expressed therein. Since he has devoted the best part of the last three years to the study of these texts and is already favorably known to Assyriologists from his conspicuous share in preparing Vol. IX, he does not need any introduction to the scientific world from his former teacher and present colleague. His work will speak for itself.

As already indicated, my additional notes refer chiefly to the identification and elucidation of proper names. Since the appearance of Vol. IX, in 1898, the investigation of Semitic proper names has made considerable progress. Among the recent notable publications in this line I mention only Johns, Assyrian Deeds and Documents, and the

[^0]same author's Assyrian Doomsday Book; Lidzbarski, Handbuch der Nordsemitischen Epigraphik, and his contributions to the Ephemeris fiur Semitische Epigraphik, edited by himself; Littmann, Zur Entzifferung der Şafâ Inschriften; Dussaud and Macler, Voyage archéologique au Ṣafâ et dans le Djebel ed-Drûz, and the same two authors' Mission dans les Régions désertiques de la Syrie Moyenne—all of which have rendered valuable service in helping to identify West-Semitic names contained in the Babylonian cuneiform texts here published. Suffice it to state expressly, that Aramean and Kana'anean names unaccompanied by a reference to an inscription are taken from the collections of Lidzbarski, while the Safaïtic material as a rule goes back to the last-mentioned publication of Dussaud and Macler, and the Iranian names quoted for comparison are given on the authority of Justi's Iranisches Namenbuch.

Greatly facilitated as the researches of the Assyriologist occupied with a study of proper names at present are, compared with what they were but a few years ago, the difficulties confronting him at every step, as indicated in the Introduction to Vol. IX, p. 9, are still extraordinary. In some cases, therefore, no effort was made to analyze the names published in the following pages. In other instances the view set forth must be regarded merely as a first attempt to offer a solution, while in still other cases several theories have been proposed, each of which will have to be examined with regard to its own merits. In scarcely another branch of Semitic philology we have to confess our ignorance as often as in the interpretation of proper names, which to a certain degree may be compared with geological stratifications and petrifactions reflecting the Werdeprocess of by-gone ages. We see the results of this process before us, but we are frequeutly at a loss to understand the causes which led to peculiar developments in certain directions, and to fix the historical order of the different stages through which it passed.

The different nations and races represented by proper names from the archives of Mirashî Sons are almost as numerous as those referred to in Acts II, 8-11. The Babylonia of the time of Arataxerxes I. and Darius II. evidently contained more foreigners than direct descendants of the earlier inhabitants. To judge from the material published in Vols. IX and X , the population of the small but rich alluvial country was a thorough mixture of native Babylouians and Cassites, ${ }^{1}$ Persians and Medians (IX, pp. 26, ff.), and even Indians, ${ }^{2}$ including also members of the mountainous tribes of Asia

[^1]Minor-among them ${ }^{\text {r }}$ the Tabalites ${ }^{1}$ or Tibarenians and the Hittites ${ }^{2}$-inhabitants from Syria ${ }^{3}$ and the shores of the Mediterranean, ${ }^{4}$ Ammonites ${ }^{5}$ and Moabites, ${ }^{6}$ Jews and Edomites (cf. IX, pp. 26, ff.), Egyptians, ${ }^{7}$ and other nations. ${ }^{8}$ Considering how little we know of the language and history of most of these ancient peoples, it is only natural that Assyriologists should differ with regard to the meaning of certain proper names.

In Vol. IX, p. 19, I referred to a palæographical peculiarity of the Murashû tablets, according to which the plural sign $M E S H$ is generally written after $i l u$ and ${ }^{d}$ Shamash in connection witl the Babylonian transliteration of West-Semitic proper names, arriving at the result "that in either case MESH cannot indicate a plurality of gods, but must have been employed for expressing a sound which appeared to the Babylonian mind as one of their own plural endings," and that this sound possibly was the pronominal suffix of the first person ( $\hat{\imath}$, because other Semitic languages frequently have אלי, where the scribes of the Murashî tablets have $i l u^{p h u r}$. For reasons given below, pp. 12 f , the correctness of this view, adopted since by several Assyriologists, has been challenged by Prof. Clay, who at the same time revives Prof. Barton's view, as published in the Proceedings Am. Or. Soc. of April, 1892. To my regret, I am still unable to accept that theory and to hold with Dr. Clay, "that the scribes when they wrote $i l u^{p l}$ did not intend to represent anything that even had the appearance of the suffix." For certain reasons which will become clear in the course of the following discussion, it will be wiser to treat $i l u^{p l}$ and ${ }^{d} S h a m a s h h^{p l}$ separately.

As I cannot attempt here to enter into a full examination of a most important and interesting question, I confine myself to submitting some of the material at my disposal in support of my former view, viz, that $i l u^{p l}$ was employed by the Babylonian scribes for expressing a West-Semitic sound similar to their plural ending $\hat{c}$, resp. $\hat{\imath}$, in other words, that they wrote $i l u^{p l}$ to render אלי. The question arises, what does in proper names

[^2]signify? According to a view widely prevailing among Semitists, prominently including Nöldeke, ${ }^{1}$ and formerly also shared by the present writer, it means "my god." In many of the well-known cases, however, this translation cannot well be accepted. ${ }^{2}$ Apart from other reasons, I call attention to the fact that many of the personal names compound with מלכי , etc., as first element, in several Semitic dialects offer parallel formations with only מלכ, ,עם, אה ,אב, ,אלב, etc., in the same position, and, moreover, that sometimes even the same person is written either way, ${ }^{3}$ that, furthermore, in such cases where these nouns appear as the final element of full names, as a rule ${ }^{4}$ only the latter (shorter) forms are found in Hebrew and other Semitic dialects, the short vowels $a, i, u$ according to a general tendency being commonly dropped ; and that, above all, in the Babylonian transliteration of both classés of West-Semitic names we find the ideographic writing $A N, A D,{ }^{5} S H E S H$ (or $P A P$ ), $L U G A L$ (or $M A N$ ), which cannot be rendered "my god," "my father," "my brother," "my king," etc., but only " god," "father," "brother," "king," etc., side by side with the phonetic writings ili (NI-NI), $a-b i a-h i, m i l-k i$, etc. The most natural solution of the whole question seems, therefore, to be to assume that at least in many of the cases, מלכי ,עמי, ,אחי ,אבי ,אלי, etc., is only the scriptio plena for $i l i$, " god," abi, "father," etc., and that the common early Babylonian use of $N I-N I$ (which, like the single $N i$, according to $S^{a}, 20,21$, can only be read ili) iustead of $A N$, must be regarded as an evident endeavor on the part of the scribes to reproduce that ancient pronunciation $i l i$ (not $i l u$ ) " god," which they actually heard, in cuneiform writing. ${ }^{6}$

This points to a very extensive use of the vowel $i$, as an ending of the absolute case, among certain West-Semitic tribes, instead of the $u$ generally preferred in Arabic and Assyrian. The cuneiform texts from the time of the Hammurabi Dynasty down to the end of the fifth century corroborate it. For the earlier period the collection of proper

[^3]names to be published by Dr. Ranke in Series D, Vol. III, will furnish the necessary material. The lists of proper names given by Zimmern, K. A. T., ${ }^{3}$ and Johns, $l l . c c$., in addition to the Assyrian lists of the eponyms, enable us to trace this peculiarity during the 'Amarna period and the first half of the first millennium. It will, therefore, be sufficient for my purpose to prove it in connection with the West-Semitic proper names of Vols. IX and X of our own publication.

Excluding all the cases in which the first element may possibly be interpreted as standing in the construct case, and the very numerous cases in which the name itself stands in the genitive (cf. Nabû-a-qa-ab-bi, Nabû-ha-qa-bi,Nab̂̂-qa-ta-ri,Ilipl-ga-ba-ri, Shamash-na-da-ri, Bit-ili-a-dir-ri, Za-bi-ni, Gab-ba-ri, Ha-bi-si; or ends in $i i^{p l}$, as e.g., $A-q a-b i-i l i^{p l}, A-d a r-r i-i l i^{p l}, R a-h i-m i-i l i^{p l}, R a-\alpha b-b i-i l i^{p l}$, etc., where the final $i$ of the first element may be due to the first $i$ of the second element $\left(i i^{p l}\right)$, I quote only such examples in which the $i$ is attached as a case ending to the entire name, being regarded as a compound substantive (cf. IX, p. 24) and standing in the nominative, or such examples in which $i$ is joined to the first element standing in the absolute case. Cf. Qusu-iu-a-ḩa-bi, Bêl-ia-a-hab-bi, Bêl-ba-rak-ki, $\Pi i^{p l}-q \alpha-t a-r i$ ( $q a t a r i$ must be a verbal form 3 p. m. sing. perf., like the three preceding verbs, and ga-ba-ri in other names), Shamash ${ }^{p l}-7 a-$ din-ni, ${ }^{1} M i-i n-i a-m i-i-n i$, on the one hand, and ${ }^{d} M i l-h i-a b u-u s ̣ u r,{ }^{d} I l-t e-i h h-r i-n u \hat{u} \hat{\imath},{ }^{d} I l-t e-$ hli-ri-a-bi, etc., on the other. These examples could easily be multiplied from our own and other inscriptions. It must surely be regarded as remarkable that the original $i$ has been so often preserved, notwithstanding the fact that the Babylonian scribes were inclined to attach the case ending $u$ even to foreign names, especially when abbreviated (cf. $I l i^{j l}-b a-r a k-k u$ (rare!), Ili-za-bad-du (common), $I l i^{p l}-n a-t a n-n u, M i-n a-h i-m u, R a-h i-$ $m u, Z a-b u-d u, A-q u-b u,{ }^{2} N a-t u-n u$, etc.), or to drop the final vowel altogether, in accordance with a general tendency noticeable in connection with proper names (cf. Nabû-zabad, Ammu-la-din, Ilivi-ga-bar, Nashhyu-qa-tar (Johns), Mi-in-ia-a-me-en, Mii-na-hi-im). This much is sure, that the $i$ found so commonly in connection with WestSemitic names is seen only exceptionally in the pure Babylonian names of our inscriptions. The use of this $i$ in the absolute case must, therefore, be regarded as a peculiarity of West-Semitic proper names.
${ }^{1}$ The final element, $l a-d i n-n i$, written also $l a-d i n$, and (with dissolution of final $n$, cf. Vol. IX, p. 27, note 3)
 (Ili-li-in-dar, Shamesh-li-in-dar, IX and X) from נד, la-rim (Mar-la-rim-me ( $=m i$ ), Mar-la-ar-[me] with synkope, Ma-ri-la-rim, Mar-la-rim, Abi-la-rim, Ahi-la-rim, etc. (Johns, A. D. D., Vol. III, p. 100), Ahi-la-ri-im (X, below), Hif. from רום, and perhaps la-ki-im (cf. $d a-a-\hbar u-u-l a-k i-i m$ IX) $=l a-q i-i m$, Hif. from $\quad$ For other verbal forms (Imper., Imperf. Perf, Part.) of these four verbs are commonly found as an element of certain West-Semitic proper names. Cf. $I l-i a-d i-n u$ (р. 50, note §), $N a-d i-r u, N a-d i-i r, S h a m a s h-n a-d a-r i$ (IX and X), $A d d u-r a-a m-m u(a)$ (IX), Mil-ki-ra-mu (Johns, A. D. D., Vol. III, p. 186), Ilai-rimmu (Winckler, Sargon), Shamesh-qa-me, Atar-qa-mu (Johns, A. D. B.), and Bi. יהו-יקים', etc.
${ }^{2}$ In some cases final $u$ may be due to a preceding labial.

In view of what has been stated, it is clear that $i l u^{p l}$ used by the Babylonian scribes of our tablets exclusively in connection with West-Semitic proper names to express the idea of "god," generally rendered by ilu alone in Babylonian proper names, must have been chosen intentionally to discriminate between the West-Semitic pronunciation of "god" (ili) and that of the Babylonian (ilu). In other words, the Semitic Babylonian $i l u^{p l}$ (also the lugal ${ }^{p l}$ of the 'Amarna tablets) and the Sumerian NI-NI (doubling of the single $N I$, which itself means ili) of the earlier inscriptions are to be viewed in the same light as a kind of scriptio plena, in either case the plural writing being chosen to secure a pronunciation for the last vowel of $A N(i l u)$ or $L U G A L(s h a r r u)$ or $N i(i l i)$ similar to that of the Babylonian plural ending $\hat{e}$, resp. $\hat{\imath}$. But the length of the last vowel of ili, etc., follows from this peculiar writing in Babylonian as little as it does from אלי in the Hebrew proper names, or from the use of the scriptio plena in Semitic palæography in general. We are accustomed to designate as phonetic complement in Assyrian what is known as mater lectionis in other Semitic languages. Cf. my remarks on this peculiar use of MESH in Assyrian (Assyriaca, pp. 55, f., note) and the similar use of the vowels $a, i, u$, especially (but not exclusively!) at the beginning of words ( $i$-ish-talal, e-ik-du, $u$-ush-ziz, $e$-ip-she-tu-í-a (二epshêtuwa or epshêtoa), ú-ul-lu-úu, etc.). In the 'Amarna tablets this use of the plural sign MESH, the "Hauchlaut," and the vowels $a, i$, $u$ as matres lectionis or phonetic complements, is much more extensive than in pure Babylonian and Assyrian texts (cf. Bezold, Oriental Diplomacy, pp. xiii, xvii, f., xxiii, f.).

To establish the pronunciation of $A N^{p l}$ as $i l i=$, beyond any reasonable doubt, it will only be necessary to examine the two names from the Neo-Babylonian literature quoted by me, p. 50, below. In the Concordance of Proper Names of Vol. X we find the name Ili-lindar written in the following three ways: $A N^{p l}-l i-i n-d a r, A N-l i-i n$-dar (with syncope of the second vowel between identical consonants) and $A N$-in-dar. In order to read the last writing correctly, we have to read $A N$ as $i l i$ ( $l i$ i-indar, i.e., Ilindar $=$ Il-lindar $=$ Ili-lindar). The second example is even more instructive. In Johns, Assyr. Deeds, No. 345, E, 1 and 361, R, 12, we read the name $A N$-ia-di-nu, which evidently is identical with $A N^{p l}-\alpha$-di-nu (Evetts-Strassmaier, Neriglissar, 66, 7). It shows that $A N^{p l}$ must be read $I l i$ to complete the verbal form $i \bar{a} d \hat{\imath} n u$ required by the first writing.

The evidence adduced is regarded as ample to show that the correct transliteration of $A N^{p l}$ in the West-Semitic names of our texts must be $i l i=$ אלי, "god." The fact that $M E S H$ is omitted a few times in the Murash $\hat{u}$ texts and very frequently in other inscriptions (e.g., in those published by Johns and Strassmaier ${ }^{1}$ ) would indicate either that $A N$

[^4]when appearing in West－Semitic names was also pronounced $i l i{ }^{1}$ ，or that it stood for $i l=$ אל，אל־צפן ，the final vowel being frequently dropped，as in Hebrew proper names（cf． and texts $A N^{p l}=i l i$ appears also at the end of West－Semitic names，where the Old Testament and the Corpus Inscriptionum Semiticarum have only אז．As stated above，the general tendency of dropping short case vowels at the end of names is largely responsible for the defective writing אל．At the same time there are traces in the Greek transliterations of Semitic names which show plainly that even at a very late time the final $i$ of $i l i$ when standing at the end of proper names was pronounced occasionally．Cf．，e．g．，the names given by Dussaud and Macler，Mission dans les Régions Déscrtiques de la Syrie Moyenne，

 Paraíגov（ニッグ），etc．Nöldeke found difficulty in explaining this $i$ satisfactorily （S．B．B．A．，1880，p．768），while Lidzbarski was inclined to ascribe it to Roman influ－
 $A N$ sufficiently in my transliteration，I rendered the former by $i l \hat{\imath}$ and the latter by $i l i$ ， at the same time now regarding the final $i$ of $A N^{p l}$ as short．

Johns（Assyr．Doomsday Book，p．15）in examining into the question as to how the people about Harran pronounced their word for＂god，＂came to the conclusion that they • said＂Al，Alla，not ilu，nor $E l$ ，＂basing his rendering of $A l$ principally upon the writing of Al－Nashhu－milki，Al－S＇i＇－milki，etc．But where did the Assyrians ever pronounce the word for＂god＂（א）in connection with the god＇s name immediately following in their inscriptions？I do not believe that the people about Harran pronounced it either．Al in the names quoted can scarcely be anything else than the article $\breve{a} l$ or $\breve{e} l$ ，known from Lidzbarski＇s list of proper names to have been used in connection with certain deities． Cf．גרם־אלבעליאלבעלי（＂The Ba‘al＂），גרם־אלשׁהרי（＂The Moon－god＂），etc．The fact that Nashhu and Sin are here still used as appellatives is interesting and important with regard to their meaning and origin．The Ar．article $\breve{a} l$ or $\breve{e} l$ is also known to occur in certain West－Semitic proper names of the Murash $\hat{\imath}$ archives．Cf．${ }^{d}$ Il－te－hi－ri－abi
 Moon－god is my light，＂the final $i$ in this case being long），and Ba－ri－ki－${ }^{d}$ Il－tam－mesh，oc－ curing alongside of Ba－rik－ki－dShamesh（－mesh），i．e．，＂Blessed of the Sun－god．＂The Sun－ god ${ }^{d}$ Il－tam－mesh $=$ אלשמשש，hitherto not identified，occurs in quite a number of West－ Semitic proper names．Cf．${ }^{d}$ Il－ta－mesh－di－i－ni（Strassmaier，Nabuchod．363，4），and （without the det．of ilu）Il－tam－mesh－na－ta－nu（Nabon．497：4），Il－tam－mesh－i－la－ai

[^5](Cyr. $34: 14$, cf. also Nabon. $583: 18$ ), Il-tam-mesh-nu-ur (Cyr. 58:6), and Abi-Il-temesh (Nabon. 638:4), and (without the article ĕl) ${ }^{d}$ Tam-mesh-i-la-ai (Nabon. $554: 4$ ), ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Tam-mesh-nûri (82-3-23, 271, 1), and (without the det. ilu) Tam-mesh-na-ta-nu (K. 961: 15) ${ }^{1}$ and Tam-mesh-id-ri. ${ }^{2}$ In the last four mentioned names we may also read idiog. Shamesh(-mesh) instead of the phonetic Tam-mesh. I furthermore call attention to such names as ${ }^{d} I l-t e-r i-h a-n a-n a$ (Strassmaier, Cyr. 177, 3), Te-ri-hi-li-ia (Vol. X, below), and even the pure Babylonian name $T e$-ir ${ }^{3} \cdot n a d i n$-aplu (Johns, l.c., pp. 17, 53, 76) occurring alongside of She-ir-nadin-aplu. It seems almost certain that Têr and Iltêri are identical with the god Shêr (um), known as a local deity of Harran (Johns, l.c., p. 16), but it must remain doubtful for the present, whether in view of the hypok. name $T e-{ }_{c}^{-r i}(\mathrm{~V} R ., 8: 31)$ or $T e e^{\prime}-e-r i(\mathrm{~V} R ., 8: 65)$, borne by a prince of Qidri and apparently representing Ar. שׂהרי, Shêr is to be regarded as a contraction of שהר, "Moon," or is identical with the Assyrian Shêru = $\begin{gathered}\text { © } \\ \text {, " Morning-red." In all prob- }\end{gathered}$ ability the two different words were frequently mixed by the Assyrian scribes. Cf. she-hi-ri, as a synon. of she-e-ri, quoted in Delitzsch, Assyr. Handwörterbuch, p. 635.

The promiscuous use of $s h$ (pronounced $s$ ?) and $t$ (pronounced $t h$ ?) as the first radical of שׁה שר שמשׁ, though written with a sibilant in all the Semitic dialects, affords us a welcome glimpse into the historical process which at an early time in many instances led to definite laws as to the corresponding use of the sibilants and dentals in the different Semitic dialects. At the same time the peculiar writing of the Sun-god as $I l-t a-m e s h$ and $I l$-te-mesh is important, as it allows us to transliterate ${ }^{d} U D$-MESH in West-Semitic proper names (rendered in Vol. IX as Shamsh $\hat{\imath}=S h a m s h i$ in view of the peculiar use of MESH as a phonetic complement discussed above in connection with $i l u^{p l}$, and in consideration of such frequent writings as $S(h) a m(\dot{U})$-si-iia- $\alpha-b l$ (doubtless $=$ שמשי-יהב $)$, $S(h) a m-s i-i d-r i, S(h) a m$-si-ila-ai, etc.) also as $S h a(e) m e s h(-m e s h)$ or even Tam-mesh, corresponding to Hebrew שֶֶׁ, rather than to Arab. shamsi.

Besides ili (and il) occurring most frequently in West-Semitic proper names, as shown above, the Murasht tablets know of two other West-Semitic words for god, viz., ilai or ilahi, corresponding to Aram. אלָה, and Arab. ilahi (Gen.), and iluha, corresponding to Hebr. אֲלוֹה (cf. Baethgen, Beiträge zur Semitischen Religionsgeschichte, pp. 270, ff., 297, ff.). For the word ilai "god" (not "my god"), cf. already the names quoted by Johns (A. D. B., p. 15), I-la-i-a-bi, written also Ila-ai-abi, Nusku-ila-ai, Nabitila-ai, $S(h) a m-s i-i l a-a i$, and also Ila-ai-ram-mu (Sanh. II, 54). As to ilahi, cf.

[^6]Mannu-kiti-la-hi-i, abbreviated (therefore, the last $i$ lengthened ${ }^{1}$ ) from a name like Mannu-kî-ilahi-li", " Wo is strong like god?" (cf. Man-nu-ki-Ishtar-li" and Mannu-kî-Ashur-li, Johns, A. D. D., Index). As to iluha, cf. Mannu-lu-hata (abbreviated ${ }^{2}$ from a name like Mannu-kî-iluha-li') and also $\underset{\sim}{H} a-m a-r i-i l i-u-a^{3}=$ עמר-אלוהּ.

In the list of gods found in Vol. IX, pp. 76, f., Iâma occurring frequently at the end of Hebrew names (cf. IX, p. 27), and regarded by Prof. Clay "as the Babylonian equivalent of יהו, the contracted form of the tetragrammaton," was left out intentionally. Notwithstanding all that has been said in favor of such a comparison, I am unable to recognize any god in iâma. Frequently as it occurs, not even once the det. for itu precedes it. Whenever the cuneiform inscriptions transliterate a Hebr. whether at the beginning or end of a name, they invariably write $I a-u$, $I a-a-u$, $I a-a-h u,{ }^{d} I a-\underline{-} u-u$, ${ }^{d} I a-\alpha-h ె u-u$ (cf. Zimmern, K. A. T., ${ }^{3}$ pp. 465, ff.). Iâma at the end of West-Semitic names, like $A \underline{i} i-i \alpha-\alpha-m a$, is nothing but the Hebrew ending $\square_{\square}^{,}{ }^{4}$ which in all probability is a "Weiterbildung" of $\boldsymbol{N}_{\tau}^{\prime}$ or $\boldsymbol{N}_{\tau}^{\prime}$ by adding an emphatic p or ma. For, cf. Hebr. (Kings) alongside of بֲבִּיָּה (Chronicles), a name borne by the same person. In a number of cases $\boldsymbol{N}_{\top}$ doubtless is an abbreviation of the god ידו, but in many instances it is surely nothing else than the common Semitic Rufe-suffix ia, which at the bottom may be identical with the vocative particle $i \hat{a}$ in Arabic. As I expect to develop my view with regard to Semitic hypokoristika more fully at another place, ${ }^{5}$ I abstain from entering into a discussion of this subject in this Preface, being satisfied with the general statement that abbreviated Semitic names are commonly characterized by a lengthening of the last vowel of the last retained element of the name or by the addition of the particle $i \hat{u}$ (corresponding to our "he (da)" in German) frequently strengthened by an additional emphatic consonant $m, n, t$. This Rufe-suffix apparently has nothing to do with the suff. of the first person sing. (so-called "Kose-suffix"). It rather originated in connection with an effort on the part of the speaker to reach the ear of a person somewhat distant from him. In order to attract his attention he necessarily held the last vowel longer, i.e., emphasized it.

[^7]A word remains to be said with regard to the three Aramaic dockets containing the pronunciation of the god NINIB, for which we searched hitherto in vain (cf. pp. 8, f.). Prof. Clay pointed out certain difficulties which prevented his arriving at more positive results. There are a few tablets more which show very faint traces of one or more of the letters constituting the god's name. From a careful examination of the three inscriptions here treated, I have come to the conclusion that the third character can only be 7 , while the last letter is not $\Omega$, but probably $\Pi$, the eighth letter in the Hebrew alphabet. On No. 29 of the cuneiform texts it is well preserved. If the scribe had made the mistake assumed on p. 8, he would doubtless bave erased the very pronounced additional line on the tablet. I am also inclined to read a $\Pi$ on the original of No. 87 , while the unpublished tablet (C. B. M., No. 5508), where the character seems a more pronounced $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, cannot be regarded as decisive, because the very faint inscription is not incised but only drawn with a black fluid (partly covered by other black spots) on the surface. It is so faint that it could not be reproduced satisfactorily by means of photography.

I therefore propose to regard אנרשׂח as the Aramaic equivalent of NINIB, which at once recalls the ideograph-writing $N I N-S H A \underline{H}$ "lord of the boar" and the Syriac (on which cf. Jensen, Kosmologie, and Hrozny, Mythen von dem Gotte Ninrag. If this reading be accepted, the Biblical Nisrok seems to be the same god, the two letters 7 and $\boldsymbol{U}$ being transposed in order to facilitate the pronunciation. As to the relation of the $\operatorname{god}$ NINIB to the wild boar, cf. Zimmern, $K . A . T^{3}$ and Jeusen in $K . B . V_{., ~ 1, ~}^{1}$, p. 538, and Küchler, Assyr. Medicin. A votive object in terra-cotta from Nippur representing a wild boar was published in Vol. IX. Another very remarkable terra-cotta was discovered there in the course of the fourth expedition. It represents a sow with her litter of sucking pigs and on her a wild boar. There can be little doubt that this strange votive object, which I expect to discuss in another place, stands in close relation to Ninib, after Bêl the most important god worshiped at Nippur.

## PREFACE.

Volume IX of Series A of the Babylonian Expedition of the University of Pennsylvania has been in the hands of Assyriologists for over six years. 'The special title of the volume, "Business Documents of Murashû Sons of Nippur," is also used for this volume, as the material here published is a continuation of that which appeared in Vol. IX. The work had been announced to appear under the same names, but the Editor, after I had copied the texts, granted me the privilege of publishing these inscriptions under my own name.

As was stated in the Introduction to Vol. IX (p. 26ff.), the names of foreign peoples mentioned in these texts are very numerous. By the help of Lidzbarski's Handbuch der Nordsemitischen Epigraphik, which gives an extended list of Semitic, Egyptian and other names, written in the Aramaic character, and also Justi's Iranisches Namenbuch, I succeeded in identifying a large number of these foreign names. The Index to the latter work even enables one who has no knowledge of Persian to give an approximately close translation. The Editor succeeded in adding also a goodly number to this list. His identificatious and comparisons are distinguished from my owu by being inclosed in brackets, thus: [-Ed.]. Several were also identified by Dr. Enno Littmann, of Princeton, whose valuable assistance is duly indicated. I want to acknowledge also my indebteduess to the list of names in John's Assyrian Deeds and Documents, and also his Doomsday Book, which offer extensive material for unlimited comparison and the elucidation of these names.

On April the ninth, 1904, Mr. Edward W. Clark, the honored Chairman of our Babylonian Section of the Department of Archæology, who has also been in recent years the Chairman of the Babylonian Expedition of the University of Pennsylvania, was called to his rest. Mr. Clark was a pioneer, and a very generous promoter of this work. In grateful appreciation and profound respect is this volume dedicated to his memory.

It affords me pleasure to express my heartfelt gratitude to the Provost, Dr. C. C. Harrison ; the Vice-Provost, Prof. Edgar F. Smith ; the President of the Department,

Mrs. Cornelius Stevenson, Sc.D., and all the members of the Board of Managers of the Archæological Department, for their kind support and interest in facilitating the preparation of this volume; and especially to Mr. Eckley B. Coxe, Jr., for his generosity in providing the funds necessary to publish it.

I desire also to acknowledge here, with gratitude, the painstaking oversight, and the many helpful and important suggestions of the Editor, Prof. H. V. Hilprecht, whereby the value of this work has been enhanced; the many acts of kindness on the part of Prof. Morris Jastrow, Jr., the Librarian of the University, and the profitable discussions and delightful associations of Dr. Hermann Ranke, the Harrison Research Fellow in Assyriology.

My thanks are also due Dr. Victor Dippell for furnishing desired passages from his list of unpublished Neo-Babylonian proper names, referred to as [Dippel Name List]; to Prof. Amos P. Brown for his analysis of Babylonian clay ; Prof. E. G. Conklin for determining the species of animals mentioned; Dr. W. H. Ward for his kindness in loaning photographs of Oriental water machines; E. Aug. Miller, Esq., for valuable assistance rendered in connection with legal terms; Dr. Julius F. Sachse for some photographic experiments, endeavoring to secure results not visible to the eye, and to Mr. William Witte, our Assistant, for his untiring efforts to obtain the excellent photographs used for the half-tone plates. To all I extend my hearty thanks.

After the Introduction and most of the Concordance of Proper Names had gone through the press, I found a fourth tablet (C. B. M., No. 5514), which contains the name of the god Ninib in Aramaic. As there is a difference of opinion as to the reading of two of the characters it may be convenient for the reader to see the four different writings placed together for comparison.


As to the possibility of the last character being anything else but a $\Omega \mathrm{I}$ have never entertained a thought (cf. the list of characters, p. 72). The third character cannot so ȩasily be disposed of. The former two, owing to the slight effacement at the left corner
of the second and the peculiar character of the first, left me in doubt. Preference was given to 7 for No. 29, and 1 for No. 87 (see p. 8). But I now feel after a final consideration that the character in question is in each case, in all probability, a I. In No. 877 in $7 \boldsymbol{\square}$ is made different (cf. also the enlarged photograph on Pl. IX). The character in the last three is 1 (cf. the list of characters, p. 72). The peculiarly made one in the first (which can really be either 7 , $\boldsymbol{7}$, פ or ו), I now also regard as a 9 . In fact it is the usual way 1 appears not only in the Old and Middle Phœnician, and Punic, but in the Aramaic inscriptions from Egypt, Arabia, Asia Minor and Mesopotamia, cf. Lidzbarski's Nordsemitische Epigraphik Atlas. Furthermore, it is the way, usually appears in Aramaic endorsements on clay tablets, exclusive of the Murashû documents, cf. Stevenson, Ass. and Bab. Contracts, pp. 115, 117, 122, 129, 133, 145 and especially 148. Cf. also C. B. M., 3552. Until, therefore, more light is thrown upon the subject I prefer to read that character 1, and the name אנושת. Even after this I am unfortunately not prepared to express a more definite opinion as to the understanding of this curious Aramaic equivalent of Ninib. In the search for an explanation it must be kept in mind that, may represent the Babylonian $m$.

At the last moment also I was able to determine a cuneiform sign, in doubt, read $U R($ ?). Cf. Ashur-UR(?)-ibni. The name occurs besides $23: 17$, on C. B. M. No. $5515: 2$, and Const. Ni. 605:14. In the absence of anything better, although $U R(L I K)$ in not a single instance is made like this sign, I read it UR?, but placed it in the Sign List as a different character, cf. No. 214. The editorial note at the bottom of p. 41 prompted a further investigation of the subject, and I now pronounce it to be the Neo-Babylonian form of the sign given in Delitzsch's Ass. Les. 4, p. 135, as No. $327^{a}$. This character has the value hamâmu, and as there is a name Nab $\hat{u}$-ha-am-me-ilâni, "Nebo is the regent of the gods," II., Rawlinson, 64:48, until something better is proposed, I offer as the reading of the name in question: Ashur-hamme-ibni, "Ashur has created a regent," which is similar in meaning to the common Bê-shar-ibni.

In connection with my explanation, in the Introduction, that $A N$-MESH in West Semitic names was read $\boldsymbol{k}$ and that they do not contain the first person pronominal suffix, I want to call attention to the readings Ia-ash-ma-ah-i-el, C. B. M. 1352: 17; also Ia- $\alpha h-z \alpha-a r-i l u(A N)$, Ranke, Personal Names, with Ia- $\alpha h$-za-ar-i-il, C. B. M., 1235, which show that el was the pronunciation of the word for god also in the West Semitic names of the early Babylonian period.

On the last page, beside the corrections and additions to this volume, I have added a number of corrections to the text of Vol. IX.

Albert T. Clay.

## INTRODUCTION.

The account of the discovery of these tablets by Dr. J. H. Haynes, in 1893, at the beginning of the third campaign of the Babylonian Expedition of the University of Pennsylvania, is related by Professor Hilprecht in his Introduction to Series A, Vol. IX (p.13), and in Series D, Vol. I, The Excavations in Assyria and Babylonia (pp. 408, ff.).

The tablets, which are simply sun dried, are made of a very smooth clay. It is free from grit, which was removed by washing, preparatory to its use for tablet making. This has increased its adhesive power, so that the tablets have the appearance of being baked, ${ }^{1}$ offering an exceedingly smooth surface for the writing. ${ }^{2}$

Most of them are more or less covered with black spots or stains. These do not affect the legibility of the cuneiform writing, but, unfortunately, when they cover the Aramaic "dockets," which are written with a similar color, they render them valueless. The color used for the dockets, uuder the microscope, appears to be other than that which caused the stains. ${ }^{3}$

A large number of the tablets are more or less flat on the obverse, while the reverse is convex. This is especially noticeable in the larger documents, and is due to the fact that the scribe rested the clay tablet, which increases in thickness towards the

[^8]center, upon something, while it was soft, when he inscribed it. In writing the obverse, with the tablet lying upon a stand, the under side was flattened out. When the tablet was turned over, and the reverse written, the obverse was flattened, in which shape it remained.

In Vol. IX a tablet is dated on the seventeenth day of Shabattu in the forty-first year of Artaxerxes I. Of the tablets here published, one is dated on that day, and three previous to it, in the year of the accession of his successor, Darius II. In other words, the first tablet of the latter's reign was written on the fourth day of Shabâtu, i.e., thirteen days previous to the seventeenth, given as the last date known in the reign of Artaxerxes I. How can this apparent discrepancy be explained? The scribe made a mistake. Either the tablet belongs to the fortieth year, and, by mistake, he began to write the determinative for man before he had written kan, which he neglected to erase; or having been accustomed, for so many years, to date tablets in the reign of Artaxerxes, in writing this tablet he failed to remember that a new king had begun to reign. Not taking this tablet into consideration, therefore, the last of those published in Vol. IX, dated in the forty-first year of Artaxerxes, was written on the twelfth day of Kislimu. Another unpublished tablet, however, of the forty-first year of Artaxerxes (C.B.M. 5310) is dated on the third of Shabatu, which is the day previous to the one on which the first tablet was dated in the reign of Darius II., i.e., the fourth of Shabâtu. If this dating is correct Darius II., in all probability, began to reign on the third or fourth day of Shabâtu.

It was stated in Vol. IX that all the tablets of these archives were written during the reign of Artaxerxes I. (464-424 B.C.) and Darius II. ${ }^{1}$ (423-405 B.C.). This is true with the exception of one tablet, Vol. IX, No. 1, which belongs to the reign of Artaxerxes II., as can now be proved by the new material at our disposal. The name of the scribe who wrote Nos. 130, 131 and 132 of the present volume is Nidintum-Bêl, the son of Ninib-nâdin. These are leases of sheep and goats, written for Bêl-supê-muhur, agent of Arsham (cf. p.4), and dated in the eleventh and thirteenth years of Darius II. One of the witnesses mentioned is Bêl-dânu, son of Bêl-bulliţsu. The contents of Vol. IX, No. 1, dated in the first year of Artaxerxes II., are quite similar to these documents. The name of the scribe is the same. The names of the agent, his master or employer, and the witness mentioned are the same. Between the first year of Artaxerxes I. and the thirteenth of Darius II. there are fifty-three years. That the same combination of agent, client, scribe and witness should occur in documents relating to the same kind of affairs,

[^9]which were dated fifty-three years apart from each other, is a coincidence too peculiar to be probable. Then, also, every tablet discovered in these archives can be shown to belong either to the Murashî family, or to those who were in some way connected with their business. ${ }^{1}$ Bêl-supê-mutlur, in Vol. IX, $99: 5$, is referred to as the ardu and paqdu of Bêl-nidin-shumu. In Vol. $\mathrm{X}, 126: 10$, he is the ardu sha Rîmût-Ninib. In the texts under consideration, which are dated several years later than any belonging to the Murashû Sons, he appears as the paqdu of Arsham, as in Vol. IX, 1:2. The relations of these documents with those of the Murashû Sons, and the similarity of their contents, are certainly not compatible with the idea that Bêl-supê-muhur leased sheep while in the service of Arsham; afterwards became the servant of Bél-nâdin-shumu, and later of Rîmût-Ninib; and again is found in Arsham's service and business fifty-three years after the time he is first mentioned. If Darius II. ruled twenty years, only eight years would interveue between the date of the last tablet of these texts and the first year of Artaxerxes II., which, in consideration of the above, is without doubt the time when the tablet in question was written. In other words, instead of placing this document as the first in chronological order, it must be regarded as being the last.

All the tablets published in the following pages were written during the reign of Darius II., including Nos. 105 and 106, which do not bear the name of the ruler in whose reign they were inscribed. That the latter, however, are properly classified as belonging to the time of Darius II., becomes evident from the following considerations. In the text proper of both tablets, reference is made to the sixth year of a king, whose name is not given, because well-known to the parties concerned. The tablets themselves were written in the interests of Ribât, servant of Rímitt-Ninib. As neither Ribât nor Rîmut-Ninib is mentioned in the documents dated before the thirty-fifth year of Artaxerxes I., while both figure prominently in the contracts belonging to the early years of Darius II., it follows that the sixth year referred to is that of Darius II.

In the introduction to Vol. IX (p. 14, f.) it was shown that most of the business transacted in the tablets, dated in the reign of Artaxerxes I., was in the interest of two sons of Murashû, Bêl-hâatin and Bêl-nâdin-shumu; that the former is not mentioned in the documents after 437 B.C.; that eight years later his son Rı̂mût-Ninib, referred to on the same tablet as the son of Murashut, as well as of Bêl-hâtin, appears for the first time as a creditor in those transactions; that a son of Bêl-nâdin-shumu, whose name was Murash $\hat{u}$, is referred to as the creditor in two tablets, and finally that a son of Bêl-l $\hat{l} t i n$, who also bore the name of Murash $\hat{u}$, is mentioned in Const. Ni. 525.

In the texts here published, dated in the reign of Darius II., Bêl-nâdin-shumu, the most prominently mentioned in Vol. IX, continues to appear as the chief creditor, until

[^10]the second day of Tishri of the eighth year ( 416 B.C.), after which his name does not again occur. In other words, with the exception of six documents, the first fifty-seven are written in his interest. Of these six tablets, ${ }^{1}$ four, Nos. 29, 43, 44 and 52, belong to Rîmût-Ninib. The name of R̂̀mut-Ninib, after the disappearance or death of Bêl-nadin-shumu, occurs in fifty-seveu of the remaining documents as the chief creditor. The others, namely, thirteen, with the exception of No. 129, which is written in the interest of Murashû, son of Bêl-nâdin-shumu, are ascribed to his servants and his servant's servant. ${ }^{2}$

The last three tablets here published $(130,131$ and 132$)$ not only introduce a different kind of business, ${ }^{3}$ but they also are written in the interest of a man, Arsham by name, who apparently was not connected with the family. The only way to account for the presence of these documents among the archives of this family is to identify his agent Bêl-supê-muhur with the ardu and paqdu of Bêl-nâdin-shumu, and later the ardu of Rîmit-Ninib (cf. p. 2). The first of these tablets is dated three and one-half years after the last one of the Murashî family.

As stated, most of the documents were written for members of the Murash $\hat{u}$ family. A number of them were inscribed in the interest of their servants. Whether they transacted business entirely for themselves, or in the interest of their employers is not stated. Each document is drawn up in the interest of one particular person. The fact, however, as was stated in Introduction to Vol. IX, p. 14, " that an officer who presents an order to Bêl-hâtin receives his payment from Bél-nâdin-shumu;" that the sons of Murashî acted as agents for the crown; that the employés of one member of the family are found later in the service of another, and also that a number of documents were written in the interest of their servants, some of whom we know acted as agents, show not only that most of these archives belong to the different members of the Murash $\hat{u}$ family and their servants, but also that intimate business relations existed among them.

[^11]
## SEALS AND ARAMAIC ENDORSEMENTS.

The number of seal impressions found on the contracts of this volume is far in excess of those of Vol. IX. A great many are of rare beauty, and indicate remarkable skill in the execution of the seal, or seal-cylinder, by the lapidary of this age. Familiarity with the seal impressions of certain individuals, which occur more than once, has aided in the determination, or restoration, of quite a number of names which were broken away from the tablets. ${ }^{1}$ In some instances where names of persons have been abbreviated, an acquaintance with the impressions of their seals enables us to identify them. For example, the same seal was used by Mukin-aplu, 82 : Lo. E., and Bêl-mukin-aplu, $88:$ U. E. ${ }^{2}$ In the text of tablet 83, the scribe, by mistake, reversed the names, making the son the father, and the father the son ; but by the side of the seal, the proper order is given. This latter is determined by comparing the seal impression with those of the same individual, found on other tablets.

It was customary for the obligor, judge or witness first to make his seal impression, after which the scribe wrote in proximity, either to the right of it, or above and below it, the name of the man to whom it belonged. In quite a number of instances it can be shown that before the nanes of the witnesses were regularly affixed, the obligors or debtors had made their seal impressions. Cf. $9:$ R., and $102: \mathrm{R}$. The same is true with regard to the witnesses, who frequently made their seal impressions before all their names were attached to the document. Cf. the reverse of 88 and $130 .{ }^{3}$ In some instances, unless a number of witnesses, or the judge or judges left their seal impressions, the person or persons who received the benefits involved in the document, or upon whom the obligation rested, either left their seals upon the tablet, or, instead, made an impression in the soft clay with their thumb-nails. The individual in whose interest the tablet was made, whether as a receipt for a cancelled debt, a lease, due bill, mortgage, etc., has not in a single instance left his seal or mark upon the tablets of the Murash $\hat{u}$ archives.

The thumb-nail marks of both volumes, with but three exceptions, e.g., Vol. X, 9 : U. E., $40:$ L. E., and $132:$ L. E., when accompanied by the name of the individual who made them, belong to the recipient, debtor or obligor. This fact enables us, in some instances, to restore their names when the tablets have been injured, as, for example, in the

[^12]case of No. 28, where the supur of $N a ̂ \prime i d-B e ̂ l$ is given, and in the contract the writing of his name has been defaced. It also enables us to determine to whom thumb-nail marks belong when unaccompanied by names, simply supurshu or supurshunu being written to the left of them, or occasionally above or below them, namely, to the man upon whom the obligation rests.

In these tablets an unusually large number of endorsements ${ }^{1}$ in Aramaic are found. Besides the twenty-five tablets, the legends of which are here published, several ${ }^{2}$ others contain inscriptions, which I have made no attempt to reproduce, because the black color used as the writing material has become so faint, that only the familiar $\boldsymbol{U}$, or here and there a character, indicate the former existence of an inscription. These endorsements are either lightly or heavily incised, or are written with black fluid. Quite a number were incised at the time they were written with color.

It can scarcely be said that the black fluid was filled in after the tablet was incised, but rather that the scribe with his stylus, which had been dipped into the color, incised, or at least scratched, the surface of the tablet as he wrote upon it. That this is true, and also that some of the endorsements were written at the time when the cuneiform inscriptions were made, ${ }^{3}$ or rather before the tablet was allowed to dry, can be determined by the fact that on the same tablet, here and there, color is visible, while the inscription is only partially incised; and that in several instances the surface of the tablet, on either side of the groove made by the stylus, is slightly raised. This could not have been caused by a tool upon the hard clay. The same is further determined by what follows.

Three tablets (cf. Pl. VI), written on the same day, two of which are here published, Nos. 105 and 106, enable us to obtain some interesting facts concerning the writing of "dockets." The same scribe wrote the cuneiform inscriptions, although he did not append his name. ${ }^{4}$ This follows from the similarity of the writing and the contents. As above, it can be definitely asserted that the "dockets" were written before the tablets were allowed to dry; also, that the same stylus was in all probability used to write the "dockets" on all three tablets. These facts are proved by an examination of the impressions made by the stylus, which show that it was slightly worn, or rough at the point where it came into contact with the clay, and in consequence left the same delicate traces of the instrument throughout the inscription. ${ }^{5}$ These characteristic

[^13]marks are plainly discernible upon all three tablets. But, what is more important, a close examination reveals the fact that the three "dockets" were very likely written by the same hand. This is determined by comparing the general appearance of the writing, the depth of the incision, and, in particular, the characters which the inscriptions have in common. It is quite probable that the scribe who wrote the documents in cuneiform, added the endorsements in Aramaic. ${ }^{1}$

In every instance where the name or names written in Aramaic are preserved on the tablets, ${ }^{2}$ we learn that they belong to the individuals who receive the benefits mentioned in the documents, or upon whom the obligations rested. Naturally, as in the case of No. 99, the name of the second party might appear as well, but where a single name is given, it always belongs to the obligor or recipient, the same as referred to, above, in connection with the thumb-nail marks. This fact is important with respect to what follows.

Several of the dockets throw very welcome light upon the pronunciation of the names of two gods hitherto not determined. Unfortunately, absolute certainty in the case of one cannot, as yet, be established.

Below the supur, on the reverse of No. 105 (cf. Pl. VI), by the side of which is written ${ }^{d} K U R-G A L-u-p a h-h i r$, the following Aramaic characters appear: אורפחר. In view of what has been stated above, namely, with reference to the fact that in every instance where an Aramaic "docket" is written it contains the name of the debtor or recipient, and is the same that appears in connection with the thumb-nail marks, no other conclusion can be reached, but that the name in Aramaic characters stands for ${ }^{d} K U R$ $G A L-u-p a h-h i r$, or in other words ${ }^{\text {K }}$ is the Aramaic writing of the deity ${ }^{d} K U R$ GAL. 'Two other tablets, C. B. M., Nos. 5505 and 5417 (cf. Pl. V), contain these fragmentary dockets.


From the contents of the former, if a name is written on the tablet in Aramaic, it should be that of ${ }^{d} K U R-G A L-M U(n a ̂ d i n$ or $i d d i n a)$, and on the latter ${ }^{d} K U R-G A L$ êtior. Notwithstanding both the tablets are fragmentary, and the Aramaic "dockets"
${ }^{1}$ Tablets Nos. 119 and 120 were written by a scribe on the same day. The writing of the "dockets" has the same general appearance, but being in color and exceedingly faint, they are of little value in this connection. The same is true of Nos. 131 and 132 , although there is a difference of two years in the dating; the writing, however, presents the same general characteristics, Nos. 99 and 115 were also written by one scribe, but while the docket of the former is very heavily incised, that of the latter is lightly, hence also of little value for comparative purposes.
${ }^{2}$ The single exception is No. 56, but as the tablet is fragmentary, the name has probably been broken away. The legend preserved enables us to date the tablet, as it has been injured in the text, בשנת 1 שטר בהא: "In the first year the document concerning the house."
only partially preserved, the name of the deity on both fortunately remains. In view of what is written above, in each case stands for ${ }^{d} K U R-G A L$. In the "docket" of No. 5505 the beginning of an additional character is seen, which appears to be $\boldsymbol{N}$. As
 IX, 71, in all probability this is the first letter of the second eleneent.
${ }^{d} K U R-G A L$ in proper names in some periods was identified with $B e ̂ l$, ef. $V . R$., 44, Col. III, 41. These dockets show, however, that such was not the case in this period. The question now arises, how is to be pronounced? If the $\boldsymbol{y}$ is vocalic, names like $U$-ru-mil-ki (cf. $K . B$., II, p. 90 ), etc., might be compared. If it is to be
 Darijamush) seems to be suggestive. The god $M A R-T U$ (for whose pronunciation as Amurru, cf. Jensen, Z. A., XI, 303 f.) is called bél-shad̂̀ (cf. $K U R-G A L=s h a d \hat{\imath}-$ rabî). Besides names like ${ }^{d} K U R-G A L-n a-t a-n u$, Nbn., $497: 3$ (Dippel, Name List), ${ }^{d} K U R-G A L-s h a-m a-{ }^{d}, N b k ., 42: 5$, seem to show that just like ${ }^{d} M A R-T U=$ Amurru, was a foreign deity. But since at present no conclusive evidence is at hand, I prefer to transliterate the name of the deity ${ }^{d} K U R-G A L$.

The names to be expected in Aramaic, if endorsements are preserved on Nos. 29 and 87 , are ${ }^{a} N I N-I B$-uballit and ${ }^{a} N I N-I B$-iddina respectively. The former contains


Another tablet, which is unpublished (C. B. M., No. 5508), gives one of the same names, written with black fluid,

## cosepvite 46 <br> שטר א]נר]שתאבלט

Unfortunately, a black stain has obliterated the character in doubt, but what remains gives us additional assurance that the first letter is $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$, and that the last two are surely $\boldsymbol{\sim}$. Between these two characters, on tablet 29, there is a shortline. Apparently the scribe, in writing $w$ after he had begun to make the extreme left line, appreciated the fact that it was too far removed from the balance of the character, so he drew a fine line in the proper position to complete it. ${ }^{1}$ Taking no account of this line, the character which follows is a perfect $\pi$, as it is also on the other two documents (cf. Nos. 29 and 87, also Pl. IX). It is to be noted that the character before $\boldsymbol{v}$ is not made exactly the same on the two tablets, upon which it is preserved. In No. 29 it appears to be a 7 or perhaps 7 ; in No. 87 it is either a 1 or 7 . Up to the present I have searched in vain for something in the cuneiform literature with which to compare this name. However, this much

[^14]is certain, the Aramaic endorsements show that the pronunciation of the name of the god, in this age, has nothing to do with Alder, Ninil, Ninrag or Nisrok. ${ }^{1}$

It may be urged by some that the names of these gods, reproduced in Aramaic, represent foreign deities which were considered as equivalents to the Babylonian gods, $N I N-I B$ and KUR-GAL. As we have in these "dockets" a faithful reproduction of the pronunciation of the names of Babylonian gods with which we are familiar, e.g., Bêl, in names, is writteu בל (Nos. 60, 99, 104, 115, 116 and 131); Marduk, פרדכ (No. 121) ; Nabû, נבו (Nos. 119, 120 and IX, 71) ; Nanâ, בנא (No. 106); Shamash, $\boldsymbol{U}$ (No. 116), ${ }^{2}$ it is not very likely that such would be the case, and especially with regard to $N I N-I B$, one of the patron deities of Nippur, where the tablets were found.

What is the purpose of these inscriptions scratched or written upon the tablets? Rawlinson, who published the first collection as early as $1864,{ }^{3}$ said: "The docket as might be supposed usually describes the nature of the deed, but sometimes it merely gives the name of the party disposing of his property." While there are no deeds of sale among these documents containing Aramaic inscriptions, the idea expressed by Rawlinson seems to be in strict accordance with the contents of the "dockets." They were filing endorsements or ready references for the keeper of the archives. But why are these "dockets" not written in cuneiform, the regular script of the Babylonian language?

As mentioned above, traces of Aramaic writing are seen on at least thirty-five of the tablets here published, or in other words nearly one-third of the entire number. As some of those written with a black fluid have almost completely disappeared, there is every reason to believe that a great many more originally contained "dockets." Naturally, after the tablet was hard, it would be difficult to make such a "reference note" in cuneiform, but, as has been shown, at least the incised "dockets" were written at the same time when the documents were made. Then, also, it is quite possible to conceive of the desirability of having such a "docket" in another writing which would be readily recognized, and at the same time offer no confusion in the closely written document. This would imply, however, a knowledge of an additional language on the part of the archivarius. But does it not rather point more strongly to the fact that the endorsements

[^15]are written in the tongue of the record keeper, or even in the language of the man in whose interest the documents were inscribed?

In connection with the above, the facts which follow should be taken into consideration. The Assyrian officials in the time of Sennacherib spoke Aramaic, according to the episode with the representatives of Hezekiah, related in II Kings, $18: 26$, f. The Hebrews, in all probability, spoke the Aramaic language after their return from Babylonia. ${ }^{1}$ Aramaic was used for filing endorsements as above, some of which are dated as early as the time of Sennacherib. Bricks, containing legends of kings in Aramaic, similar to those inscribed in cuneiform, besides quite a number of inscribed seals, weights, etc., have been found in Babylonia and Assyria. More than one-half of the contracts, in connection with the Murashû Sons, were made with persons bearing West Semitic names. ${ }^{2}$ The lists of names in the documents of both volumes show that about one-third of them are foreign, a goodly number of which are West Semitic. Taking these things into consideration, are we not impressed with the fact that the Aramaic language was very extensively used in Babylonia at this time? ${ }^{38}$ Furthermore, it is quite natural to conjecture, at least, that the Aramaic in this period was the language of a large percentage of the common people in Nippur, and that the Babylonian language, while still spoken, was on the decline, although for centuries it continued to some extent to be the literary and legal language of the country, as was the case with the Sumerian, long after it ceased to be spoken.

## PALEOGRAPHY.

A list of all the signs and variants, giving the ideographic and syllabic values in use in the documents of both volumes, will be found immediately preceding the plates containing the texts. The values are attached so that a comparison as regards the use of the signs in this period may be made with those of other periods. Naturally in some cases, when the position of the wedges in a character was only slightly altered, discretion as to its value in the list was exercised. Completeness as regards the values attached to the signs has also been aimed at, but as there are certain passages and combinations of characters in both volumes as yet not intelligible to me, especially as regards their pronunciation, I do not claim that the list is perfect.

1. In the Introduction to Vol. IX, p. 20, attention was called to the peculiar writing

[^16]of the sign $a d(t)$ in the words " min-át-ti," "ib-át-qa," and the frequently occurring name "Ad-dan-nu." Kotalla, in the Beiträge zur Assyriologie, Vol. IV, p. 569, proposed to read the character, Bêl. The latter does not need refutation, as the usual characters for the god are frequently found alongside the sign in question. The following definitely shows that the sign is not the one having the value $a d(t, t)$, and that it must be considered altogether differently. (a) If the characters were to be read id in the name $\dot{A} d-d a n-n u$, we should expect to find the ordinary sign having the value $\dot{a} d$ used at least once in this name, which occurs in these texts fully one hundred times. (b) With the value ad, the name is rightly considered foreign, but then we should expect to find more than two or three persons with foreign names mentioned, either as fathers or sons, among the thirty-five or more different names of persons recorded as being thus related. (c) The writings $i b-\hat{i} t-q a(=i b a t a q a)$ and $m i n-a ̂ t-t i$ while possible, are not the ordinary ones. (d) In short the usual sign $\dot{a} d(t, t)$, written in the usual way in these texts, is altogether different. Compare, 5:4 and the Sign List No. 5.

In these inscriptions the character under consideration must have the value tad, tat and dat. (a) Only such values are applicable in the names and words mentioned. For instance, the names Bêl-ád-dan-nu-bul-lit-su, Vol. IX, $79: 12$, Lo. E., or Nabû-ád-dan-nu-uṣur, Strass., Dar., $264: 6$, would seem to have no exact parallel in formation, but transliterating them Bêl-tad-dan-nu-bul-lit-su, and Nabû-tad-dan-nu-uṣur, "Bêl, grant him life whom thou hast given," and "Nabû, protect that which thou hast given" (cf. Bêl-ta-ad-dan-nu-usur, Strass., Nbk., 21:8, passim), the difficulty is removed. (b) The reading man-dat-ti, cf. man-da-at-ti-shu, Strass., Camb., 379 : 14, and ib-tat-qa (II of batâqu), would also be in accordance with what would be expected. (c) A similar value tat can only be used in the rendition of this sign, which appears as a variant for the name Lu-u-bal-ta-at, Strass., Dar., $379: 15$, written $L u$-u-bal-tat, Strass., Dar., $319: 4,8 .{ }^{1}$ (d) Cf. also tat-t $\alpha-s h \alpha-{ }^{\prime}(A-A N), 9: 4$, parallel with $t a-a h$ - $t i-p i$, line 3.

This character appears in quite a number of variations, as will be observed in the Sign List, No.22. The one most commonly found very closely resembles the sign $K A D$. In this period the character with the value $k a d(t)$ is unknown to me. The values tad, tat, etc., as far as I can ascertain, were not used in earlier periods in connection with this sign. Probably the smaller sign having the same values, i.e., kad $(t)$, supplanted the other ; but how can the selection of it for the new values $t(d, t) a t(d, t)$ be explained ?

In the Cassite age, by some arbitrary decision, the scribes in writing names like $K a$-dash-man-tur-gu introduced, or resurrected the value dash for the sign, which consists of a single perpendicular wedge. In the late period the value $g i$ was used for this same

[^17]sign ${ }^{1}$ in the name $E$-gi-bi. In both instances, doubtless, the introduction, or resurrection of these values was for practical purposes, and is due to the frequent occurrence of these names. Is it not possible also to account in this way for the introduction of tad in connection with the sign under consideration? Taddannu had become a very common name. No cuneiform character with the value tad existed, unless the sign in question in some unknown period had this value; and instead of writing ta-ad each time, is it not reasonable to assume that in the guild or school of scribes the masters, or teachers of cuneiform orthography, found it expedient to select a sign for this and similar values? If we are right in identifying the sign as $K A D$, and that this value was introduced for it, then doubtless its selection is due to the fact that it was rarely, if ever, used in connection with its original values, $k a d(t)$, in this period. This may throw light on what follows.
2. The explanation which has been offered (Introduction, Vol. IX, p. 19) for the peculiar use of $A N$-MESH in foreign names, is that it was "employed for expressing a sound which appeared to the Babyl. mind as one of their own plural endings," and that "it may be that the Babyl. scribes mistook i, pron. suff., for their own plur. ending $\hat{e}$, resp. î." This explanation meets with serious difficulties. (a) Although in both vol_ umes there are ten different names having $A N$-MESH as the final element, cf. $N a$-tan-
 $I a-a-h a b-b i-i l u^{p l}, R a-h i-i m-i l u^{p l}$, Shi-kin-ilu ${ }^{p l}$, there is not a single West Semitic name of a similar formation having in the same position. (b) That the scribes when they wrote $i l u^{p l}$ did not intend to represent anything that even had the appearance of the suffix, is conclusively shown by two Aramaic dockets. For Ra-hi-im-ilu ${ }^{p l}$ the scribe wrote רחימאל on tablet No. 68 ; and on No. 5506 (Catalogue, B. M.) for Ha-za-'-ilu ${ }^{\mu l}$, is written in Aramaic חזהאל, exactly as in the Old Testament. In other words $A N$ $M E S H$ in these names, which in Hebrew have $\mathcal{K}$ as the second element, stands for nothing else than אלא. Is there any plausible explanation for this peculiar writing?

It is to be observed also that Assyrian scribes in writing these foreign names, whether א is the first element or the final, made no effort to indicate that there was a suffix, e.g., Ilu-gab-ri, Ilu-a-ka-bi, Ilu-id-ri, Ilu-na-ta-ni, Gab-ri-ilu, Ia-a-di-ilu, etc., cf. lists in John's Doomsday Book, and Deeds and Documents. Taking into consideration also the fact that $\boldsymbol{x}$ in West Semitic names of these texts is found more frequently than Rammân, Gula, Nanâ, etc., in Babylonian names; and that the scribes, in all probability, knew that אלהים, the Hebrew word for God, was plural, ${ }^{2}$ is it not natural to suppose that the Babylonian scribes in their efforts to distinguish between ilu and

[^18]the Hebrew $\boldsymbol{N}$ introduced this combination of signs, $A N$-MESH, which carried with it the idea of plurality? In the light of what precedes in connection with the introduction of new values for signs, this theory finds support and becomes plausible. ${ }^{1}$
3. In Strassmaier's publications of contract literature a character very similar in appearance to GISH occurs several hundred times." Tallquist reads it eşu, "Holz." He also quotes a passage in which it occurs, Strass., Nbn., $164: 8$, which he reads "ushparu pişı," cf. Die Sprache der Contracte Nabî-nĉ'id's, pp. 49, 140. Zehnpfund reads the name "ushparu işu, "Bastweber," cf. B. A., Vol. I, p. 496. In another place he reads işu "Werg," cf. B. A., Vol. I, p. 498. This sign appears as a determinative for the frequently occurring kibsu, shalhu and hullanu. Delitzsch, reading the sign as the determinative iṣu, translates "Schemel," "hölzernes Tempelgeräth" and "Ruhelager" respectively ; cf. also Meissner, Supplement, p. 14, işu = "Werg." Peiser, Keilinschriftliche Bibliothek, IV, p. 236, f, reads three hundred qâtâti sha iṣu, " dreihundert Spannen Holz." The failure to recognize that this so-called character GISH is none other than the Babylonian $G A D$ has caused the difficulties. In the passages quoted, and in many others, it has the value kitu, "clothing material." Zehnpfund rightly says, notwithstanding he reads the sign as the determinative iṣu, that "alle drei Wörter bezeichnen Teile der babyl. Kleidung," for they frequently appear in the "Weberrechnungen." Witlı ${ }^{\text {kita hu-ul-la-nu, Strass., Nbn., 78: 3, 8, compare }}$ subdh hul-la-nu, V. R., 61, col. V, 45. That $G A D$ is made in early Babylonian texts in a similar manner, cf. Z. A., III, p. 210. That it is exactly the Neo-Babylonian form of the sign, cf. Vol. IX, $86^{a}$ : line 15, with line 24 . The few occurrences of the sign in these texts would indicate that perhaps a distinguishing characteristic is to be recognized in the lower horizontal wedge protruding more to the left than the upper. This is also noticeable in a number of instances in Strassmaier's texts. It is probable that Strassmaier, according to his method of copying, after having recognized the sigu as isu, usually wrote it without any regard for its actual form.
4. Hommel, in his Sumerische Lesestücke, rightly wrote the ideogram for uniku, $S A L-A S H-Q A R$. Delitzsch later, in his Mandwörterbuch, reads $S U-Q A R$. Radau, Early Babylonian History, p. 348, follows Hommel, but says $S A L-A S H$ in modern Babylonian script are written together and pronounced $S U$. If he meant that $S A L$ $A S H$ in Assyrian being considered as one sign was equal to $S U$, he would be correct. In Neo-Babylonian $S U$ is written differently (cf. Sign List, No. 236). That Hommel is
${ }^{1}$ [A different theory from that of Prof. Clay will be found in the Editorial Preface.-Ed.]
${ }^{2}$ [ This character was already identified as kitut in my former lecture courses on Strassmaier's text publications, and again in my first interpretation of Vol. IX, winter 1898-99, which, however, were not aitended by Dr. Clay, as he was instructor in 0 . T. Theology in Chicago during these years ; cf. also Vol. IX, $65: 20$, f. for another occurrence of the sign.-Ed.]
right in his disposition of the signs is determined by the writing of the ideogram in these texts. SAL-ASH-QAR, cf. $130: 4$, passim.
5. In the name list of Vol. IX the son of Ardi-Ninib, occurring $49: 18$ and $53: 18$, is read $\operatorname{Ninibai(BIL-DAR-ai).~The~same~name~occurs~108:14~(where~the~text~was~}$ not given correctly ${ }^{1}$ ). In the inscriptions here published the name occurs $45: 20$ and $61: 20$. The second character, however, does not seem to be $D A R$, which is made quite differently in these texts. Cf. Sign List, No. 32 with 222 . It might be urged that as the sign in question is approximately similar to $D A R$ of the old Babylonian texts, or the so-called "hieratic" of the Neo-Babylonian period, cf. C. T. B. T., $3: 14$ (13891), C. T. B. T., $3: 39,{ }^{2}$ I. R., $56: 47: 25$, and Hilprecht, O. B. I., Part I, $84: 16$, it was made in imitation of these. While several of the scribes who wrote the tablets, occasionally increased or decreased the number of parallel wedges, which made them similar, in some respects, to signs of an earlier period, ${ }^{3}$ this would be the only instance where an older or "hieratic" character was imitated if it had a different form. Throughout the whole list of characters used in these texts there is not a single sign which is made in two entirely different ways. Then, also, five different scribes wrote this name, and in the five texts where it occurs, none of the above peculiarities exist. The sign which most closely resembles the one under consideration is KIRRUD. Cf. Delitzsch, Ass. Lesestücke, 3d Ed., S. ${ }^{\text {b }}$, p. 58, also Strass., Dar., 430: 6. For KIRRUD in names of gods, cf. ${ }^{d} D U-K I R-R U D-K U$, King, Babylonian Magic and Sorcery, $12: 24$, and ${ }^{d} L U G A L$ $\operatorname{KIRRUD}(?), 12: 25$.
6. The ideogram for abaraleleu (cf. Sign List, No. 162) is not to be read SHI-UM (Delitzsch, Handwörterbuch, p. 12), nor shium (Introduction, Vol. IX, p. 47), as it is composed of SHI-DUB. In Assyrian the latter sign occasionally has only three perpendicular wedges, making it similar to UM, cf. $I I . R$., 31, 56, c., but cf. Delitzsch, Ass. Les., 3d Ed., p. 134. In Neo-Babylonian $U M$ and $D U B$ cannot be confused as they are made quite differently. In these texts $S H I$ in several instances is accompanied by the dual sign, cf. $60: 3 ; 124: 4$. This may throw some light on the meaning of the word. As has been known the abaralke was a royal officer, cf. also Vol. IX, 59:14. In the same volume, tablet No. 32, he gives an order for the restitution of property, and in No. 39, for the collection of rent. Taking the ideogram into consideration it seems possible that originally the office was something like "Archivarius" or "Keeper of the Seal."

[^19]
## PROPER NAMES.

The same general rules observed in Vol. IX for the transliteration of verbal forms in proper names written ideographically, are followed in the Concordance of this volume. The transliterations of certain hypokoristica, however, have been made to conform according to what follows.

Throughout the Neo-Babylonian contract literature there are hundreds of names containing a verbal form, to which is attached the sign A having the value aplu, etc., e.g., $S U-A, B A-S H A-A, S E-N A-A, K A K-A$. Some Assyriologists read these names Erba-aplu, Iqîshu-aplu, Iddina-aplu, Ibni-aplu, while others read Iqîshâ, Iddinấ, etc. Very strong reasons speak against the transliteration of aplu in these names. There is a possibility that names of this class are abbreviations of those which contained verbal form + substantive + deity, like Li-nu-uh-lib-bi-ilâni, $91: 18 ; L u-m u r-d u m-q i{ }^{d} B \hat{e}$ l, Strass., Nbr., 509:3; or, U-ṣur-a-mat- ${ }^{d} E a$, Strass., Camb., $245: 14$, but formations of this character are exceedingly rare, and the verb is usually the imperative or the precative. It cannot be said that they represent names, which originally contained verb + deity + substantive, the middle element of which has been dropped, because such formations do not occur. The same is true, if it should be urged, that in the shortening of names the order of the elements was reversed, as such a change has not been proved to have occurred. If they were originally theophorous names, and represent the common formation, deity + verbal forms + substantive, of which the deity has been omitted and two elements remain, then the form of the verb should be the participle, ${ }^{1}$ and the names in question should be read Êrib-aplu, Kâ’isha-aplu, Nâdina-

[^20]aplu and Bâni-aplu, e.g., Sha-kin-shumu, Strass., Cyr., 297:9. This same character also is attached to abbreviated names having the imperative, and is also read aplu by some Assyriologists, e.g., Ku-sur-aplu. In view of what is written above, while it is not an impossible transliteration, yet this name also is more likely to be explained, with many of the others mentioned, especially those containing the preterite $+A$, according to what follows.

In all periods of Babylonian literature, from the earliest to the latest, there are found abbreviated names containing a verbal form, to which is attached an ending,
of Semitic Languages, Vol. XVIII, p. 153) has been influenced, as well as others, by the writing SE-na frequently used in proper names for iddina. But " $n a$ " or the overhanging $a$ vowel is added to most forms of nadânu. For the participle, cf. na-di-na, Nbn, 1113:27. The name must be read Sin-nídina(-na)-ahu. The overhanging $a$ is due to the following $a$ of $a \underline{G} u$, cf. Bêl-nâdina(-na)-aplu, Dar., 464:3.
3. The verbal form in the name $E a-\dot{e} p u s h(-u s h)-i l u$, Strass., Dar., $226: 4$, etc., is also the participle. It is the common family uame occurring hundreds of times, having as a rule êpesh(-esh), here êpush, due to the influence of the labial. That this is eorrect, cf. the same name ( $N a b \hat{u} \hat{\imath}-n \hat{a} d i n-s h u m$, son of Shí-la-a, son of) Ea-êpesh(-esh)-ilu, Strass., Dar., 169:3. Epish is also found, cf. Strass., Dar., 73:12. The unabbreviated form of this name is Ea-êpesh-iläni. This is proved by the writing of the same individual's name, in Strass., Dar., $224: 4$.

This necessitates the reconsideration also of the reading Ea-epeshân ("Ea is the artisan ") introduced for this name in Introduction, Vol. IX, p. 25, which has since been adopted by several Assyriologisss. In opposition to the arguments advanced for it, and also those against the reading Ea-êpesh-ilu, I offer the following: (a) In not a single instance, in the many occurreaces of this name, or its abbreviated form, is it writtea like the word for "artisan" referred to, e.g., E-pi-sha-nu, E-pesh-x-ni, E-pesh-sha-nu or ET-pesh-nu. (b) The form ANpl is not only found once but frequently. Cf. Strass., Nbn., $116: 18, N b k$., $293: 13$, Camb., $388: 19$, Dar., 73:12, $224: 4,321: 32$, etc. (c) That the determinative amêlu is used before Epesh-AN, when it stands in the third place, offers no difficulty, as it indicates the family name. Then, also, $E p e s h-A N$ is an abbreviation for $E a-\hat{e} p e s h-A N$. Cf. the same name written both ways, Strass., Dar., 404:13, and Dar., $403: 10$. Further, Ea-êpesh-AN is an abbreviation of Ea-êpesh-ilâni. Cf. the same name also written both ways, Strass., Dar., 169:3, and Dar., 224:4. Certainly Ea-êpesh-ilâni could not be a "professional designation," and yet cf. the name amêluEa-êpesh(-esh)-ilu, Strass., Dar., $515: 16$. The text quoted, i.e., Dar., 257, has also alongside of $h E p e s h-A N$ the family name $h N a-b a-a i$, li. 16. (Cf. mNa-ba-ai, Strass., Dar., 298:9.) Cf. also hMudammiq-dRamman, Strass., Cyr., $223: 10$, Camb., 208:3. (d) Shamash-èpush may be translated "Shamash did (it)," but Nabî-di-i-nu-e-pu-ush, Strass., Nbn., 367 : 1, will justify the reading Ea-êpesh-ilâni, and its translation "Ea is the maker of gods." (e) And finally, that the meaning expressed is in full accord with their religious ideas, cf. the names wilh similar meanings : Ea-ilu-ú-tu-êpush(KAK), Dar., $206: 17$; Ea-i-lu-tu-êpush(KAK), Nbk., $345: 15$; Ea-ili-ia-êpush(KAK), Dar., $278: 10$; Ect-shamê(-e)-êpush(KAK), Dar., 551 : 23.

4 Assyrian $E$-sag-ila-ki-in-ab-li, V. R., 44, 44d, etc. The explanation of the form kin, which is not imperative, is as follows: Mukin-aplu( $D U-A$ ), Vol. IX, $71:$ L. E., is written in 1. 8, Ki-na-aplu or Ki-na-a. Mukin$\operatorname{aplu}(D U-A), 82: 13$, Lo. E., is an abbreviation of Bêl-mukîn-aplu, $88:$ U. E., as determined by a comparison of the seals. Taking into consideration the fact that the performative $u$ or $m u$ is frequently dropped in Assyrian names, e.g., shallim for ushallim or mushallim, in Ashur-shal-lim, Johns, A. D. D., 163:1, or for mushallim in Nabî-shal-lim- $\alpha$ hhê, Johns, $A$ : D. D., 102:1, there is every reason to believe that the element in question is to be regarded as the participle in a shortened form. Cf. the docket on tablet 78 [בלכ? for Bêl-mukin-aplu. This being true, $K i$-na-a is au abbreviation for a name like Bêl-mukin-aplu, or, in other words, is a bypokoristicon, with the "kose" suffix. This explanation, however, will not justify the trausliteration of $D U$ as kîn (imperative). instead of mukin in such full names as $\operatorname{Bê} l-m u k i n(D U)$-zêru, as has been done generally in Babylonian names by some Assyriologists.
resembling the first person pronominal suffix of the noun, ${ }^{1}$ such as Im-li-ia, 24:16; ${ }^{f} I b-n i-{ }^{\prime}-i a$, Strass., $N b k$., $62: 3$; Ba-ni-ia, Vol. 1X, $26: 15$; Tab-ni-e-a, ${ }^{2} 4: 5 ; 80: 17$. It is apparent at a glance that this ending cannot be regularly regarded as the pronominal suffix of the noun, for it is here found in connection with verbal forms.

The explanation of this peculiar combination of a verbal form, with this ending, is as follows: One of the elements of a name was used for the sake of brevity, to which was added this afformative, or "kose" suffix. ${ }^{3}$ In some instances it was the common noun, e.g., Shumi-ia, 51: 3; Shu-ma-a, 45: 10, etc., in others it was the deity, as Mar-duk-a, 39:12; Anum-ai, ${ }^{4}$ 101: 10, ete., and again it was the verbal form, as above, to which this ending was attached. For example, instead of calling the child by his full name, Marduk-zêr-ibni, he could be called Marduka, or Zêria, or Ibnîa. Cf. Şillai, 130:32, abbreviated from Ina-șilli-Ninib, 8: 12 (ef. Introd., Vol. IX, pp. 24, f.). Cf. the name Nabî-tab-ni-uṣur, the son of Egibi, Strass., Nbn., $132: 4$, written Tab-ni-e-a, Strass, Nbn., 133: 4. Cf. Peiser, Bab. Rechts., I, p. 11. Cf. also the name of an Aramaic doeket, ארדא, for Ardi-Ishtar, John's Deeds and Documents, III, p. 448, and רימא for Rêmu-shukun, C. B. M., 5172. That the transliteration of this character is $a$ and not $a p h$ in these names is proved by Aramaic " dockets" on tablets, where we find written for the names, $S U-A$, אריבי, Vol. IX, 66 , and $B A-S H A-A$, אקשי, Stevenson, Assyrian and Babylonian Contracts, 34: 3.

In the Neo-Babylonian period this afformative is $\check{c} a, \breve{e} a, \breve{u} a, a$ or $a i(A-A$.). The endings, except $a i$, are the same in form as the first person pronominal suffix of the noun. It is quite possible that originally it was the pronominal suffix which was attached to the element seleeted for the sake of shortness, e.g., E-sag-gi-li-ia, "My Esagila"; Ibria, "My Ibni," ${ }^{5}$ without any regard for the meaning of the word. However, I prefer to regard it merely as a " kose " suffix, even though the same rules that usually govern the nominal suffix are applied when this afformative is appended to name elements. This fact gives rise to what follows.
${ }^{1}$ For the early period, ef. Ranke, Dissertation, p. 42.
${ }^{2}$ Delitzsch, in his Handwörterbuch, p. 179, translates tabni "creature." The word occurs only in proper names, and is without doubt a verbal form. Tabnêa is a hypokoristicon for a name like Bêl-tab-ni-u-sur, Strass., Nbn., $116: 27$, and is a formation similar to Nabû-tab-ta-ni-bul-lit, Strass., Nbn., 300 ; Sin-ta-qish-bul-lit , Strass., Camb., $301: 9$; Nabû-ta-at-tan-nu-u-şur, Strass., Nbk., $21: 8$; Nubû-tul-tab-shi-li-shi-ri, Strass., Nbk., 161 : 5, etc.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Johns, American Journal of Scmitic Languagrs and Literature, Vol. XVIII, p. 152, f.
${ }^{4}$ To distinguish between this ending and those names which originally had the pronominal suffix is in every instance impossible. It-ti-ia, Strass, Nuk., $365: 6$, might be al abbreviation with the "kose suffix" of a name like Itti Bêl-abnu, Vol. IX, 4:2, or of a name like Nalnt-it-ti-ia, Strass., Nbn., 736:7, which contains the pronomiual suffix. A still more difficult problem would be to distinguish between those names composed of a deity with this " kose suffix" and those that may have the patrovymic ending.
${ }^{5}$ Cf. what I have written on the subject, Lutheran Church Review, Vol. XIV, p. 201, and also Ranke, Dissertation, p. 42.

In the transliteration of these names I have made the vowel, when there is one, which joins this afformative endiug or suffix to the element used, long or short, in accordance with the rules which govern the suffix. A number of Assyriologists invariably make it long, e.g., Vâréa, Ardíu, Rîṣ̂a; others transliterate like Ardïa, Bâniia, Zêriia.

Three classes of names containing either this "kose" suffix, or the pronominal suffix of the noun, must be recognized.

1. Those for which there is a reason why the joining vowel should be made long, namely, those elements which are in the plural, or are tertice infirmoe, e.g., Ahêe-a (Ahêa), Strass., Nln., $122: 6$; Tab-ni-e-a (Iabnêa) , $4: 5$; 'Ill-ni-'-ia (Ibnîa), Strass., Nbk., $62: 3$; Muk-ki-っ-a (Mukkêa), Strass., Nbn., $553: 3$; Bêl-shad̂̂-ú-a (shadûa), Strass., Nbn., $897: 2$; Shamash-ré'u-и́-a (rét̂a), Strass., Nbn., 231. This being true, the joining vowel in names of this class, though its length is not indicated, must be considered long, e.g., Im-li-ia $=I_{m} l i ̂ a, 24: 16 ; B \not ̂ n i-i a=B a ̂ n i ̂ a, 2: 3$, etc.
2. Those in which there is no reason whatever for the reading of a long vowel, e:\%., Shuım-i(1, $51: 3$; It-ti-ia, Strass., Nbk., 365:6; Ardi-ia, $4: 26$; Nin-e-n, Strass., Nbn., 34:9; Nûr-i-a, Strass., Nbk., $47: 10$; Itti-shar-i-ni-ia, Strass., Nbn., $282: 3$; Itti-Naluti-pîni-ia, Strass., Camb., $201: 1$, etc. There is absolutely no reason why some Assyriologists should consider the vowel long. ${ }^{1}$ In not a single instance that I know of does the phonetic writing show that the vowel is long. When it is the pronominal suffix, grammatically there is no reason why it should be considered long. If a vowel is used to join $a$ to the word, it is in every instance in this class a short vowel. It is either short $\breve{\iota} \breve{e}$ or $\breve{u}$ (perhaps also $\breve{\circ}$ ). Even though an occasional name of this class were found written like $N_{\ell-r-i-e-c, \text {, of which I have no knowledge, I would explain it, }}^{\text {n }}$ according to the following, as having a short vowel.
3. There is a large number of names ending in $u$, not tertice infirmer, to which is
 Nbn., $466: 2$; Bêlit-kudur'(u)-íl-a, Strass., Nbn., $1039: 7$; Shurru-il(u)-ít-a, Strass., Nbn., $419: 5$, etc. Those written ideographically, as the last two examples, need offer no difficulties, and yet the explanation of the former may also be applicable to the latter. It must be kept in mind that the scribe did not write Babylonian and Assyrian names necessarily according to their exact pronunciation, but rather according to the elements of which they were composed. Ideographic writing is doubtless respunsible for this. The meaning of the names must, therefore, have been well understood by the scribes. This being true, it is scarcely possible that in names containing the nominal suffix, they would have violated their rules concerning the length of the joining vowel. Can ally plausible explanation for this peculiarity in writing be offered?
[^21]On examination it will be found that, with a very few exceptions, e.g., Gi-lu-u-a, Strass., Nbk., $54: 12$, in the hundreds of cases where such names occur, the sign $S H A M(i)$ is always used as the joining vowel. This aplies to all periods of Babylonian literature. In Assyrian texts, on the other hand, so far as I have been able to ascertain, the small sign for $u$ is used. If any significance, therefore, is to be attached to this orthographical peculiarity, what applies concerning the one sign in Babylonian should apply to the other in Assyrian. For those Babylonian names, not tertice infirmece, which end in ${ }^{*} u$, to which are attached $u$ - $a$, I desire to snggest, cither, that it is an effort to write phonetically ${ }^{\prime \prime}$, which is a secondary development from $j$, under the influence of the preceding vowel $u$, in which case the pronunciation would be like rişuwa (a form parallel to ardiiu, etc.); or that $\overline{\prime \prime}$ is to be regarded as a phonetic complement with the value o, ri-su(-o)-a risoa (a form parallel to nirea). ${ }^{1}$ It is now well recognized that a phonetic complement precedes or follows a phonogram as well as an ideogram. ${ }^{2}$ Taking this fact into consideration, also that the one particular sign $u$ is commonly used in this connection in Babylonian ; that in the Hebrew names com-
 No. 5510), ur represents the $o$ sound; that $u$ as a joining vowel, unless it has the accent, would he rather difficult to pronounce, and as a short joining vowel to connect $a$ to any consonant, $o$ is to be preferred to $u$, are we not justified in suggesting that perhaps we have here the $o$ vowel ${ }^{3}$ represented by the sign $S H_{A} M$, and that in words of this class it serves as a phonetic complement? If this were true, then, the phonetic writing of names like Nergal-ri-su-in-a (risoa), "Nergal is my helper," would do no violence to the rules which regularly govern the pronominal suffix of the noun. The same might be true, also, in the case of words not in proper names which have this suffix, such as zêru-и́- $\dot{a}$, Vol. IX, 48:2. Moreover, with this one difficulty out of the way, all suffixes or afformatives discussed, which end in the vowel $a$, can regularly come ander the rules regulating the nominal suffix, and there is no need for confusion as regards the length of the joining vowel.

From the Concordance of Proper Names, it will be observed that a large number of names which occurred in the tablets dated in the reign of Artaxerces I., continue to appear in these documents. Notwithstanding this fact, the large list of foreign names, which did not occur in Vol. IX., shows that in proportion the number of foreigners entering into contract relations with the Murashû family or acting as witnesses was perhaps greater than in the preceding reign.

[^22]$I_{a-(1-m a}=$ Iâwa as the second element of Hebrew names I have placed in the list of gods, regarding it as the Babylonian equivalent of 1 'י, the contracted form of the tetragrammaton. Pinches, long ago, identified the element as such; cf. Proceedings Soc. Arch., Vol. XV, p. 14, f. The first occurrence of $I i \not h h \hat{\prime}(I a-h u-i \prime, I a-a-h u-u)^{1}$ as an element in Hebrew names I found in copying the texts for Vol. IX. Iêhê was introduced in the list of gods as יָה (cf. Intro., p. 76). In view of the fact that the traditional pointing is 'ְי'; ; that the Septuagint invariably reads ' $I_{\omega}$, and because of what is said with reference to SHAM and the value 0 in Babylonian proper names (cf. p. 19), I am inclined to think that Iu-c-hu-úu was pronounced Iāhôo. IÂma was not placed in the list of gods. Zimmern, in his treatment of the subject says, "Ob dieses schliessende jâma den Gottesnamen Jahwe repräsentirt, ist nicht so sicher als dies beidem beginnenden Jôthû der Fall ist" (K. A. T., ${ }^{2}$ p. 466). While efforts have been made to show that יהו as the final element of Hebrew names does not represent ${ }^{\text {י }}$, ${ }^{3}$, this question I will not discuss as I accept the position taken by most scholars, including the savant Nöldeke (cf. Encyclopotlia Biblica, Col. 3279), who consider it as such.

As is well understood the nost common formations of theophorous names, of the late Hebrew period, are, deity + verb or substantive; and verb or substantive + deity.
 Hebrew names found on Babylonian tablets both formations with $\boldsymbol{N}$ are commonly recognized as well as $\boldsymbol{1}$ ' as the first element. Is it not reasonable to expect the other very common formation also to be represented? The element which precedes Iâma in these and other texts are: $A h i{ }^{3}{ }^{3} A-q a-b i, A z-z i{ }^{4}{ }^{4} B a-l i, B a-n a, B a-r i k-k i, G a-d a-a l$, Ga-mar, ${ }^{4}{ }^{H}\left(t-n a-n u, I a-a-d a-a h, I a-s h e-{ }^{\prime},{ }^{4} I g-d a-a l\right.$, Ish-ri-bi, Ma-tan-ni-', Ma-la-ki, ${ }^{4}$ Na-ta-nu, Ni-ri, ${ }^{5}$ Pa-da-a, Pi-il-lu, Ti-ッi, Ṭu-ub, Shhu-bu-nu, ${ }^{4} Z a-b a d$, etc. Every element can be considered to represent a Biblical word. Twenty-one of the twenty-three given are found in the Old Testament as the first element of names compounded with

 "שרברביהו .זבריהו is not found, but cf. With the other name $A$-qa-bi-Ia- $a-m a$, which is not found, cf. עקבאה. If Iâma does not represent there are no other Old Testament names with which to compare these twenty-three, most of which are unquestionably Hebrew; and vice versa, we look in vain in the Neo-Babylonian literature for Hebrew names of this very common formation. 'יהו' as the final element in the Assy-

[^23]rian historical texts was written Iau and Iâu, c.!., $\underline{H} a-z a-k i-i a-a-u(i u-\mu, a-u)$, Az-ri-iィ-a$u(i a-u, a-u)$. In Neo-Babylonian, in every instance that I know of, the element is written lama (It-(t-ma). It is umecessary to repeat here that the Babylonian $m=$ Hebrew )., cf. pp. 2, 9. In what manner Jâwa represents יהו I am not prepared to say. There is a possibility that Jiner is the actual pronunciation of Jahwe, as proposed by Sayce and Hommel ${ }^{1}$ years ago, in which case it would seem that perhaps the scribes arbitrarily iintroduced it, as they very likely did in the case of $A N-M E S H=$ אל (cf. p. 12f.). Again, 1 'י, contrary to the pointing of the Massorites, which is not sup-
 Jâu may also have been pronounced Jâw (ef. p. 19). Moreover, I simply want to emphasize the fact that Iâma ( = Jâwa) represents 1 ', the contracted or apocopated form of 'הוה', and justify my placing the element in the list of gods.

## TRANSLATIONS OF SELECTED TEXTS.

The complete transliteration and translation of these texts, as was announced in Vol. IX, p. 30, are expected to appear in Series C. Conforming with Vol. IX, and for the same reasons, the transliterations and translations of a few representative texts, in order to illustrate the general character of these documents, are given. With the exception of one or two, which contain dockets, I have selected those which belong to a different class of contracts, or bear upon subjects altogether different, from those published in Vol. IX.

## 1.

No. 54, Darius II., year 1st, Marchesvan 2nd.
Contents : A lease of certain fish pools, in which the lessee, besides paying a stipulated sum, agrees to furnish the agent daily with a mess of fish.

## Transliteration :

1. Ri-bat mâru sha ${ }^{m d}$ Bêl-êrib ${ }^{h} a r d u$ sha ${ }^{m a}$ Bêl-ntidin-shumu ina hru-ud lib-bi-shu 2. $a-n a{ }^{m d}$ Bêl-nâdin-shumu mâru sha ${ }^{m} M u-r a-s h u-$ 亿́ ki-a-am iq-bi 3. um-ma bârê sha nûnicol. sha ina bi-rit ${ }^{\text {alu }}$ Ah-sha- $\alpha-n u u^{\text {alu }}$ Gi-ish-shu 4. sha ${ }^{m d}$ Bit-ub-usur sha ina

 7. i bi-in-nam-ma. Ina shatti $\frac{1}{2}$ biltu kaspu qa-lu-й 8. خ ul-tu ̂̂mu(-mu) sha b̂̂rê shu'átu nûnicoll. a-na ba-a-ri 9. i-nam-din-na-' ̂̂mu(-mu) kun-nu nûni ${ }^{\text {col. }}$ a-na

[^24]pashshîri-ka lu-kin-nu. 10. Ar-ku ${ }^{m a}$ Bêt-nûdin-shumu ish-me-shu-ma bûrê sha nûnicoll. shu'átu a-na isu $B A R$ 11. a-na shatti $\frac{1}{2}$ biltut kaspu id-lla-ash-shu. Ina shatti kaspu a' $\frac{1}{2}$ biltu ${ }^{i s u} B A R$ bûrê shu'átu ${ }^{m}$ Ri-bat a-na 13. ${ }^{\text {md }}$ Bêl-n̂̀din-shumu inà an-din u nînu ${ }^{\text {coll. }}$ a-na pashhûri-shu u-ka-nu 14. Ul-tuِ ̂̂mu $1^{k a n}$ sha argu Araḩshamna shattu $1^{k a n}$ bûvê shu'átu ina pân ${ }^{m}$ Ri-bat
15. Ina pân ${ }^{m d} B e ̂ l-s h u-n u u^{m} U-m a r-d a-a-t u{ }^{h} d a i a n e ̂ ~ s h a ~ N a ̂ r-d ~ S i n . ~$

## Translation :

Ribât, son of Bêl-çib, servant of Bêl-ntdin-shumu, of his own free will spoke to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murasĥ̂, thus: the fish ponds which are between the towns Ahshänu and Gishshu, belonging to Bél-ab-uṣur, those which are in the fields of the chief of the brokers; the fish pools which are in the field of the prefect of the hindanu (professional name) ; the fish pools which are in the town Natuêl let me have for rent for one year. For the year, one-half of a talent of refined(?) silver ; in addition, from the day I am given possession of those fish ponds for fishing, daily, a mess (lit. fixed amount) of fish for thy table I will furnish. 'Thereupon Bêl-nêdin-shumu complied with his request, and rented him those pools of fish, for the year, for one-half talent of silver. For the year the silver, i.e., one-half talent, rent for those pools, Ribât shall pay to Bél-nâdin-shumu, and the fish for his table he shall furnish. From the first day of Marchesvan, year first, those pools are at the disposal of Ribât.

In the presence of Bêlshumu and Umardâtu, judges of the canal Nâr-Sin.
Names of six witnesses and the scribe. Seal impressions of five witnesses including that of Rîmût-Ninib, son of Murashı̂.

## 2.

No. 1, Darius II., year of accession, Shabat 4th.
Contents : Lease of a house. The stipulated sum is paid in advance for a certain term. In case possession of the house is demanded before the expiration of the lease, the full amount of rent is to be returned.

## Transliteration:

1. Bîtu sha ina muhthi $\alpha$-ra-am mu sha ${ }^{d} B[\hat{e l}]$ itti bîti 2. ${ }^{m} Z a-t a-m e-e ~ s h a{ }^{m} A p$ -la-a mâru sha ${ }^{m}$ Hुar-ma-hi--' 3. a-na i-di bîti ultu ûmu IV sha Shabâtu a-dimuh-hi 4. a-ṣi-e sharri a-na 1立 ma-na kaspu piṣ̂a(-u) a-na 5. ${ }^{m d}$ Bêl-nâdin-shumu máru sha ${ }^{m}$ Mu-

[^25]ra-shú-úu id-din. 6. К'ıspu'a 1¹2 ma-na i-di bîti-shu sha a-di muh-lıi 7. a-ṣi-e sharri ${ }^{m}$ Ap-la-a ina qát ${ }^{2}{ }^{m d}$ Bêl-mûdin-shumu 8. mu-lii-ir. Pu-ut la pa-qa-ri sha bîti shu' átu
 paq-ri 11. kaspue a' $1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ mtt-na ${ }^{m} A p-l u-\kappa \quad u-t a-r i-m a ~ 12 . a-n a{ }^{m d} B e ̂ l-n a ̂ d i n-s h u m u$ i-nam-din. $\dot{U}$ di-ib-bi-shut 13. [a-n]a mulı-lıi i-di biti itti ${ }^{\text {md }}$ Bêl-nâdin-shumu 14. $i a-a-n u$.

## Translation :

The house, situated upon the rampart(?) of Bêl, alongside the house of Zatamé, which is the property of $A p l \hat{l}$, son of Harmaliu, he gave for house rent to Bêl-midiushumu, son of Murasht, from the fourth day of Shebat unto the going out of the king, for one and a half mine of refined(?) silver. The silver, i.e., one and a half mine, his house rent for the period, until the going out of the king, Aplâ has received from Bêl-nâdin-shumu. Aplâ, son of Hormahi', bears the responsibility for not repossessing that house. If the house from $B \hat{e} \hat{l}-n \hat{d} d i n-s h u m u$ is demanded, the money, namely one and a half mine, Aplâ shall return to Bêt-nidin-shumu, and there shall be no claim on his part against Bêl-nâdin-shumu concerning the rent of the house.

Names of seven witnesses and the scribe. Thumb-nail mark of Aplá.

## 3.

No. 131, Darius II., year 11th, Elul 21st.
Contents : A rental of sheep and goats.

## Transliteration :

1. ${ }^{m}$ Ahu-shu-nu mâru sha [ ${ }^{m}$ d Bél-étir i-na llu-ull lib-bi-shu a-na md Bêl-su-pi-e-mu-
 shattu shanû(-í) 3. CXLIV-ta lah̨ru rabiti [a-lit-]tum NXXVII immeru mêr shatti
[^26]XXYVIII-ta immerulah-ri mârat shatti 4. YXV urîsu rabû LX urîşu shattu [shanû(-ú)] L-ta enzu rabîti(-ti) a-lit-ti XVII urisisu sihru SVII-ta unîk 5. naphavu CCCLXXIII-
 lahru LXVI 2-ta qâtâti mi-il-du u-na ishtênit(-it) enzu ishtên(-en) mi-il-du 7. a-na ishtênit(-it) im-mir-tum $1 \frac{1}{2}$ ma-na shipâtut ${ }^{\text {coll. }} \alpha$-nu ishtênit(-it) enzu $\frac{5}{6}$ ma-na shipâtu enzu gi-iz-za-tum 8. "-na ishtênit(-it) im-mir-tum a-lit-tum ishtênit(-it) du-na-tum a-na C im-mir-tum I qa himêtu 9. ${ }^{\text {isu }} B \mathrm{~A} R$ ṣi-en shu' ${ }^{\prime} t u$ lud-dak-ka. A-na $C$ si-en $X$ mu-ut-ta-tum. mu-[un]-na-u' a-na 10. ishtên(-en) mu-ut-ta-tum ishtên(-en) mashkucol. 2t shiqhu gi-[da]-a-tulud-dak-ka. Ar-ku 11. ${ }^{m d}$ Bêl-su-pi-e-mu-hur ish-me-shí-ma immerubu-hal a' IX XXI'II immeru shattu shan̂(í) 12. ('ILLIV-ta lahrıu rabiti a-lit-ti NXXVII immerı mâr shatti XXXVIII-ta immerulah-ri mârat shatti 13. XXV wiṣu rab̂̀ IX urî̧̧u shattu shan̂̂(-úl) L-ta enzu ralîti a-lit-ti XVII urişu sihrue 14. XVII-ta unîku
 shu. Ina shatti a-na C laņrıu LXVI $2-t a$ qâtâti mi-il-rlu a-na ishtînit(-it) enzu 16. ishtên(-en) mi-il-du a-na ishténit(-it) inmirtume(-tum) 1咅 ma-na shipêtutull. a-na ishtênit (-it) enzu $\frac{5}{6}$ ma-na shipâtu enzu 17. gi-iz-za-tum c-na ishtênit(-it) immirtum(-tum) a-lit-tum ishtênit(-it) du-na-tum a-na C im-mir-tum a-lit-tu 18. I qa hrimêtu ${ }^{\text {isu }} B A R$ si-en shu'itu m_thu-slu-nu una ${ }^{m}{ }^{d} B e^{\prime} l-s u-p i-e-m u-h u r ~ i n a m d i n(-i n)$. 19. A-na $C$ si-en $X$ mu-ut-ta-tum ${ }^{m d} B e^{i}-$-su-pi-c-mu-hwr u-man-nt-ash-shu. A-na ishtên(-en) 20. mu-ut-
contract of this kind, provisions should be made for the return of a large percentage, at least, of the flock's natural increase. Goats being more productive, for the females rented, $100 \%$ of "offspring" was required, while only $663 \%$ for the sheep. Tâlittu $=$ ta'littu $=$ tawlittu from 7 h, translated "geburt," Delitzsch, Handwörterbuch, is doubtless the same word. Does $t a m l i t t u=$ tallittu $=t a^{\prime} l i t t u$, or does tamlittu by some analogous formation $=$ tawlittu? Mildu, Laving the same meaning, "offspring" or "boru" = wildu. Cf. Heb. וֶלר and the modern Arabic walad. This is a notable example if $w$, written $m$, is preserved at the beginning of a word. In the earlier periods the character $p i$ usually represents this sound. L. 9. mu-ut-ta-tum "dead" is fem. Inf. $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ of and is here used as a substantive wilh a passive signification. Cf. Delitzsch, Ass. Grammar, $\ddagger 64: 24$. Cf., mu-ta-ti, 74: 17. For similar formations cf. nullu, P1. = nullàtu, sheddu, hुettu, etc. It is used interchangeably with mi-qit-lu, cf. $132: 9$, 17 and Vol. IX, $14: 24$. Both terms refer to the dead of the flock. If provisions were made for the return of a certain percentage of the flock's increase it is reasonable to expect to find the same made also for the losses through death and accident. $10 \%$ would be a reasonable allowance, as the ordinary life of a sheep is about ten years. The gender of muttatum as well as miqittu did not seem to be clearly fixed (see above). Cf. ishtên muttatum, 130:10, ishtênit, li. 20 ; ishlèn, $131: 10,19$; ishtênil miqittu $132: 9$; ishten miqittu, 132:17, Vol. IX, $1: 24$. L. 10. gi-da-a-tu means something like "sinews" or "muscles." S.l is used as a variant of gidâtu. Cf. Vol. IX, 1:14, 24 and 132: 10, 17. SA $=$ buânu, cf, Brannow, List, No. 3073. The root in Arabic, Aramaic and Hebrew means to hew, to lew off (members of the body). The use of sinews and museles by all primitive peoples is well known; and as the amount per dead animal is small, i.e., $2 \frac{1}{2}$ shekels, this is what the word gidatu, and its variant, $S A$, in this connection seem to mean. L. 21. su-ud-du-du, "folding," occurs $130: 21,131: 21,132: 18,78: 7,12$, Vol. IX, $1: 25$, and Dar. 257 : 9, 348:9. On examination of tablet, Vol. IX, $20: 9$, the last two characters should also read $N U N$-tum instead of SHAM-DU. Peiser, Babylonischen Rechtsleben, III: 24, translates "zählen." The root in Arabic "to stop," "to shut up with a bar," points to the meaning of the word, which doubtless is in this connection "to fold," " to enclose the flock in a fold."
ta-tum ishtên (-en) mashlcucol. 2. $\frac{1}{2}$ shiqlu gi-da-a-tu i-nam-din. [Pu-ut] rèi-i-tum 21. su-ud-du-du u massarti sha şi-en shu'átu m Ahu-shu-nu na-shi. Ultu ̂̂mu XXI kan sha ${ }^{\text {arbu }}$ Ululu shattu $X I^{k a n}$ 22. si-cn shu'ítu ina pâni-shu si-cn shu'átu sha qât ${ }^{2}{ }^{m} S h a-b a-a h-$ ta-ni-' ${ }^{h} r a b-b u-u l ~ m a ̂ r u ~ s h a{ }^{m} P A-S H E E^{k i}-a i$.

## Translation :

 seer of Arsham, thus : nine male sheep, twenty-seven two-year-old male sheep, one hundred and forty-four large bearing sheep, thirty-seven one-year-old male lambs, thirtyeight one-year-old female lambs, twenty-five large male goats, nine two-year-old male goats, fifty large bearing goats, seventeen male kids, seventeen female kids, in all three hundred and seventy-three sheep and goat ("Kleinvieh"), white and black, the property of Arsham, rent me. In a year, I will give thee, as rent for those sheep : for one hundred (female) sheep, sixty-six and two-thirds ( $=66 \frac{2}{3} \%$ ) offspring; for one (female) goat, one offspring ; for one sheep, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ mine of wool ; for one goat, $\frac{5}{6}$ mine of sheared goat wool; for one bearing sheep, one dunatum; for one hundred sheep, one qa of butter. Reckon ten dead for every hundred sheep. For one dead, I will give thee one hide and $2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ shekels of sinews. Whereupon $B \hat{c} l-s u p \hat{c}-m u t u r$ granted his request, and nine male sheep, twenty-seven two-year-old male sheep, one hundred and forty-four large bearing sbeep, thirty-seven one-year-old male lambs, thirty-eight one-year-old female lambs, twenty-five large male goats, nine two-year-old male goats, fifty large bearing goats, seventeen male kids, seventeen female kids, in all three hundred and seventy-three sheep, white and black, large and small, gave him for rent. In a year Ahushunu shall give to Bêl-supê-mullur at the rate of one hundred female sheep, sixty-six and two-thirds offspring ( $=66 \frac{2}{3} \%$ ) ; for one female goat, one offspring ; for one sheep, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ mine of wool ; for one goat, $\frac{5}{6}$ mine of sheared goat wool ; for one bearing sheep, one dunatum; for one hundred bearing sheep, one $q a$ of butter, as rent for those sheep. For one hundred sheep, ten dead Bêl-supê-muhur shall allow him. For one dead, he shall give one hide and $2 \frac{1}{2}$ shekels of sinews. For the shepherding, folding and guarding of those sheep Ahushunu bears the responsibility. From the twenty-first day of Elul, year the eleventh, those sheep are at his disposal. Those sheep [shall be obtained] from Shabahtani, the head animal keeper, son of PA-SHE ${ }^{k i}-a i$.

Names of twelve witnesses and the scribe. Nine of the witnesses, besides Shabahtani, left impressions of their seals. Ahushumu made a thumb-nail mark instead of his seal. On the reverse is found an endorsement in Aramaic, שטר אחושנ בר בלאטר, "The document of Ahushunu, the son of Bêl-étir."

## 4.

No. 106 [Darius II ], year 6th, Sivan 10th.
Contents : Record of sheep and goats delivered to an individual for stock raising.

## Transliteration:

1. II ${ }^{\text {immerubu-hal } I V}{ }^{\text {immerulahah-ri }}$ 2. VIII ${ }^{\text {immerubuhahadu } X L V \text { laḩru rabîti(-ti) } a-l i t-t i}$ 3. $X V^{\text {immerulah-rat mârat shatti 4. IV urị̂su râbu ishtên urîṣu shattu shanû(-û) } 5 . ~ . ~ . ~}$ [III]urî̀şu şihru XX enzu rabîti a-lit-tum 6. VII unîku mârat shatti 7. napharu CIX şi-en rabîti qal-lat 8. pisîti(-ti) ṣalindu(-in-dut) sha ${ }^{m} R i$-bat aplu sha ${ }^{m d}$ Bêl-êrib 9. ${ }^{h}$ ardi sha ${ }^{m}$ Ri-mut- ${ }^{d}$ Ninib ana ${ }^{\text {isu }} B_{-}-1 R$ ina pân 10. ${ }^{m} Z a-b i d-{ }^{d} N a-n a-a$ uple sha
 e-pu-ush itti-shu 13. a-mi-ir ma-nu u paq-da-ash-shu.

Aramaic endorsement: שטטר זברננא ז׳ קנא.

## Translation:

Two male sheep, four sheep (two-year-old males), eight male lambs, forty-five large bearing sheep, fifteen one-year-old female lambs, four large male goats, one two-yearold goat, [three] male kids, twenty large bearing goats, seven one-year-old female kids, in all, one hundred and nine sheep, large and small, white and black, belonging to Ribât, son of Bêl-êrib, servant of Rimût-Ninib, for rent, are at the disposal of Zabid-Nanâ, son of Hammaruru. On the 10th day of Sivan of the sixth year, he concluded the business transaction with him. The sheep are (is) inspected, counted and entrusted to him.

Impression of the seal of Zabid-Nanâ. Aramaic endorsement: "The document of Zabid-Nanâ concerning that which he acquired."

## 5.

No. 99, Darius II., year 5th, Iyyar 18th.
Contents: A lease of certain fields, situated in a number of towns which are owned by a certain organization. Their representative, an overseer, is empowered to rent these lands for a period of three years.

[^27]
## Transliteration :


 Su-uk-ki-ia 3. sha ina ${ }^{a l u} \underline{\underline{I}} u-u s-s i-e-t u$ sha (a-'-ma-nu sha qât ${ }^{2}{ }^{m}$ Bír-la-ṭu aplu sha

 ishtên (-en) karpatudan-nu shikaru ma-lu-u ishtên(-en) immeru LX qa ki-me sha ina [pân ${ }^{m}$ ]Ri-bat aplu sha Bêl-êrib ${ }^{h}$ ardu 7. sha ${ }^{m} R i-m u t t^{d}$ Nimil id-din. Ine shatti ina ${ }^{\text {arhu }}$ Kislîmu kaspu mâ (A. AN) 2六 ma-na karpatudan-m" $\left[a^{\prime}\right.$ ishtên $\left.(-e n)\right]$ 8. immer'u "'

 shattu $\mathrm{T}^{\text {ªn }}$, ${ }^{\text {she }}$ Zêrâte shu'ítu ina.pân ${ }^{m}$ Ri-bat. Ishtên( $-e n$ ) TA-A-AN sha-ta-ri
 Vma-na kaspu i-nam-din.

# שטור ארקת נגריא ז יהב <br> הירורי בר חבציצּר לריבת בר 

## Translation :

The cultivated and uncultivated fields, belonging to the overseer of the Carpenters, which are located in the towns Tarbaṣu-ummanu, Husssctu-shu-Adrahu, Nakidini, Sukkia and Hussétu-sha-Qa'manu, H्Ci'duri, the overseer of the Nangarê, son of Habṣir, servant of Balâtu, by order of Balâtu, son of Siľa', gave those fields for rent to Ribât, son of Bêl-êrib, servant of Rîmût-Ninib, for three years; at the rate of per year, two and one-half mine silver, one jar full of wine, one sheep, and sixty qa of flour. Each year, in the month Kislev, the silver, namely, two and one-half mine ; jar, i.e., [one]; sheep, i.e., one ; flour, i.e., sixty qa, Ribatt shall pay to Hi'duri' as rent for those fields. The responsibility for [not] reclaiming those fields Hi'duri' bears. [From the month Ajjar of the seventh year] those fields are at the disposal of Ribatt. One document both have taken. [If those fields are demanded] before the expiration of the three [years] II ${ }^{\prime}$ 'duri' shall pay five mines of silver.

Names of eight or more witnesses and scribe. Seal impressions of three or more witnesses, also of $H i^{\prime} d u r i '$.

Aramaic endorsement: The document of the land of the Nagaraja (Carpenters), which Hi'duri', son of $\underline{H} a b s ̧ i r$, gave to Ribât, son of Bêl-êrib, for (lit. in) rent.

Annotations: No. 5. בסאה. For the use of the so-called pretic, in Hebrew, cf. Gesenius, Hebrew Grammar, § $119: 6$. סאה in Intro., Vol. IX, p. 24, is regarded as equivalent to 25 or 26 Babylonian GUR. In this text, as well as the two of Vol. IX, in which it occurs, it seems to me to mean something like rent, in this case for silver, sheep, wine and flour.

## 6.

No. 29, Darius II., year 1st, Tammuz 20th.
Contents: A coutract made with an individual for the gathering of a harvest, with a penalty attached in case the work has not been accomplished at a specified time.

## Transliteration :

 ina ni-si-ih-tum 3. sha ${ }^{m}$ Ri-mut- ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Ninib aphu sha MLu-ra-shu-úu 4. na-as-ah, a-na e-si-ri a-na 5. ${ }^{m d}$ Ninib-iddina aplu sha ${ }^{m}{ }^{d}$ Ninib-ettir iddin(-in) 6. i-si-ir-ri. A-na ûmi $2^{k a n}$ sha ${ }^{\text {arhu }} A b u$ 7. shattu $I^{k a n}{ }^{m} D a-r i-m u s h ~ e-b u-r u ~ s h u ' A ́ t u ~ 8 . ~ l a ~ i g-d a-a m-m a-a r ~ l a ~ i-t e-~$ si-ir 9. ebitru ma-la ina lib-bi im-mir-iq-qu-й 10. ${ }^{m d}$ Ninib-iddina ultu bîti-shu a-na ${ }^{m}$ Ri-mut- ${ }^{d}$ Ninib 11. id-dan ì ana lib-bi-shu itti ${ }^{\text {hikkarâti }}$ 12. ina mulh-hi ri-ih-tum e-bu-ru ia-a-nu.

## Translation :

Unto the second day of the month Ab , year first of Darius, king of countries, the harvest (namely), which as the apportionment of Rîmut-Ninib, son of Murashî, had been set apart, he gave to Ninib-iddina, son of Ninib-êtir, to gather in. If on the second day of the month Ab , year first of Darius, that harvest he did not completely gather in, the produce as much of it as should have been delivered, Ninib-iddina shall turn over to Rîmût-Ninib from his own possessions, and there shall be nothing for him, together with the farmers, as regards the balance of the harvest.

Names of four wituesses and the scribe. Seal impression of one witness. Aramaic endorsement: שטטר אנר(?)שתאדנ" " document of Ninib-iddina."

## $7^{a}$.

No. 55, Darius II., year 1st, Adar 28.
Contents: A partnership agreement made by two individuals to farm certain lands, and divide equally the profits.

## Transliteration :

1. ${ }^{m}$ Ninib-muballit (-it) aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Mru-she-zib $u^{m d}$ Ad-gi-shi-ri-zab-du 2. aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Bêl-êrib sha a-na a-ha-mesh iq-bu-úl $\quad$ 3. um-ma $V$ gur ${ }^{\text {she }}$ êeru ina eqli ${ }^{h} r a \hat{a} b-m u n(?)$-gu 4. ina kishâd Nâr-Bal-ti-ia ina ${ }^{\text {alu }}$ Bit-H $r$-di(?)-ia 5. ni-pu-ush ar-ku a-ha-mesh ish-me-e-ma 6. shezêru a' 5 gur a-na shu-ta-pu-ush 7. i-te-pu-shí-u'. she zêru [a'] 5 gur 8. ${ }^{m}{ }^{d}$ Ad-gi-shi-ri-za-bad-du i-mash-shuh-ma 9. a-na ${ }^{m}{ }^{d}$ Ninib-muballit(-it) u-kal-lam. Mimma ma-la 10. ina lib-bi il-la-' sharru itti a-ha-mesh 11. u-şal-lu-úu eshru-shu-nu $\alpha-h a-\alpha-t u-s h u-n u$.

## Translation :

Ninib-muballit, son of Mushízib, and Adgishiri-zabdu, son of Bêl-êrib, who had spoken to one another as follows: Let us sow five gur of seed in the field of ${ }^{h_{p} \text { oth }}$, mun (?)-gu along the bank of Nôr-Baltia, in the town Bit-Hadiia, agreed thereupon together, and the seed, i.e., five gur, for a crop they planted. The seed, i.e., five gur, Adgishirizabaddu shall measure and deliver (lit. show) to Ninib-muballit. They have sworn by the king that whatsoever grows on it shall be equally divided with regard to their tithe and their profit.

Five witnesses and the name of the scribe follow; also the seal of Adgishirizabaddu, and his name written in Aramaic characters א(?)יגשירזבר.

## $7^{\text {b }}$.

No. 44, Darius II., year 1st, Elul 21th.
Contents: An agreement and its acceptance embodying a proposition to farm certain fields on equal shares.

## Transliteration :

1. Shum-iddina aplu sha Pu-uh-hu-ru a-na ${ }^{m} R i-m u t-{ }^{d}$ Ninib 2. aplu sha Mu-ra-shu-и́u iq-bu-u um-ma II alpu at-tu-ú-a 3. it-ti II alpu at-tu-ka ina eqlê bît rit-ti-ka 4. lu-ush-ku-un u mimma ma-la ina shezêri shu'átu ina isunartab-i-ni 5. il-la-' a-ha-a-tu ni-i-ni. Ar-ki ${ }^{m} R i-m u t-{ }^{d} N i n i b$ 6. ish-me-shu-ma alpu $u^{\text {she }}$ zêru id-dash-shu alpu ma-la 7. alpu shezér'u ma-la shezêrru. Mimma ma-la ina lib-bi il-la-' 8. a-háa-lu-shu-nu sharru itti $a-h a-m e s h ~ u-s, a l-l u-u$.

## Translation :

Shum-iddina, son of Puhhuru, spoke to Rêmût-Ninib, son of Mhurashû, thus: Let me put two of my oxen with two of thine oxen into thy pasture lands, and everything, as much as in those fields grows, by our work of irrigation, is ours in common. Afterwards Rîmút-Ninib complied with his request and gave him oxen and seed; ox for ox, seed for seed. They have sworn by the king that whatsoever grows in it, shall be divided equally among them.

Names of four witnesses and the scribe. Seal impressions of three witnesses.
Annotations: No. 7b, L. 2. alpu. For an illustration of the oxen used at the present time in Babylonia to work the nartabu cf. Pl. XVI. The water buffalo (cf. same plate) is also used for this purpose. On the former cf. also Hilprecht, Assyrica, Tafel I. L. 4. On nartabu cf. Introduction to Vol. IX, p. 40, and also the illustrations Pl. XV and XVI.

## 8.

No. 9, Darius II year 1st, Nisan 1st.
Contents: A release given by an individual to Bél-nâdin-shumu for and ou account of a claim for damages arising from trespass committed by the latter and his servants. The charge of trespass, followed by its denial, and then payment of consideration for settlement or release, is analogous to similar transactions of the present day.

## Transliteration :

1. ${ }^{m}$ d $B a-g a--d a-a-t a-{ }^{\prime} a^{h} u s-t a-r i-b a-r i ~ a p h u ~ s h a{ }^{m}{ }^{d}$ Bêl-nâdin sha a-na ${ }^{m}$ Bêl-nâdin-

 u mimma sha nikasi-ia gab-bi at-ta ${ }^{h}[m \hat{A} r] \hat{e}$-bittâti-ka 4. ${ }^{h} a$-lik na-ash-par[-ti-]ka
 ${ }^{\text {alu }}$ Ra-bi-ia âli-ka sha kaspi-ka ul-tu lib-bi na-shíul ni-ih-pu kaspi-ka hुurâşi-ka alpécoll pl-ka şi-e-nu ${ }^{p l-k a}$ u mimma nikasi-ka gab-bi ana-ku
 ${ }^{m}{ }^{\text {d }}$ Bêl-nâdin-shumu ku-um la ru-gu-mi-e dinu sha ana muh-hi di-ib-bi an-nu-tu sha
 I gur ku-su um mi-id-cli-tum $L$ gur shekipûtu 11. $L$ karpatudan-nu kurunni latbi-pi ma-lu-и́ ta-a-bi a-di-i gu-rab $L$ karpatudan-nu kurunni 12. esh-shu ma-lu-и́ ta- $\alpha$-bi a-di-i gu-ra-bi CC gur suluppu CC lahrus şi-e-nu 13. XX alpécoll. pl V biltu shipatuc ${ }^{\text {coll. } a \text {-na }}$ ${ }^{m}{ }^{d} B a-g a---d \alpha-a-t a-{ }^{-}$it-ta-din 14. ${ }^{\text {she }} B A R$ a' $(A-A N$.) CCCL gur ku-su-um [mi-]-id-di-tum a' ( $A-A N$.) I gur shekipâtu $a^{\prime}(A-A N$.) L gur 15. dan-nu-tu a' (A-AN.) $L$ karpatu kurunni la-bi-ri ma-lu-u ta-a-li a-di-i gu-rab dan-nu-tu a' (AAN.) 16. L karpatu kurunni esh-shu ma-lu-u ta-a-bi a-di-i gu-rab suluppu a, ( $A-A N$.) CC gur 17. si-c-nu a' ( $A-A N$. ) CC lahru alpu coll. a' ( $A-A N$.) [ $X X$ ] shipâtu a' ( $A-A N$.) V biltu ${ }^{m d} B a-g a-'-d a-c-t a-{ }^{-}$18. ina qât ${ }^{2}{ }^{m}{ }^{d} B e ̂ l-n a ̂ d i n-s h u m u$ ma-hir e-tir. Dîmu u ra-ga-mu sha ${ }^{m}{ }^{d} B a-g \alpha-{ }^{-}-d a-\left[a-t a-{ }^{-}\right]$19. ${ }^{h} m a ̂ r e ̂ ~ b i t a ̂ t i-s h u ~{ }^{h} a-l i k$ na-ash-par-ti-shu ${ }^{h}$ ardâni-shu u ${ }^{h}$ ṣab-bu sha âlâni shu'átu[u li-mi-ti-shu-nu] 20. háa-pu-u sha ${ }^{a l u} R a-b i-i a{ }^{a l u} H a-z a-t u$ u alâni sha li-mi-tum-ti-shu u . . . . . . 21. gab-bi ittí ${ }^{m}{ }^{d}$ Bêl-nâdin-shumu ${ }^{h} m a ̂ r e ̂ ~ b i ̂ t a ̂ t i-s h u ~{ }^{h} a$-lik na-ash-par-ti-[shu ${ }^{h}$ ardâni-shu] 22. u




[^28]nikasu 25. shu'átu gab-bi itti ${ }^{m}{ }^{d} B e ̂ l-n a ̂ d i n-s h u m u ~{ }^{h} m a ̂ r e ̂ ~ b i ̂ t a ̂ t i-s h u ~ a ~ h a-l i k ~ n a-a s h-p a r-t i-~$ shu 26. ${ }^{h}$ ardâni-shu $u^{h} N i p p u r u^{k i}{ }^{\text {pl }}$ a-na $\hat{u} m u(-m u)$ s sa-a-tu ul i-rag-gu-mu. Inailâni u sharri 27. it-te-mu-и ki-i ana muh-hi di-ib-bi an-nu-tu ush-te-eh-su 28. Pu-ut la
 a-like na-ash-par-ti-shu ${ }^{h} a r d a ̂ n i-s h u ~ u{ }^{h}$ Vippuriù ${ }^{k i p l}$ la $i$-rag-gu-mu-u' ${ }^{m}{ }^{d} B a-g a-{ }^{\prime}-d a-\alpha-t a-{ }^{\prime}$ $n a-s h i$.

## Translation :

Baga'dêta' the ustaribari, son of Bêl-nâdin, who spoke to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, son of Murashit, as follows: The town Rabiia, from which silver was taken, Hazatu, and its suburbs, thou hast destroyed; silver, gold, my cattle and my sheep and everything belonging to me, all, thou, thy bond servants, thy messengers, thy servants and the Nippurians carried away. Whereupon Bêl-nâdin-shumu spoke as follows: We did not destroy Rabiia, thy town, from which thy money was carried, and the suburbs of Rabiia; thy silver, thy gold, thy cattle, thy sheep and everything that is thy property, all, I, my bond servants, my messengers, my servants and the Nippurians, did not carry away. Bêl-nâdin-shumu gave to Baga'dâta', on condition that no legal proceedings on account of those claims which Baga'dáta' and one with the other made, three hundred and fifty gur of barley, one gur of spelt(?), fifty gur of wheat(?), fifty good large jars full of old wine, including the bottles, fifty good large jars full of new wine, including the bottles, two hundred gur of dates, two hundred female sheep, twenty oxen, five talents of wool. Baga'dâta' received from Bêl-nâdin-shumu barley, i.e., three hundred and fifty gur ; spelt (?), i.e., one gur ; wheat(?), i.e., fifty gur ; jars, i.e., fifty good vessels full of old wine, including the bottles ; jars, i.e., fifty good vessels full of new wine, including the bottles; dates, i.e., two hundred gur; sheep, i.e., two hundred females; oxen, i.e., twenty ; wool, i.e., five talents he has been paid. There shall be no legal proceedings in perpetuo on the part of Baga'dâta', his bond servants, his messengers, his servants and the men of those cities, and their suburbs, which were entered, i.e., of Rabiia, Hazatu and the suburbs. . . . . by any of them, against Bêl-nêdin-shumu, his bond servants, his messenger, his servants and the Nippurians. Baga'dêta', his bond servants, his messengers, his servants and the men of those cities on account of that which they said concerning Rabiia, Hazatum, the suburbs of Rabiii, and everything pertaining to that property, none of them shall bring suit again, in perpetuo, against Bêl-nâdinshumu, his bond servants, his messenger, his servants and the Nippurians. By the gods and the king they have sworn that they will renounce all claims as regards those charges. Baga'dâta' bears the responsibility that no claim shall arise on the part of the men of those cities against Bêl-nâdin-shumu, his bond servants, his messengers, his servants and the Nippurians.

Names of ten witnesses and the scribe. Four seal impressions and a thumb-nail mark of witnesses; also seal of Baga'dâta'.

## 9.

No. 126, Darius II., year 7th, Marchesvan 28th.
Contents: A receipt for the rent of fief lands paid to an official who represented the people that held them, including an acknowledgment of what was given to the crown.

## Transliteration :

1. $\frac{1}{2}$ ma-na kaspu il-ki gamrûti ${ }^{h}$ şâb sharri ki-me sha sharri bar-ra u mimma na-da-na-
 shattu VII ${ }^{\text {kan m }}$ Da-ri-irt-a-mush sharru sha ina muh-hi ${ }^{\text {she }}$ zêru 4. zaq-pu u p̂̂ shul-pu
 sha ina shu-pal du. . . . . 6. sha kishâd ${ }^{\text {nar Purat Nippu }}{ }^{\text {ki }}$ sha ${ }^{h} h a-a t-r i ~ s h a{ }^{h} b a-n a-$ nesha-ai 7. sha ina pân ${ }^{m}$ Ri-mut- ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Ninib aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Mu-ra-shú-úl leaspu a' $\frac{1}{2}$ ma-na il-ki shu'ütu gamrûti sha shattu VII ${ }^{k a n}$ sha ina muhl-hii isu qashti shu'ítue ${ }^{m}$ Bêl-u-sur-shu ${ }^{h}$ shak-nu sha ${ }^{h} b a-n a-n e s h a-a i ~ a p l u-s h a{ }^{m} B e ̂ l-a b-u s ̣ u r ~ i n a ~ q a ̂ t t^{2} ~ 10 . ~{ }^{m} B e ̂ l-s u p e ̂-m u-h ु u r ~{ }^{h} a r d u ~ s h a$ ${ }^{m}$ Ri-mut- ${ }^{d}$ Ninib ma-hir e-tir.

## שטר בלאצֹרש סגנ בנשיא



## Translation:

Half a mine of silver, the complete taxes; a soldier for the king, flour for the king, barra and all kinds of gifts for the royal palace, all of it, which, from the month Nisan, year seventh, unto the end of Adar, year seventh of King Darius, is due from the seed field, cultivated and uncultivated, the fief land, held by Bêl-hâtin and the owners of his fief land; which is in the town Tarbilimmaharbe; under the . . . . ., which is along the bank of the Euphrates of Nippur, belonging to the overseer of the Banneshaja, which is leased to Rîmût-Ninib, son of Murashû. The silver, i.e., half a mine, those complete taxes for the seventh year, which rest upon that fief land, Bêl-uşurshu, the chief of the Banneshai, son of Bêl-ab-uṣur, has received from Bêl-supê-muḩur, the servant of RimûtNinib; he has been paid.

Names of six witnesses, four of whom left impressions of their seals. On the obverse is the following endorsement: "the document of Bel-usurshu, the chief of the Banneshaja [concerning] the silver which is for (from) the land(?) of the Banneshaja.
 Littmann suggested the reading of the uncertain character in ?

## 10.

No. 62, Darius II., year 2nd, Tebet 24th.
Contents : A mortgage. Certain lands are pledged as security for the payment of a debt. Record is also made of the payment of expenses incurred by the obligor in going on a mission for the king, and in addition the cancellation of a former debt, doubtless his payment.

## Transliteration:

1. YX gur suluppu sha ${ }^{m}$ Ri-mut- ${ }^{d}$ Ninib aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Mu-ra-shu-u 2. ina muh-hi $i$ ${ }^{m} B i-b a-a$ aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Bêl-shot-nu sha ${ }^{k} h a-a t-r i ~ 3$. sha Bit- ${ }^{h}$ Sin-mâgir. Ina ${ }^{\text {arbu }}$ Tashrîtu shattu IIIkan 4. suluppu a' IX gur ina ${ }^{\text {isu }}$ mat-shi-hu sha ${ }^{m}$ Ri-mut- ${ }^{\text {d Ninib }} \quad$ 5. ina ${ }^{\text {alu }}$ Bît ${ }^{m}$ Ik-ltt-' i-nam-din. Eqil-shu 6. zaq-pu u pı̂ shul-pu bît ${ }^{i s u} q a s h t i-s h u ~ k i s h a ̂ d ~ n a r u ~ H a r-r i-~$ pi-qud 7. sha ina ${ }^{\text {alu }}$ Bit-$^{m} I k-l a-{ }^{\prime}$ mash-ka-[nu su] luppu a'XX gur 8. ina pân ${ }^{m}$ Ri-mut${ }^{d}$ Ninib. ${ }^{h}$ Rasht̂( $(\hat{u})$ sha-nam-ma a-na muh-hi 8. ul i-shal-lat a-di ${ }^{m}$ Ri-mut- ${ }^{d}$ Ninib ra-shútus-su 9. in-nit-ți-ir. Suluppu shîm ṣi-cti-tum subatlu-bu-ush 10. u й-nu-ut ina shipri $\alpha-n a$ ṣi-bu-ut sha sharri 11. a-na a-la-ku a-na Uruki nadna(-na)-shu e-lat ú-an-tim mahrîtum(-tum) 12. sha ina muh-hi-shu.

## Translation:

Twenty gur of dates due to Rimât-Ninib, son of Murasĥ̂, by Bîbâ, son of Bêlshunu, who is the overseer of Bit-Sin-mágir. In the month Tishri of the third year, the dates, namely, twenty gur, he shall pay according to the measure of Rîmût-Ninib, in the town Bit-Ikla'. His field, cultivated and uncultivated, his fief estate situated on the bank of the canal Harripiqud, which is in Bit-Ikla', is held by Rimut-Ninib as a pledge for the dates, namely, twenty gur. Another creditor shall not have power over it until the claim of Rimut-Ninib has been satisfied. Dates, the price of food, clothing and an outfit in going to Erech, on a mission, according to the request of the king, are given him besides a former debt which was against him.

Names of seven witnesses and the scribe. Seal impressions of three witnesses, and the thumb-nail mark of Bibâ.
11.

No. 94, Darius II., year 4th, Sebat 8th.
Contents : An assignment of a debt, with the security whiclı was pledged for its payment, to another; with a penalty attached should the original creditor seek to recover against the security pledged.

## Transliteration :

1. I ma-na kaspu sha ${ }^{m} I a-a-d a-a h-I a-a-m a$ aplu sha ${ }^{m d}$ Shamesh-la-di-in 2. sha ina muh-hi ${ }^{n} S h a-^{d} M a r d u k-u l-\hat{i} n i ~ a p l u ~ s h a a^{m d} B e ̂ l-n a ̂ d i n ~ u{ }^{h} b e ̂ l e ̂ ̀ ~ i s u q a s h t i-s h u ~ 3 . ~ u ~ e q l i-s h u-n u . ~$

Bit ${ }^{\text {isu }} q a s h t i-s h u-n u ~ z a q-p u$ a pî shul-pu 4. sha ina alu Bit ${ }^{h_{r a b}}$ rá-u-ra-a-tu sha `kishâd ${ }^{n a r}$ Har-ri-pi-qud 5. mash-ka-nu kul-lu. Kaspu a' I ma-na ${ }^{m} I a-a-d a-a h-I ı-a-m a \quad 6$. aplu shu ${ }^{m d}$ Shamesh-la-di-in ina qutt ${ }^{2 m}$ Ri-mut- ${ }^{d}$ Ninib 7. aplu shu ${ }^{m}$ Mhu-ra-shúúl $a-n a$
 и ra-ga-mu sha ${ }^{m} I a-u-d u-a h-I a-a-m a \quad a-n a$ muh-hi 10. eqlu sha $\left.{ }^{m} S h a-d\right) I a r d u k-u l-\hat{i} n i$ a-na âmu(-mu) ṣa-a-tu itti ${ }^{m} R i-m u t-{ }^{-}$Ninib $i a-a-n u$. 11. Ina ̂̂mu(-mu) dîmu u va-ga-mu ${ }^{m} I t-a-d a-a h-I a-a-m a 12$. ana muh-lii eqli shu'útи ú-shab-shú-í $X$ ma-na kaspu sha la
 eqlu bît mash-ka-nu 14. ina bid m $I a-a-d a-a h-I a-a-m a$ te-la-' e-tiv-tu 15. shi-i

## Translation :

One mine of silver is the claim of Iadah-Iâma, son of Shanesh-ladin, which is against Sha-HLurduk-ul-ini, son of Bêl-nâdin, and the tenants of his fief land, and their field. Their bit-qashti, cultivated and uncultivated, situated in the town Bit-rab-urâtu, at the bank of the canal Huripiqicl, is held as a pledge. The silver, i.e., one mine lêdah-lâma, son of Samesh-ladin, has received from Rîmût-Ninib, son of Murasĥ̂, charged against Sha-Marduk-ul-ini, and the tenants of his fief land; he has been paid. There shall be no legal proceedings whatsoever in perpetuo with Rîmût-Ninib by IâdahIâma on account of the field of Sha-Marduk-ul-ini. If Iâdahl-Iâma institutes legal proceedings against that field he shall pay ten mana of silver without legal process. The certificate of debt which was taken out against Sha-Marduk-ul-ini and the field, the pledged estate, on the name of Iâdahh-Iatma, is a guarantee (namely for Rîmitt-Ninib).

Names of eight witnesses and the scribe. Seal impressions of four witnesses, besides the thumb-nail mark of Iâdah-Iâma.
12.

No. 59, Darius II., year 2nd, Marchesvan 3rd.
Contents: An inventory concerning two hundred jars of wine which RîmûtNinib, empowered by his clients, and according to the advice received, delivered to the employé of another, who had the latter's order.

## Transliteration :

1. CC ${ }^{\text {karpatu } d a n-n u ~ k u r u n n i ~ l a-b i ̀-r i ~ m a-l u-u ́ ~ t a ̂ b u ~ 2 . ~ i n a ~ l i b-b i ~ 20 ~ k a r p a t u ~ d a n-n u ~}$ kurunni $I$ gur $A-\perp N$ u $I$ pi $A-A N$ 3. shattu shalshî( $-\hat{u})$ sha ${ }^{m}$ Ri-mut${ }^{\text {d}}$ Ninib aplu sha Mu-ra-shu-и́и 4. sha qât ${ }^{2 m d}$ Bêl-ka-ṣir aplu sha Ah-êrish u ${ }^{m}$ Qu-un-na-a 5. aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Bêll-ct-su-úl-a u ki-nu-at-ti-shu-nu 6. a-ki-i pî sha ${ }^{m d}$ Ninib-nâdin aplu sha ${ }^{m d}$ Vinib-êrib dan-nu a' 7. CC karpatıo ${ }^{m d}$ Nabut-na-din aplu sha ${ }^{m}$ Bêl-ka-siv ina na-ash-par-tum 8. sha ${ }^{m} L a-b a-s h i \hat{i}$ aplu sha ${ }^{m d} N a b \hat{u}-b e ̂ l-u b a l l i t(-i t \cdot)^{h} p a q-d u$ sha bîti mâr

 12. it-ti. ${ }^{m}$ La-ba-shi aplu sha ${ }^{m d} N a b \hat{u}-b e ̂ l-u b a l l i t(-i t)$ 13. $u^{h}$ am-ma-ri $\alpha$-kal-la-nu sha
 din sha e-tir den-mu a' CC karpatu.

## Translation :

Two hundred good jars full of old wine, of which there shall be twenty jars of one gur and one pi-size of first class three-year-old wine, held by Rimut-ATinil, son of Murashî, empowered by Bêl-kâsir, son of $A l$-êrish and Qumnâ, son of Bêl-âsûa and their families. According to the message of Ninib-nadin, son of Nimib-êrib, the jars, i.e., two hundred, Nabû-nâdin, son of Bêl-kâsir, by the authority of Lâlâshi, son of Nabî-bêl-uballit, superintendent of the house of the prince and master of Nabîtnâdin, has received from Bêl-kâşir, Qumn̂̀ and their families. Nabû-nâdin shall leave the jars, i.e., two hundred, witl Lâbâshi, son of Nabû-bêt-uballit, and Rímit-Nïib's inspector of food, delivering them for Bet-kitsir, Qumat and their families, that which is paid for, namcly two hundred jars.

Names of ten witnesses and the scribe. Seal impressions of four witnesses, and of Nabû-nâdin.

Aramaic endorsement: :שטר לבש, "document of Lâbâshi.",

# CONCORDANCE OF PROPER NAMES. 


#### Abstract

Abbreviations. b., brother ; cf., confer ; d., daughter ; det., determinative ; f., father ; f., following page ; ff., following pages; gf., grandfather; gs., grandson; 1. c., loco citato; m., master, mistress (employer); mo., mother; n., nephew ; p., page ; pp., pages ; q. v., quod vide ; s., son ; sc., scribe; si., sister ; u., uncle; w., witness.

Ar., Aramean ; Arb., Arabic; Bi., Biblical ; Eg., Egyptian ; He., Hebrew ; Na., Nabatean ; Np., NeoPunic; Pa., Palmyrene; Pe., Persian; Ph., Phœnician; Pu., Punic; Sa., Sabean; Th., Thamudenian. B. A., Beiträge zur Assyriologie; Ed., Editor; Z. A., Zeitsehrift für Assyriologie.

Determinatives: d., deus, dea; f., femina; h., homo (amêlu); m., mas; pl., plural. [ ] = text restored. * before a name indicates foreign origin of the same. The numbers refer to the cuneiform texts of the autograph plates. Names known from Vol. IX are underscored. An additional IX following the name indicates that the peculiar writing is confined to Vol. IX. To avoid repetition, all such matters referring to their interpretation as given in Vol. IX, is omitted in Vol. X.


## I. Names of Persons.

1. Masculine Names.
*Ab-da-', $119: 2,9 \mid 120: 2$.
Abu-li-ti-' (cf. Ahhu-lititi-ia, Ahbu-li-ti-', Ahu-li-'), f. of Țâbia, $59: 16|40: 11,15| 108: 13$.
Abu-ul-idi
2. hsipirri, 5:7.
3. 38 : 8.
*A-dar-ri--ilì (cf. Pu. עררבעל(N), f. of Mannuluhâa, $46: 2$.
Addannu IX, to be read Taddannu, q.v.
*Ad-di-ia (cf. Haddiia, and [Id-di-ia and Id-ia-Ed.] ef. Ar. עריה), in alu Husseèti sha Addiia, 91 : 7.
${ }^{d} A d-d u$-abu-uṣur, in Nâru sha m Addu-abu-uṣur, 117: 3.
*Addu(dIM)-raram.mu (cf. Na. אררמו), f. of MushêzibBèl, 126 : 14.
*dAd-gi-shi ri-za-bad-du, dAd-gi-shi-ri-zab-du†(Ar. docket (
$\dagger$ For AN-MESH = Heb. $\mathfrak{K}$ I have transliterated $i l \hat{\imath}$ as in Vol. IX. $K l$ would have beeu better, ef. Intro., pp. 12 f. Cf. $I a-a s h-m a-a h-i-e l$, C. B. M., 1352:17; also Ia-ah-za-ar-ilu, Ranke, Personal Names, with Ia-ah$z a-a r-\imath ̀-i l$, C. B. M., 1235. [Cf. also Edit. Preface. This Aram. name must be interpreted in connection with Ili-ha-da-ri, below. In view of the latter writing (ha and da) the root can only be (i) TV. From the same root I derive (with Johns, Assyr. Deeds, III, p 198) the name of the Assyrian Eponym $A-d a r-i l u(i)$, written also Ad-ri-ilu( $i$, which Zimmern (K. A. T. ${ }^{3}$, p. 485) would compare with Watar( $)$-ilu. The name, however cannot be translated " the help of the god" (Johns), but "The god has helped" (Perf. of Qal). It corresponds exactly with Bi. לی゙ lows from Strassmaier, Camb., 145:12 (A-tar-ri-itl-ri), the "ri" in both cases probably being due to the " $i$ " fol-lowing.-Ed.]
$\ddagger$ [Apparently the text liad 7 (Addi). As to the writing $A d d i$ alongside of $A d, A d d u, A d a d$, ef. Zimmern, $K$. A. $T^{3}$, p. $\left.444 .-\mathrm{Ed}.\right]$
*Ad-ra-hu-ú ["God $A d(d u)$ "' or "Abu is loving"' Ed.], $\dagger$ in Hुusṣêtu s7ua Add-ra-ḩu-ú, $99: 2$.

* A-du-me-e [Ar. $=d A d-u m e ̂, ~ c f . ~ d A d a u(d I M)-u ́-m e-e$, Strassm., Camb. 19 : 22, Pa. אום-אום (also Ah-umê$s h u)-\mathrm{Ed}$ ], f. of Siha'. $66: 13$, U. E.
${ }^{*} A h[U h$ ? ]-da-ga, f. or Dûiâhabbe, $119: 17 \mid 120: 13$. Aĥê-iddina

1. f. of Bariki-ilu, $123: 8$.
2. f. of Ninib-gâmil, $14: 20|48: 18| 49: 2$.
3. f. of Niniz-nâdin, $48: 18$ \49:2. Id. with No. 2. $A \nmid \hat{e}-B A-A$, or $A h \hat{e}-i q \hat{\imath} s h a(-a) \ddagger$
4. f. of Bêl-nâdin-shumu, $91: 6$.
5. f. of Taddannu, 114: 15.

Ahê-utîr (GUR)

1. f. of Bêl-ittannu, 26:3.
2. f. of Ninib-erba, 4:4.

* 'Ah-ma-na-' (or Àh-ba-na-', cf. Bi. , אֲדְ) [probably to be read $\bar{U} h-m a-n a-$ and identical with $U$-hu ma' $n a-$ ', q. v.-Ed.], s. of ..., b. of Barikki Bêl, 53 : 1, 14, 18, U. E.

Ah(u)-êrish (and e-ri-ish), f. of Ah-iddina, 4:1| 111 : 15, U. E. |117:20|121:8, f. of Bêl-kî̀ sir, $4: 1 \mid 59: 4$.
*Ah $(u)-i a-a-m a-n u-u s h$, 尽 m. of Mannu iqabu, 84:17| $85: 4,10$, U. E.
Ah(u)-iddina

1. s. of $A h-e ̂ r i s h, ~ b$. of Bêl-kêsir, $4: 1,13 \mid 111$ : 15, U. E. | 117 : 20| 121 : 8.
2. s. of $\operatorname{Iddin\hat {n},~} 48: 4$.
3. s. of Iddina-Bêl, $9: 34$.
4. s. of Lâbâshi, b. of Silim-ilâni, $36: 18|37: 16|$ $57: 16 \mid 63: 12$.
5. s. of Nidintum-Bêl, b. of Nab̂t-rê' $\hat{u} h h n u$, b. of Zabdiia, b. of $\ldots, z a-a, 25: 2$.
6. s. of $R e \hat{e}$ 'annu, $26: 10$.
7. s. of Shum-iddina, $29: 16$.
8. s. of $Z u z \hat{a}, 100: 11$, Lo. E.
9. f of Iddina-Bêl, $10: 4$.
10. $96: 7, R$.
$A h(u)$-it-tan, s. of Bêl-nâdin, $27: 4$.
Aḩu-la-ri-im, \| in aluBit-Alu-larim, 107:5.
Ahu-li-', in naru Ahu-li', $43: 4 \mid 112: 4,10$.
Ahu-li-ti-', Ahu-li-ti-ia (cf. $\left.A b u-l i-t i-{ }^{\prime}\right)$
11. f. of Nabî muballit, $51: 5$.
12. f. of Ninib-ibni, $20: 4$.

13. s. of $Q u d d \hat{a}, 115: 18$, R. E.
14. s. of Uballitsu-Marduk, b. of Iddina-Marduk, 45 : 16 | 130 : 25, Lo. E. | 131 : 24, Lo. E.
Ahu-shu-nu (Ar. docket אחוּ, 131 : R., also on an unpublished docket, Vol. IX, No. 2)
15. s. of $\operatorname{Apl\hat {a},~sc.~} 87: 14|110: 14| 122: 19$.
16. s. of Bèl-êtir, $131: 1,18,21$, R.
17. s. of Bêbânu, $63: 14 \mid 111: 14$, R. E. | $115: 19$.
18. f. of Amêl-Bèl, 11 : 7.
19. f. of Bêl-abu-uşur, 37 : 19. Id. with No 4.
20. f. of Bêl-êpush, 114 : 17.
$\dagger$ [Cf. the female name $A D-r a-h i-i$ (Johns, Assyr. Deeds, 245:7). In view of such manes as $S i-{ }^{-}-r a-h i-i$ and Adad-ra-ha-a-u (Jolins, l. c., 742, Obv. 28) and Nabit-ra-hi-i and Nabit-ra-hi-ia, below, it is clear that $A D$ represents a deity which may bave been $A b i$ ("father") or $A d$. I prefer the reading of $A d$ in view of $A d a d(U)$-rah $\hat{a} u$, for
 a Semitic deity $7 \boldsymbol{N}$, which evidently is only shortened from $A d a d$ or $A d d u$. Cf. the name $A$-du-me-e, $i e ., d A d-u m e ̂$, below, alongside $\operatorname{Adad}(d / 1 /)$-umê, also $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{T}}$ alongside of in Ar. proper names (Lidzbarski, l. c, p. 258), and
 $A \npreceq u$ (cf. Hुi'dûri', below), etc. Cf. also $\operatorname{Dad}(d) a(i, u)$ and $A d a d i .-E d$.
$\ddagger B A=i q \hat{s} s h f$ in proper names is usually accompanied by $s h a$. Sha in these texts is frequently written like $A$. (cf. Sign List, and also Introd., Vol. IX, p. 17), yet on the two tablets in which this name appears this peculiarity does not exist. [The Editor is inclined to transliterate Ahê-iqîsh $\hat{\kappa}$ and to regard it as the fuller form of Iqîsh $\hat{\alpha}$, both being abbreviated (therefore $\hat{t}$ at the end instead of a) from "Ahê-iqisha+Deity." In support of this theory he points out that both are called "father of Taddannu," and that according to the Aram. docket Bèl-mukin-aplu (7א : R.) may be read Bêl-fînâ. From this it would follow that also abbreviated names consisting of two elements may receive the ending $a j$ or $\hat{i}$. Cf. Mannu-ki-ia, below.]
$\S[C f$. also Ahi-ia-am-nu, Johnson, Assyr. Deeds, 625, Obv. 12. Cf. also Johnson. Doomsday Book, p.61. In all probability, however, the two names must be separated, the latter being Semitic, while Ahiâmanush (=Ahama. nish, for which cf. Hüsing, Dic iran Eigennamen, p; 42) is Iranian = Haĥhananish. The Iran. element manish ap-

|| Cf. Mầru-la-rim, llu-la-rim, Milki-larim, etc. Cf. Johns, Ass. Deeds, and Ed. Preface.
21. f. of Bêlshunu, $22: 12$.

8 f. of Iäditu-ilĩ, $46: 4$.
9. f. of Ninib-ad-iddina, $90: 11$, U. E. $|92: 16|$ $127: 16 \mid 129: 15$.
10. f. of Tuddannu, $37: 19$. Identical with No. 5.
11. f. of $\ldots, 28: 13$.
12. $h[s i p i r] r i s h a \quad M u r a s \hbar \hat{1}, 129: 11$.
13. $86: 9$.

Altu ti-', $99: 14$.
$A \neq(u) \cdot \hat{i}-m e-e-s h u, \dagger 33: 11$.
Ah̆u ú-na-a (cf. Ar. אחוני)

1. f. of Nabû-balâtsu-iqbi, 1:17.
2. hshalinu sha hkashkaddinnê, 63:4, 6, 8 .

Ah $(u)-{ }^{\prime}-u, A$,

- 1. s. of Nabît-kâṣir, $51: 4$.

2. s. of Zimakki, $37: 18$.
$A k-k u-d a(?)-n u$, f. of Mithi-abu-uṣur, $75: 5$.
*dAl-te ehh-ri-nutri- $\ddagger$ (cf. dlltehiri-abi), $34: 10$.
Amêl $\cdot B e \hat{l} l^{1,}{ }^{2}$
3. s. of Alzushunu, 11 : 7.
4. f. of Bêl-nâdin, $16: 19|17: 2| 110: 3$.
5. f. of Ninib.nâsir, $74: R \mid 122: 18$.
*Am-ma-shi-' (cf. Bi. 'עֲמָׁר and
A-na Bêl-u-pa-qa, also written Bêl-u-pa-qa, 51: 16, L. E.
("Upon Bel I wait patiently ") hshaknu hshushanipl sha bît Zuzâ, hyhaknu sha hkizazapl sha bît Zuzâ, s. of Bêl-êtir, gs. of Ninib-nâṣir, 58 : 11| 65 : 15, Lo. E.
Ana-mâti-shu (not Taz-kur-shu, Vol. IX)
6. s. of Taqish, $10: 12$.
7. f. of Ninib-nâsir, $45: 2$.
*A-na-'-ilì,§` hshaknu maṣṣaru bâbâni, s. of Zabaddu, 128 : 20, Lo. E.
dA-num-ai, 101: 10.
${ }^{d} A$-num-ana-kussî-shu, $101: 5$.
dA-num-ik-şur, 101:9.
${ }^{d}$ A $\cdot n u m$-muballit (-it $)$
8. f. of Shum-iddina, $34: 2$.
9. $101: 6$.

Ap-la-a, Apla-a

1. s. of Bau-nâdin, $11: 8$.
2. s. of Bazuzu, b. of Nabû-rakiia, 31:2, Lo. E.
3. s. of Bề-balâtsu-iqbi, $9: 31$, R. E. $|23: 14| 24$ : $13|43: 21| 51: 18|75: 14| 76: 15$, U. E.| 83 . 12, L. E. | $88: 15$, Lo. E. | $89: 12 \mid 91: 19$, L. E. | 128: 15.
4. s. of Ea-ibni, mâr hBâlilizi, $93: 14$.
5. s. of It"'muthi', $1: 2,7,0$, L. E.
6. s. of Harrimaz, $86: 14$.
7. s. of Ill-natanu, 55: 14 .
8. s. of Mardulk-bêlshunue, b. of Bề shar-usur, 61 : 16, U. E.
9. s. of Nidintum-Bèl, 107 : 7.
10. s. of Ninib-nâdin, $8: 11|23: 15| 36: 18|41: 15|$ $54: 17|50: 18| 57: 15|62: 18| 74$, L. E. $\mid 75:$ $18|76: 17| 80: 18 \mid 81: 15$, L. E. $|90: 12| 91$ : 20| $96: 15|100: 12| 103: 12$.
11. s. of Ribìt, sc., $124: 14$.
12. s. of Silim-Bêl, $35: 16$.
13. s. of Silim-ilâni, $8: 11|24: 16| 88: 19|94: 20|$ 125 : 19, Lo. E.
14. s. of Bêl...., $77: 2,8$.
15. s. of $\ldots, 37: 16 \mid 42: 16$.
16. f. of Ahushunu, $87: 14|110: 14| 122: 19$.
17. f. of Balâtu, 47 : 20.
18. f. of Bannu-êrish, $48: 3 \mid 49: 17$.
19. f. of Bêlêtitir, 126 : 14, Lo. E.
20. f. of Bêl-ibni, $104: 9 \mid 123: 12$.
21. f. of $I l \hat{\imath}-z a b a d d u, 32: 19 \mid 70: 11, \mathrm{~L}$ E.
$2 \hat{2}$. f. of $\overline{L \hat{a} b a ̂ s h i, ~} 128: 19$.
22. f. of Mukkîr-Bêl, $59 \quad 17|60: 17| 66: 14 \mid 70: 16$.
23. f. of Nabû-ina-kâri, $52: 18$.
24. f. of Ninib-etitir, 104:9 Identical with No. 20.
25. f. of Taddannu, 71:8, 11, U. E. | $101: 24$, L. E.
26. hdaianu sha bâbu sha Gubara, 128: 14, U. E
27. 69 : 5.
*Aq-bi-ilì (A-qa-bi-ilit, Aq-qab-bi-ili IX$)$
28. f. of Eaqta [12:2].
29. f. of Nabì-natannu, $64: 7$.
30. $h_{\text {sipirri }}$ sha..., $113: 15$.

* $A-q u-b u$

1. f. of Hinnuni', $64: 4$.
2. f. of Mannu-kî-ilahî, $64: 4$.

Ardi-Bau (dBâbu)

1. s. of Shamash-shar-uşur, $33: 10$.
2. f. of Sin-nâdin-ahbu, $51: 22 \mid 59: 20$.

Ardi-Bèl

1. s. of $B \hat{B e} l-i q i ̂ s h a, ~ 8: 4 \mid 24: 13$.
2. s. of Sa'ga', b. of Nàdin, $61: 3$.
3. f. of Bêl-kìshir, 7: $12|13: 13| 33: 16|34: 18|$ $50: 14|51: 20| 61: 18|73: 8| 74: R$. $|81: 14|$
$\dagger$ Cf. dRammûn-u-me-', Strass., Camb. 253: 14.
$\ddagger$ [Ar. "The Moon-god is my light." On Iltehri = אל-שהרי, see Editorial Preface and cf. 'Ed.] גרם-אלשהרי,

$82: 15$, L. E. $\mid 94: 17$, U. E. $|101: 28| 112: 17$,
L. E. | 117 : 14, L. E. | $121: 7 \mid 124: 10$.
4. f. of Nergal-nâdin-ahur, $12: 12 \mid 60: 19$.
5. f. of Ninib-nâṣir, 107: 9 . Ardi-E-GAL-MAH (not Ardi-Ekallu-rabû, Vol. IX.) $\dagger$
6. s. of $N \hat{a} d i n, 98: 16|112: 19| 125: 17$, Lo. E.
7. f. ot Ninib-ah-iddina, $2: 13 \mid 4: 24$, Lo. E. | 14 :
$18 \mid \overline{36: 17|37: 15| 45: 19|61 \cdot 21, ~ R . ~ E .| 79: ~}$
13, L. E. $\mid 82: 16$, U. E. $|96: 16| 103: 13 \mid 127:$ 15, Lo. E.
Ardi-Gula (d Gu-la or dME-ME.)
8. s. of $L \overline{\hat{a} b \hat{a} s h i}, 55: \overline{15}$.
9. s. of Ninib-ibni, 130, U. E. | $131: 26$, U. E.
10. s. of Ninibnnâdin, $4: 26|50: 17| 90: 12$, U. E. $\mid$ 102: 19, L. E.
11. f. of Itti-Ninib-înia, $108: 12$.
12. f. of Ninib-ah-iddina, $48: 2 \mid 49: 17$.
13. f. of $N u s k u-n \hat{d} d i n, 132: 22$.
14. 15. of hardu sha Sitûnu, 117:4, 7, 9, R.

Ardi-ia and Ardi-ià

1. s. of $\underline{\text { Bullut } \hat{a},} 4: 26|26: 21| 41: 14|45: 16| 50:$ 16, Lo. E. | $69: 18|72: 14| 75: 15$, U. E. $\mid 83:$ 13, U. E. | 91 : 20, L. E. $\mid 92: 18$.
2. s. of Kiribtu, 2:11|9:33, U. E.
3. s. of Ninib-ah-iddina, $70: 13$, U. E. $172: 13 \mid 80:$ $17 \mid \overline{94: 18|96: 14|} 97: 18$, R. | $100: 11$, Lo. E. | $102: 15$, U. E. | $125: 15$, U. E. $\mid 129: 14$.
4. s. of Thâbia, $7: 12$.
5. s. of $\underline{U b \hat{a} r}$, b. of $L \underline{a ̂ b a ̂ s h i, ~} 2: 15|3: 17| 122: 14$, L. E.
6. s. of $\ldots, ., 3: 15$.
7. f. of Ninib-êrib, 68:10.

## Ardi-ilu-rabî

1. s. of $E a-n \hat{\alpha} d i n, 42: 2$, L. E.
2. $101: 11$.

Ardi-Marduk (dSHU), f. of Bêl-ahê-idaina, $111: 17$.

Ardi-Ninib $\ddagger$

1. s. of $\operatorname{Danna}, 54: 16$.
2. s. of $\operatorname{Er} b \hat{a}, 45: 3$.
3. s. of Iqu$\hat{u} b u, 68: 7$.
4. s. of Nisそar-Bêl, $35: 20$.
5. s. of Shiriqtim, $68: 9 \mid 122: 16$.
6. s. of Shulum-Bâbilu, b. of Bêl-ittannu, 23 : 2.
7. f. of Bêl-nâdin-s7umu, $77: 16$.
8. f. of $\underline{N \hat{c} ' i d-N i n i b,} 15: 20 \mid 16: 12$.
9. f. of $\operatorname{Rib} \hat{a} t, 47: 3$.
10. f. of Shamesh-nûri', 130:1.
11. f. of $\ldots \ldots . . . .$.
12. $70: 5 \mid 127:$ R.

Ar-ha (?) ...., in aluBît mAr-ha (?) ...., $32: 6,9$.

* Ar-sha-am, Ar-sham-mu (cf. Ar. harshammai), $100: 4,7$, U. E. | $111: 4,11 \mid 113$ : 4)

1. f. of $N a b \hat{u}-m u s h e ̂ t i q-u r r u, 128: 4,10,12, R$.
2. m. of Bêl-supề-muhur, $130: 2|131: 2| 132: 2,5$, 13, L. E.
*Ar ta.bar-ra-', Ar-ta-bar-ri(u)
3. m. of Bariki-Iâma, $60: 3,8,11$.
*Ar-ta-ah-sha-ar IX, Ar-tah-sha-ri
4. m. of $B a z u z u, 58: 11$, U. E.
5. m. of Nidintum-Shamash, $58: 13$.
6. m. of Pamûnu, $88: 9$.
*Ar-ta-sur-ru (Pe. 'Артaб(o) $\hat{\rho} a_{\varsigma}$ ), hardu sha Gubarri, 114: 14.
*Ar-tu-uḩ-ú-ba-na-' (Pe.), m. of Artûpam, $129: 18$, Lo. E.
*Ar-ta-pir-na-' (Pe. 'A 1 таф $\rho \nu \eta \zeta$ ), s. of Hammasu', 89 : $16, \mathrm{R}$.
*Ar-tu-ú-pa-am [Pe.]s, hardu sha Artuhubana', 129 : 17, Lo. E.
*Ar-za-' (cf. Pe. $\overline{A r z u}$, and aluA-ra-zu-u-a, $43: 5$ ), $\|$ in alu Bît-Arza', 46 : 7, 10.

[^29]*Ash-ku-la-'† (cf. Pe. Ashkalī ?), s. of $I_{s} h . \ldots, 5: 19$.
*Ash-pa-za-an-da-' ( $\mathrm{Pe}=A s p(t-z a n t a), 66: 4$.
Ashur (dHIr-UR ?-ibni. $\ddagger$ f. of Ninib-nàsir, $23: 17$.
${ }^{*} A s-p a{ }^{\prime}-d a-a s-t a$, As pa.'-daras ta-' IX, f. of Baga'mîri. 50: 6.
A-ta-mar-dA-nu-us-su,§ "I saw his divivity," f . of Nidin. tum. 21 : 3.
*At-tar-a.. IX, correct text into BIL.KIRRUD-ai, q. v.
${ }^{*} \boldsymbol{d} \mathbf{B} a \cdot g a-{ }^{\prime} d a \cdot a \cdot t a(i, u) B a-g a-^{\prime}-d a-t a-{ }^{\prime}$

1. s. of Bèl-nâdin, hushtaribari, $9: 1,9,13,17,18$, 22, 29, R.
2. s. of Kaka', $66: 3,9, \mathrm{R}$.
3. f. of Bêl-nàdin, $111: 12$, L. E.
*Ba-ga'-mir-ri, Ba-ga-'-mi-i-ri $\mathrm{IX}, B a-g a a^{\prime}-a-m i r-r i \operatorname{IX}, \mathrm{~s}$. of Aspa'dasta, $50: 5$, Lo. R.
*Ba-ga-'-pa-tu (Pe. Baүararŋs), f. of Baga'zushtum, 53 : 25, Lo. E.
*Ba-ga-ra-ab(p ?), Ba-gi-ra-a(b)p(?) (Pe.), s. of Unad, hushtabarri sha sharri, 15 : 19, Lo. E.
*Ba-ga-'-zu-ush-tum, Ba-ga-zu-ush-tum IX, s. of Baga'pâtu, hshaknu sha hin-du-ú-ba-ai, $53: 24$, Lo. E. | $70: 6,9$, R.
*Ba-gi-'-a-su, Ba-gi-ia-a-zu IX, f. of Taddannu, $100: 8$, U. E.
*Ba-gi-en-na-' (cf. Ba-gi-ia-a-nu IX), s. of ....zishu.., 70 : 17.
*Ba-gu-ush, Ba-gu-shu IX (cf. Pe. Bāghūsh), in AluHuş̣êti sha Bagush, 97: 8.
*Ba-ha-ri (cf. Neo-Pe. Behār̄̄ ?), in aluBit-mBahari, 46:12.
Ba-la-ba-shi, $82: 15$, mistake of sc. for Balàtu, cf. U. E., also Balâtu, s. of Bêtshunu.
Ba-laţ-su, in aluBìt mBalâtsu, $35: 4,6,9$.
Ba-la-tu, Balâtu.
4. s. of Aplâ, $47: 19$.
5. s. of Bêl-iqisha, $41: 18 \mid 57: \mathbf{1}^{7}$.
6. s. of Bèlshunu, $4: 25$, R. $\mid 33: 16$, Lo. E. $|34: 17|$ $62: 16$, L. E. $\mid 70: 13$, L. E. $\mid 74$, R. E. $\mid 82:$ 15, U. E. | $89: 14 \mid 94: 19$, L. E. | $96: 14 \mid 100:$ 13|102:16, Lo. E. | 124 : 11.
7. s. of Ninib-gâmil, $56: 15$.
8. s. of Sitha', $99: 3,4$.
9. f. of Bèl-apal-iddina, mâr Bâbiliki, $69: 18$.
10. f. of $\operatorname{Bèl}$-rê'ùshunu, $1: 16$.
11. f. of Erba-Bêl, 17. 4.
12. f. of Iddina-Bêl, $4: 27|24: 15| 72: 14$, L. E. | 132 : 24.
13. f. of $\underline{L \hat{a} b a ̀ s h i, ~} 2: 17|41: 16| 46: 22|58: 16| 61:$
$22|63: 11| 64: 15|72: 17| 112: 20$.
14. f. of Liblut, $68: 9$.
15. f. of Nabî-bêh-uballit, 7: 4, 7, L. E.
16. f. of Zabìni, 102:7|118, U. E.
17. f. of Zamama-nàdin, 1:16. Id. with No. 7.
18. in Nâru-mBalâtu, 112:4, 10.
*Ba(?)-li-Ia-a-ma (cf. Bi. 5, 37 .
*Ba-na-di-'-u, f. of Iamma', $72: 5$.
 nani', b. of Zabad-Iàma, b. of Zabinna', $118: 1$, 11, 13, 25, 29, R. E.
dBan-an-na-nâdin, s. of Nanâ-nàdin, $59: 20$.
Bânizia
19. f. of $\boldsymbol{t}$ Adirtum, $2: 3$.
20. f. of $L a ̂ b a ̀ s h i, 101: 27$, R. E.| $112: 18$, U. E.| 129 : 14.
21. f. of Miniâmen, $76: 14$, U. E.
22. f. of $\overline{N i n i b-n a ̂ s i r, ~} 52: 23$.
${ }^{\text {d Ban-nu-èrish, }}{ }^{d B a n-a-n i \cdot \hat{e} r i s h}$ IX, Ban-an-èrish IX, \|s. of Aplâ, 48 : $3 \mid 49: 17$.
Ba-nu-nu, f. of Taddannu, 29 : 17.
*Ba-ri-ki, Ba-rik-ki IX (cf. Pa. בריכי)
23. s. of Hudashshadatu, $86: 3,7$, L. E.
24. s. of Rushnapàtu, b. of Bêl-ah-iddina, 7:14.
*Ba-ri-ki-a, Ba-rik-ki-a (Ar. ברכא)
25. hpaq-qa-du sha mUdrinush, $103: 5,8$, L. E.
*Ba-rik-kì-Bèl, Ba-rik-Bèl IX (cf. Ph. ברכ-בעל)
26. s. of Bêlshunu, $7: 18$.
27. s. of........., b. of Ahmana', $53: 1,14,18$, U. E.
*Ba-rik-ki-Ia-a-ma (cf. He. (9) (נָּרֶT), ardu sha Artabarra', $60: 2,8$.

28. s. of Bêl-nâdin, 108 : 14.
$\dagger$ [Cf. the previous note.-Ed.]
$\ddagger\left[I^{\prime}\right.$ regard the $U R$ as certain and read Ashur-baltu-ibni, "God has created abundance." Cf. Ashur-balti (UR)nisheshu, Johns, Assyr. Deeds, Vol. III, p. 121, and Nabû-bal-tí-ilâni, aluBa-al-ti-li-shiv, Delitzsch, Handwörterbuch, p. 17\%.-Ed.]
§A-ta-mar-dAn-nun-su, Peiser, Bab. Ver., $9: 12$; A-ta-mar-an-nu-su, ibid., 4:24, 6:30; A-ta-mar-an-nu-us-su, Oyr., 148: 4.
$\|[$ And dBan-an-na-êrish, s. of Nanâ-nâdin, Const. Ni. 603:13.-Ed.]
29. f. of Bêl-êtir, $33: 20$.
30. f. of Ea-ibni, $95: 8$, Lo. E.
31. f. of Zadudiia, $125: 21$.
32. $72: 4$, U. E. $\mid 116: 4$.
*Ba-ri-ki ilu (cf. Bi. L. E.
 Nadir, 123:11.
*Ba-rik-ki(u)-dShamesh(-mesh), $\quad B a-r i k(B a-r i-k i)-d S h a-$ mesh(-mesh) IX
33. s. of Nan $\hat{\alpha}-\hat{e} r i s h, 7: 10$, Lo. E. | $14: 16$, L. E. | 24 : 17.
34. f. of Bèl-ittannu, $40: 2$.
35. f. of $B i s \hat{a}, 90: 2,7 \mid 122: 3,7$.
36. f. of Nadir, $99: 15 \mid 123: 11$.
 120: 12.
Bau (dBâbu)-êrish, in dluHुussètu sha Bau-êrish, $31: 5$, 8|69:7.
Bau(dBâbu)-nàdin, $d B a-u-n \hat{a} d i n$
37. f. of $A p l \hat{a}, 11: 8$.
38. f. of Nabû-rapa', $120: 4$
39. hpaqdu sha Pitibiri', 129:16, U. E.
$\frac{B a-z u-z u}{1}$
40. s. of Bèl-bullitsu, hshaknu sa shumélu, hardu sha Artaḩshari, $58: 8$ U. E.
41. f. of $A p l \hat{a}, 31: 3$.
42. f. of Nabû-rahiia, $31: 3$.

Bêl-abu-uṣur (Ar. docket בלאבצר, 115 : R.)

1. s. of $A \underline{Z} u s h u n u$, b. of Taddanuu, $37: 19$.
2. s. of Bêl-abu-uşur, hsipirri sha ina pâni Gubarri, and $h_{s} h a k n u$ sha $h$ Shumutkunai, 101 : 24, Lo. E. | 115 : 9, $11 \mid 118$, U. E.
3. s. of $S h a(?) \ldots \ldots, 63: 5,8$, Lo. E.
4. f. of Bêl-abu-usur, $101: 25 \mid 115: 9$ (13)|118, U. E.
5. f. of Bêl-uşurshu, $90: 10$, L. E. | $126: 9$, L. E.
6. f. of Bişă, $107: 10$, Lo. E.
7. f. of Miniàmên, $65: 18$, U. E. $\mid 84: 13$, Lo. E. | $85: \overline{12, L o . E .}$
8. f. of $N u n \hat{a}-n a ̉ d i n, 117: 16$, Lo. E. $|124: 6| 127$ : 14, L. E. | $128: 17$, U. E.
9. f. of Rahimu, $112: 1$, Lo. E.
10. f. of Shabbatai, $65: 18$, U. E.
11. $43,11,16|54: 4| 101: 11$.

## Bêl( $\left.\boldsymbol{B e} l^{l}\right)-a h(u)-i d d i n a$

1. s. of $\underline{\text { Bèl-muballit, } 75: 15, ~ U . ~ E . ~}$
2. s. of Bêl-...., 117 : 18, L. E.
3. s. of Rushnapåtu, b. of Barikkia, $7: 14$.
4. s. of Zamama-êrish, $125: 21$.
5. f. of $Z a b \hat{u} d \hat{a}, 25: 3$.
6. f. .... anu, $74: 21$.
7. f. ....., $69: 12$.
8. $69: 5$.

Bêl-ah(u)-it-tan-nu

1. s. of Bêl-êtir, 104 : 8.
2. s. of Bèl-nâṣir, $118: 36$, R. E.
3. s. of Nidintu-Bêl, gs. of Bèl-bullitsu, $9: 32$.

Bêl-ah(u)-ushabshi, s. of Marduk, hushtarbari, hpaqdu sha mPitibiri', $129: 5,9,12$.
$B e ̂ l^{1}-a h(u)-u s ̣ u r$, s. of Bêl-muballit, $20: 3$.
Bè $l^{1,2}-a h \hat{e}-i d d i n a$

1. s. of Ardi-Marduk, $111: 16$.
2. s. of Bêlshunu, $35: 18$.
3. s. of $\overline{L \dot{a} b \dot{a} s h i, 1} 22: 16$.
4. s. of Ninib-êtir, $121: 9$.
5. s. ....., 116: 13 .
6. f. of Kidin. $63: 15$,

Bêl-ana-mãti-shu (not Bêl-taz-kur-shu, Vol. IX), s. of Bèl-apal-iddina, $6: 15$.
Bêl-apul-iddina

1. s. of Balàtu, màr Bâbiliki, $69: 18$.
2. f. of Bél-ana-mâtishu, 6:15.
3. s. of Bèl-êtirru, 1:17.

Bêl-apal-usur

1. s. of $B \hat{e} l-i b n i$, sc., $123: 13$.
2. s. of Nidintu-Bêl, sc., $1: 21$.

Bèl-a-su-ú-a

1. f. of Bêl-ìdishu, 15:7, L. E.
2. f. of $L \dot{a} b \hat{a} s h i, 15: 7$, L. E. Same as No. 1.
3. f. of Lakip, 118 : 34.
4. f. of $Q u n n \hat{a}, 59: 5$.
5. f. of Zabdiia, $33: 18 \mid 34: 21$.
6. $31: 10$.

Bêl-âtir (DIR), Vol. IX, read Bêl-êtir (KAR)
 $13|43: 21| 51: \overline{18 \mid} 75: 14 \mid 76: 15$, U. E. | $83:$ $12 \mid 88: 16$, Lo. E. | $89: 12 \mid 91: 19$, L. E. | 128 : 15.


1. s. of Taddannu, $16: 15$.
2. f. of Erba-Bêl, $4: 22|7: 13| 13: 12 \mid 14: 17$, U.
E. $|16: 16| 17: 16|18: 18| 19: 17|20: 16| 21:$
$13|22: 12| 25: 14 \mid 31: 16$, Lo. E. | $32: 17 \mid 33:$
$\dagger$ [Cf. Ba-ru-hi-ili, Strassmaier, Nabuchodonosor, 361:7, and Ba-ra-a-hu, Johns, Assyr. Deeds, 255: ob. 2 ; and the probable reading of a city Bar-u-bu, Johns, l. c., 70:R.5.-Ed.]
$17|34: 19| 36: 15|43: 22| 46: 20|48: 15| 49:$ $14|51: 19| 57: 14|65: 19| 76: 17|81: 14| 85$ : $15|86: 10| 88: 17$, U. E. $|80: 12| 98:$ Lo. E. $\mid$ $112: 19$ [U. E.] | $113: 13$, U. E.
3. f. of $\ldots ., 53: 21 \mid 127: 13$.

Bêl-búi-litit(bullit)-su

1. s. of Mushèzib-Bèl, hsipirri sha hgardu, 95:6,10, Lo. E.
2. s. of Talim, $15: 18$.
3. f. of Bazuzu, $58: 9$.
4. f. of $\operatorname{Bêl-d\hat {ânu.~}} 8: 10|24: 14|[28: 12] 38: 13 \mid$ $59: 19 \mid 112: 18$, L. E. | $132: 22$, U. E.
5. f. of Bèl-iddina, $\mathbf{6 0 : 5 , 1 0}$.
6. f. of Bêlshunu, 7:11, U. E.
7. f. of Bèl...., 28 : 12.
8. f. of Kidin, 67 : 17.
9. f. of $N a b \hat{u}-k u s u r s h u, 56: 16$.
10. f. of Nídintu-Bèl, gf of Bêl-ah̆-ittannu, $9: 32$.
11. f. of Shaggilu, $6: 14 \mid 7: 17$, Lo. E.
12. f. of Zamama-nâdin, $19: 3$.
13. f. ....., $5: 19 \mid 72: 16$.
14. 101 : 11.

Bêl(Bêl $\left.{ }^{1}\right) \cdot d a-a-n u$, Bêl-da-nu

1. s. of Bêl-bullititsu, $8: 10|24: 14| 38: 13 \mid 59: 18$, Lo. E. | $112: 18$, L. E. | $132: 22$, U. E.
2. s. of $L a \hat{a} b \hat{a} s h i, 47: 2$.
3. s. of $\ldots$.., $42: 15$.
4. f. of Bêl-ittannu, 118 R .
5. f. of Nabû-ittannu, $101: 26$, U. E. $\mid 114: 13$.

Bêl(Bêl $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$-êmush(-ush)

1. s. of AĽushunu, $114: 16$
2. s. of $I q i s h \hat{a}, 26: 3$.
3. f. of Shullummâ, 19:3.

Bêl-êrib (Ar. docket בלאריב, 99 : R.)

1. s. of Bèl-êtitr, $64: 12$ R.| $67: 12$, Lo. E.
2. s. of Shum-iddina, $82: 18$.
3. f. of dAdgishiri-zabaddu, $55: 1$.
4. f. of Ribât, $54: 1|68: 2| 78: 3|99: 6| 104: 1 \mid$ $105: 9|106: 8| 111: 6,13|115: 10,14| 123: 5$.
5. f. of Shum-iddina, 32, 3, 4.
6. f. of Zabina', 32, 3. 4. Same as No. 5.

Bêl-êrish

1. s. of Bèl-gimil, hshanû sha Lâbầshi, hshaknu sha hmagullai, $81: 18$.
2. s. of Bêl-muballit, $2: 12$.
3. f. of Mushêzib-Bêl, $39: 15 \mid 40: 13$.

Bêl-e-ti-ir, Bêl-êtir(KAR-ir), Bêl-êtirrru(SHUR-ru), Bèlètir (SHUR) IX, (Ar. docket בלאטר, $104: \overline{01}$ 131 : R.)

1. s. of $A p l \hat{a}, 120: 14$, Lo. E.
2. s. of Barikki-ili, $33: 19$.
3. s. of Guziia, 104:3, Lo. E.
4. s. of Nihuru, $36: 2$.
5. f. of Ahushunu, 131: 1.
6. f. of Aina-Bêl-upâqa, 65: 16, Lo. E.
7. f. of Bêl-abu-uşur, 115 : 13.
8. f. of Bèl-ah-ittannu, $104: 8$.
9. f. of Bè-apal-iddina, 1:17.
10. f. of Bêl-érib, $64: 12$, R.| $67: 12$, Lo. E.
11. f. of Bèl-nâdin, màr hBàbiliki, $95: 17$, U. E.
12. f. of Bèl-upàqa, $51: 17$, L. E. $\mid 58: 11$ (same as No. 6; identified by comparison of seals).
13. f. of Bêl-usurshu, 82 : 17.
14. f. of $d K U D$-ah-iddina, $20: 3$.
15. f. of Iddina-Bèl, $107: 10$.
16. f. of Rè'ànu, $83: 6,9$, L. E.
17. f. of $Z a b d i i a, 62: 19$.
18. f. of Zimmà, $65: 17$, Lo. E.
19. [31: 10] $63: 2 \mid 74: 11,13,16,18$.
20. in AluBit-mZamama-êrish, 71: 3.
$\boldsymbol{B e} l(E N)$-êtir-Shamash, $\dagger$ ("A protecting lord is Shamash") (Ar. docket בלאטרשוש)
21. s. of Rahim, 116, L. E.
22. s. of Shamash ...., 123:8, Lo. E.

Bèl-gi-mil, f. of Bêl-êrish, 81 : 19.
Bèl(Bèll, $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$-ha-tin

1. s. of Bèlshunu, b. of Bìbâ, sha hhatri sha Bìt$h_{\text {Sinn-màgir, }} 125: 2$.
2. s. of Ninib.êtir, sc., $109: 10$.
3. s. of Shamashèrish, $16: 2$, U. E. | $17: 17 \mid 110: 13$.
4. sha hbatri sha hba-na-neshai, $126: 5$.

Bêli-a, f. of Iddiaia, $40: 16$.
 33 : 3.
*Bèll-ia a-had-bi (Ar. בליהב), s. of Nầid-Bêl, 99: 15, R. E.
$B e ̈ l\left(B e ̀ l^{2}\right)-i b-n i, B e ̀ l-i b n i$

1. s. of Apl̂̀, b. of Ninib-êtir, $104: 9 \mid 123: 12$.
2. s. of $I b \hat{a}, 52: 22 \mid 116: 11$.
3. s. of Kalbu-Bau, 124:3.
4. f. of Bèt-apal-usur, $123: 13$.

Bêl-i-di-shu ( $=$ Bêl-̂̀dishu, "B. chose him"), s. of Bêl-âŝua, b. of Lâbâshi, 15:7, 13, L. E.

Bêl-iddina (Ar. docket בלאדג), s. of Bêl-bulliṭsu, hsipirri sha habarakku, $60: 4,9, \mathrm{R}$.

## $B \hat{e ̂ l(B e ̂ l l})-i q \hat{z} s h a$

1. s. of Ubâr, $35: 18$.
2. f. of Ardi-Bèl, $8: 9 \mid 24: 14$.
3. f. of Balâtu, $41: 18 \mid 57: 17$.
4. f. of Ninib-nâṣir, $17: 4 \mid 110: 4$.

Bêl-ik-sur, t. of Nabû-balâtsu-iqbi, 1:20.
$B \hat{e} l\left(B \hat{e}{ }^{1},{ }^{2}\right)-i t-t a n-n u$

1. s. of Ahè-uṭir, $26: 3$.
2. s. of Barakku-Shamesh, $40: 2$.
3. s. of Bêt-bullitsu, $12: 10$.
4. s. of Bèl-dànu, 118, R.
5. s. of Bèl-ittannu, b. of Bibà, $22: 2$.
6. s. of Bêl-muballit, hushtarbari, $64: 11$, Lo. E. | 80 : 14, L. E.
7. s. of Lakip, 60 : 5.
8. s. of Lamassu-nâdin, $6: 16|45: 20| 61: 18$, L. E. $178: 10$.
9. s. of Minù-ana-Bêl-dànu, $6: 15$.
10. s. of $N a \hat{A} i d$-Bèl, $18: 2$.
11. s. of Ninib-ëtir, $45: 2$.
12. s. of Slulum-Bâbilu, b. of Ardi-Ninib, $23: 2 \mid$ 67:5.
13. s. of Zatamê, $h_{s} h a k n u ~ s h a ~ L i n a d u s h-a n a-B e ̀ l, ~ 75: ~$ 11, L. E.
14. f. of Bèl-...-ittannu, $22: 2$.
15. f. of $B \hat{i} b \hat{a}, 22: 2$. Same as No. 14.
16. f. of Bêl-rê'ini, $107: 11$.
17. f. of Ilì-lindar, $19: 4$.
18. f. of Marduk-êtir, $54: 17$, U. E.
19. f. of $\overline{N a b \hat{u}-m u s h e ̂ t i q-u r r u, ~} 64: 14$.
20. f. of Ninib-ab̌u-usur, $56: 5,10$.
21. 104:2|119:3, 10|120:3.

Bêt-karâbi(SIGISHEpl)-shi-me (shime $=$ SHEG-GA), hardu sha Bêl-nâdin-shumu, 4:1|4:13.
Bètl-ka-sir, Bèl-kâsir

1. s. of Ah-êrish, b. of Ah-iddina, $4: 1,13$, L. E. | $59: 4,9,14$.
2. f. of Nabû-nâdin, 59:7.

## $B \overline{e l}\left(B e ̀ t l^{2}\right)-k i-s h i r \dagger$

1. s. of Ardi-Bêl, $7: 12|13: 13| 33: 16|34: 18|$ $50: 14|51: 19| 61: 17|73: 7| 74:$ R. | $81: 14 \mid$ 82:15, L. E. | $94: 17$, U. E. | $101: 27 \mid 112: 17$, L. E. | 117 : 14, L. E. | $121: 7 \mid 124: 10$.
2. s. of Bêl-shum-ibni, $50: 18$, gf. of No. 3.
3. f. of Ninib-ah-iddina, $50: 18$, gs. of No. 2.
4. f. of Ninib-ushabshi, $130: 26$, Lo. E. | $131: 25$, L. E.

Bêl(Bè $\left.{ }^{1}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}\right)-m u b a l l i t ~(-i t)$
5. s. of Itti-Ninib-innia, sc., $39: 17|40: 17| 108: 15$.
6. s. of Lîbî̀shi, b. of $N a ̂ ' i d$-Ninib, $130: 28|131: 29|$ 132 : 23, Lo. E.
7. s. of Nabù-ahê-iddina, b. of Ninib-mutîrshu and Ninib-ṇ̂ạir, $16: 14|48: 13| 49 \cdot 12$, U. E. $\mid 72$ : 12|78:9|114:12.
8. f. of Bêl-ah-iddina, $75: 15, \mathrm{U}$. E.
9. f. of Bêl-ahu-usur, $20: 3$.
10. f. of Bêl-êrish, $2: 12$.
11. f. of Bêl-ittannu, $12: 10 \mid 64: 11$, Lo. E. | $80: 14$, L. E.
12. f. of Bêl-nàdin, $[3: 16]|4: 24| 29: 15|45: 18|$ $46: \overline{23 \mid 60: 4}, 9|94: 21| 125: 16$, U. E., b. of No. 13, cf. IX, 41 : 1.
13. f. of Bêlshunu, $122: 15$, U. E. | $130: 30 \mid 131: 30$, b. of No. 12, cf. IX, 41 : 1. (Id. with No. 8, cf. Vol. IX.)
14. f. of $\operatorname{Iq} \hat{z} s h a ̂, 39: 13|40: 12| 108: 12$.
15. f. of Shum-ukin, $122: 15$, U. E.
16. f. of . $56: 3$.
Bêt'-mukin-aplu (Ar. docket [?]?د?วל工, $78:$ R.), abbrev. Mukin-aplu, 82 :13, Lo. E., recognized by comparison of the seals. [Docket and name probably to be read ${ }^{\prime}$ (= Bêl-kinañ), cf. footnote to Ahêe-BA.A.-Ed.]

1. s. of Kâsir, $5: 18|6: 14| 7: 17|16: 18| 17: 17 \mid$ $18: \overline{19|19: 18| 20: 17|26: 19| 31: 17|45: 15|}$ $46: 21|47: 19| 48: 17|49: 16| 62: 17 \mid 63:$ 11, L. E. | $64: 12 \mid 72: 13$, L. E. $\mid 76: 13$, L. E. $\mid$ 80:16|82:13, Lo. E. | $85: 16 \mid 88: 18$, U. E. | $93: 12$, U. E. | $98: 15 \mid 102: 18$, Lo. E. | 116 : 13, U. E., b. of Ninib-nàdin, $62: 17$, hshaknu sha Nippurki, 76: 13, hdaianu sha Nâr-Sin, 82, Lo. E.
2. s. of Nâşir, $67: 13$, R. $\mid 69: 17$, U. E. $|70: 17|$ $76: 15$, L. E. | $78: 6$, Lo. E. | $81: 17 \mid 95: 16$, U. E. | $96 \cdot 15$, U. E.
3. s. of Ninib ...., $113: 16$.
4. f. of $\hat{E r i b-B e ̂ l, ~} 98: 15$.
5. f. of Ribàt, 47 : 2.
6. hdaianu sha Nâr-Sin, 95 : 14, L. E. | $96: 11$, Lo. E. 7. $26: 10$.
$\dagger$ Kishhir $=k e ̂ s h i r=k a ̂ s h i r$, cf. Nabî-ka-shir, II R. 64, Col. 4:16. Cf. also Nanà-ki-shir-rat, Nbk. 17-5:2 (for ka-shir-rat), or Nanâ-ki-shi-rat, Nbk. 166:3.

## DATED IN THE REIGN OF DARIUS II．

Bêl－mushallim，f．of Bêl－nâdin， $39: 15$.
Bèll$-m u$－she－zib－ahu IX ，read Bêl－shum－lilbir，q．v． Bêl－nâ＇id，f．of Taddannu，63：15．
Bêl（Bêl $\left.{ }^{1},{ }^{2}\right)-n a-d i n, \quad B e ̂ l-n a ̀ d i n(M U), \quad B e ̂ l-n u ̂ d i n u(-n u)$ 123： 10.
1．s．of Amêl－Bêl， $16: 19 \mid 17: 2$ ，U．E．｜ $110: 3$ ．
2．s．of Baga＇dâtu，hshaknu sha harshammai，111： 10，L．E．
3．s．of Bêl－ètir，mâr hBàbiliki， 95 ：17，U．E．
4．s．of Bèl－muballit，hpa［qua］sha abulli LUGAL－ GUD－SI－D1， $3: 16|4: 24| 29: 14$, R． $\mid 45: 18$ ｜ $46: 23|94: 20| 125: 16$, U．E．
5．s．of Bêl－mushallim， $39: 14$.
6．s．of Bêl ．．．．， $3: 16$ ．
7．s．of $I d d i n a-B e ̂ l, 55: 13$.
8．s．of $L u$ ．．．＇hiia， $108: 14$.
9．s．of Marduk－mushallim，s／ıa hhatri sha hsipirripl， 57 ： 2.
10．s．of Shum－iddina， $109: 8$ ．
11．f．of $\bar{A}$－ittan，27： 4 ．
12．f．of $B a g a^{\prime} d a ̂ t a^{\prime}, 9: 1, \mathrm{R}$ ．
13．f．of Bariki－ilî， $108: 14$ ．
14．f．of Bêl－usurshu， $1: 18$.
15．f．of dDannu－ahêshu－ibni， $9: 35$
16．f．of Ina－Esagila－lilbir，7： 16.
17．f．of $\underline{\text { Ninib－muballit，}} 11: 19\left|130: 2^{77}\right| 131: 27$
18．f．of Ninib－nâdin， $11: 6$ ．
19．f．of Quddai， $47: 21$.
20．f．of むha－Marduk－ul－îni，94：2．
21．f．of $\overline{U b} \dot{a} r, 123: 10$.
$\underline{B e ̂ l\left(B e ̈ l^{1}, 2\right)-n a ̀ d i n-s h u m u}$（frequently abbreviated Nâdin－ shumu，cf．e．g． $20: 20$ ）．
1．s．of $A h \hat{e}-B A-A, 91: 6$.
2．s．of Ardi－Ninib，sc．，77： 16.
3．s．of Murashî， $1: 5,7,10,12,13|2: 1,8,9| 3: 8$ ， $9|4: 2,6,10,16,17,19| 5: 5,8,14|6: 7| 7: 5$, $8|8: 1,3,5| 9: 1,5|9: 8,18,21,25,28| 10: 3 \mid$ $11: 1|12: 1,4,7,8| 13: 1,5,7,8 \mid 14: 1,6,12$ ， $13|15: 9,10,13| 16: 1,6,11,12 \mid 17: 1,6,11$ ， $13|18: 1,7,11,12| 19: 1,7,12,13|20: 1,6|$ $21: 2,5,8,10|22: 1,4,6,7| 23: 1,6,10,11 \mid$ $24: 1,4,8,10|25: 1,5,9| 26: 1,12,13 \mid 27: 1$ ， $6,9,11|28: 1,5,8,10| 30: 1,4,7,9 \mid 31: 1,5$ ， $11,13|32: 1,6,11,12| 33: 1,6,12,13 \mid 34: 1$ ， $5,12,13|35: 1,5,10,11| 36: 1,5,10,11 \mid 37$ ：
$1,4,8,9|38: 1,6,9,11| 39: 1,5,8,9 \mid 40: 1,4$ ， 7， $8|41: 1,6,10| 42: 1,5,7,9|45: 1,5,11,12|$ $46: 1,7,14,15|47: 1,7,12,13| 48: 1,7,11$ ， $12|49: 1,6,9,11| 50: 7,11|51: 1,11,13| 53: 2$ ， $9,14,16,18|54: 1,2,10,13| 57: 1,4,7,8$.
4．s．of Taddannu，2：11｜3：L．E．｜ $4: 21$ ，L．E． $\mid$ $5: 15$, L．E． $\mid 16:$ L．E｜ $17: 14$ ，L．E．$|10: 16|$ $21:$ R．E．｜ $23: 15|25: 13| 26: 18 \mid 27: 13$, U．E． $\mid$ $29: 12 . \mid 31: 14$, L．E．｜ $33: 15$, L．E．$|34: 17|$ $38:$ U．E．｜ $43: 21|46: 19| 47:$ L．E．｜ $48: 14$ ， L．E．｜ $49: 13 \mid 52: 16$, L．E．｜ $53: 22$, L．E． $\mid 57$ ： $13|64: 13| 65: 20 \mid 66: 11$ ，L．E．｜ $71: 15$, R．E．｜ $81: 16$, L．E． $\mid 92: 17$, U．E． $\mid 117: 17$ ，Lo．E．
5．s．of Zimmâ，hpaqdu sha Zabîni， $102: 10,12, \mathrm{R}$ ．
6．f．of Murashù，129：7．
7．f．of $\overline{\text { Ninib－abu－usur，} 5: 22|6: 17| 7: 19|14: 22|}$
$16: 21|17: 20| 18: 22|19: 22| 20: 19 \mid 25:$
$17|26: 23| 31: 19|32: 20| 33: 21|34: 23| 36:$
$21|37: 20| 45: 21|46: 24| 47: 22|48: 19| 49:$
$19|53: 26| 54: 19|62: 20| 65: 22|66: 16| 67:$
$19|69: 19| 70: 19|71: 18| 75: 29|76: 19| 81:$
$20|82: 19| 83: 17|85: 18| 86: 15|88: 20| 89:$
$17|90: 14| 91: 92|92: 19| 93: 16|94: 22| 95:$
$19|96: 17| 97: 19|98: 18| 100: 14|101: 29|$
102：22｜103：15｜113：17｜114：18｜117：21｜． 125：22｜127：19｜128：22｜129：20．
8．m．of Tirirakamma，56：4．Identical with No． 3 （cf．IX， $68: 1,5,8$ ）．
Bêl－na－gir，Bêl－nàsir
1．f．of Bêl－ah－ìttannu， $118: 36$, R．E．
2．f．of $N a b \hat{u}-n \hat{a} d i n, 118: 35$, R．E．
3．hdaianu sha Bàbi sha mGubarri， 84 ：11，Lo．E．
Bêl ${ }^{l}$－Nippuru－ana－ashri－8hu－têr（Bêl＇－EN－LIL－KI－KI－BI－ $G I \dagger$（＂Bêl．restore Nippur to its place＂）．
s．of Nâdin， 117 ：15，R．E．
Bèl－ra－shi－il，Bèl－ra－shil $\ddagger$
1．s．of $B \hat{\imath} b \hat{a} n u$ ，b．of $N a b \hat{u}-i t t a n n u, 58: 4$.
2．f．of Nàdin， $69: 16$.
$B \dot{e} l(E N)-r e ̀$＇$i-i-t u m-B e ̀ l^{2}$（＂The lord of shepherding is Bêl＇＂），s．of Nâdin，121：10｜125：19．
Bêl－rè＇$a-a-n i$, s．of Bêl－ittannu， $107: 11$.
Bêl ${ }^{2}-r e ̂ ’ u-{ }^{\prime}-s h u-n u$, s．of Balàtu，b．of Zamama－nâdin， $1: 15$.
Bêl－su－pi－e－mu－弓̧ur，Bêl－supê（ SIG1SHEpt）－mu－hुur §
1．hardu sha Rîmùt－Ninib， $126: 10$.
$\dagger$ Cf．V R．44，Col．II， 38.
$\ddagger[C f$ ．Bêl－ra－shi－il，Const．Ni． $563: 17$ ，and Ina－E－sag－ila－ra－shil，Const．Ni． $569: 17$, Ra－shi－ilu，Strassmaier， Cambyses， 15 ：15．－Ed．］
§Cf．the fem．names $f B a-n i-t u m-s u-p i-e-m u \hbar$－$\quad$ ur，$N b n .508: 3$ ； ；Banitu（－tu）－su－pi－e－mu－u九－ru，Dar． $379: 49$.
2. hpaqdu sha Arsham, $130: 1,11,18,19 \mid 131: 1$, 11, 18, $19 \mid 132: 2,10$. Apparently identical with No. 1.
Bêl-shar-ibni, f. of Kiribti-Bêl, hushtarbari, $89: 15$, Lo. E.
Bêl-shar-uṣur

1. s. of Marduk-bêlshunu, b. of Aplâ, hshaknu sha shushannipl mâr ahhisanu (hisanu), 61:16, U. E. | $65: 16$, L. E.
2. $14: 11$.

Bêl-shi-man-ni, s. of Nidintum, $15: 19|39: 12| 40: 14$. Bêl-shum-ibni

1. f. of Bêl-kîshir, gf. of Ninib-ah-iddina, $50: 18 \mid$ 53 : 23, Lo. E. | $59: 18|66: 15| 102: 18 \mid 125: 17$.
2. f. of $N a b \hat{u}-e ̂ t i r, 75: 6$.
3. f. of Rêmu-shukun, 18:3.

Bêl-shum-im-bi, s. of Kidin., $16: 9,18$, Lo. E. |17:16, Lo. E. | $18: 18|26: 19| 45: 15 \mid 47$, U. E. $\mid 48:$ 16, O. $\mid 49$ : 15, Lo. E., R.
Bêl-shum-lil-bir $\dagger$ ("Bel, may the name grow old"), not Bêll$-m u-s h e-z i b-a \underline{2} u t$ (Vol. IX), s. of Nàdin, $h p a[q u d]$ sha Nippurki, or hpa[qud] sha abulli $\cdot E-M A B$ (abbrev. $M A B$ ), $2: 13|18: 19| 19: 19$, U. E. $|25: 16| 26: 22|36: 16| 37: 14|45: 17|$ $46: 21|57: 15| 62: 15 \mid 66: 12$, Lo. E. | 78, U. E. $\mid 80: 16$, Lo. E. $\mid 92: 17$, U. E. $|93: 13| 98:$ $16 \mid 102: 17$, Lo. E. | $117: 18$, U. E. | $128: 19$.
Bèl-shum....., s. of Dannâ, 77 : 14.
Bèl-shu-nu

1. s. of Aḩushunu, $22: 12$.
2. s. of Bêl-bulliţsu, b of Shum-ukîn, hdaianu sha Nâr-Sin, $7: 11$, U. E. $\mid 8: 8$, Lo. E. $18: 14$, U. E. | $20: 12$, Lo. E | $22: 9$, U. E. $\mid 24: 11$, L. E. | $25: 11$, L. E. | $26: 15$, R. E. $\mid 32: 14$, U. E. $\mid 34$ : 15, L. E. $\mid 35: 13$, R. E. $\mid 36: 13$, L. E. $\mid 37: 11$, U. E | $41: 12$, U. E. $\mid 42: 11$, U. E. $\mid 45: 13$, Lo. E. | $46: 17$, U.E. $\mid 50: 13$, U. E. $\mid 54: 15$, U. E. $\mid$ 122: 15, U.E.
3. s. of Bêl-muballit, $130: 29$, R. | $131: 29$, R. E.
4. s. of Bullut $\hat{a}, 52: 19 \mid 68: 8$.
5. s. of Di-e-eb-ra..., $50: 6,10$, R.
6. s. of Iadina-Nabû, $33: 18|34: 20| 71: 5$.
7. s. of Karè, 4 : 2, 14.
8. s. of Lâbâah $h i, 88: 13, R$.
9. s. of Mannu-kt [Nan] $\hat{a}, 9: 33$.
10. s. of Marduka, $107: 12$, L. E. $\mid 108: 11$.
11. s. of $N a b \hat{u}-a q a b b i, 123: 12$.
12. s. of Nâşir (identical with Ninib-nâsir, No. 13, as their seals are the same), b. of Ninib-nâdin, $4: 23$, U. E. $141: 15$.
13. s. of Ninib-nâsicir, $9: 33$, U. E. $|21: 13| 38: 14 \mid$ $50: 15$, L. E. $\mid 58: 11$, Lo. E. | $59: 21 \mid 83: 12$, Lo. E. | 84 : 14 | 113 : 14, L. E. | 132 R.
14. s. of Silim-ilâni, $59: 20$.
15. f. of Balâtu, $4: 2 \overline{5}$, R. $\mid 33: 16$, Lo. E. $|34: 18|$ 62 : 16, L. E. $\mid 70: 13$, L. E. $\mid 74$, R. E. $|82: 15|$ 89 : 14, Lo. E. $\mid 94: 19$, L. E. $|96: 14| 100: 13 \mid$ 102 : 16, Lo. E. | 124 : 11.
16. f. of Barikki-Bèl, 7:18.
17. f. of Bêl-ahtê-iddina, $35: 18$.
18. f. of Bèl-hatin, 125 : 2.
19. f. of $B \hat{\imath} b \hat{\alpha}, 62: 2 \mid 125: 2$. Same as No. 17.
20. f. of $\hat{\hat{E r}} \boldsymbol{r i s h - B e l}$ and his brother, Iduina-Bèl, 11:3.
21. f. of $N a b \hat{u}-\hat{e} r i b, 4: 2$.
22. f. of $N a n \hat{a}-n \hat{a} d i n, 123: 9$.
23. f. of Ninib-nâsir, 83:12.
24. f. of Rîmutt, $122: 14$, Lo. E.
25. f. of Rimût-Ninib, $78: 11$.
26. f. of Shirki-Bêl, $130: 31 \ 131: 28$.
27. f. of $S \hbar u t a \hat{a}, 59: 22|119: 18| 120: 14$.
28. f. of Shulum-Bâbilu, $13: 2$.
29. f. of Shum-iddina, $61: 20$, U. E. | $62: 16$.
30. f. of .... ittannu, $30: 11$.
31. hsipirri sha Murashû, 129:10.
32. $h_{\text {sipirri }}$ sha Rimût-Ninib, 127:9, 12 | $128: 10$.
33. hardu sha .... lak-ti, 58 : 12.
34. 121 : 2.
 $b \hat{\alpha} s h i$, b. of Shum-iddina, $55: 12$.
$\underline{\text { Bel-taz-luur-shu, (IX), read Bêl-ana-mâtishu, q. v., see }}$ Introd.
Bêl-u-pa-qa, abbrev. from $A n a-B e ̂ l-u p \hat{a} q a$.
35. s. of Bêl-êtir, see $A n a-B e ̂ l-u p a ̂ q a . ~$
36. s. of $\operatorname{Iddina}, 123: 10$.
$\dagger$ The præt. of labâru is formed on $i$ as well as $u$. Delitzsch, Handwörterbuch, only on $u$; Muss-Arnold, Cancise Dict., p. 471, questions i. Cf., howcver, li-il-bi-ir pa-lu-u-a, V R. 66: 13, and Ina-E-8ag-ila-lil-bir, Dar. II: 15, alongside of $1 n a-E-s a g-i l a-l i l-b u r, D a r .128: 4$.
$\ddagger S u l \hat{u}$, "prayer," a formation similar to $8 u p \hat{u}$, from צלה, "to implore," which is a synonym of . Cf. Delitzsch, Handwörterbuch, p. 567.

Bêl(Bêll)-u-şur-shu, Bêl-uṣur-shu (Ar. docket כלאצרש סגפ בנשיא, 126, R)

1. s. of Bêl-abu-uṣur, hshaknu sha hshushannipl sha $h s ̣ ̂ ̂ b ~ s h e ̂ p i ; ~ a l s o ~ h s h a k n u ~ s h a ~ h b a-n a-n e s h a i, ~$ gentilic from Bani-neshu, $90: 9$, L. E. | $126: 8$, L. E.
2. s. of Bèl-êtir, hshaknu sha hȟa-am-qa-du-í-a, $82: 16$.
3. s. of Bêl-nâdin, $1: 18$.
*Bèl-za-bad-du (cf. Pa. נבוזכר , נכרכול), s. of Biṣâ, 132:1, 19. Bêl-zêr-iddina
4. f. of Zabdiia, $54: 18$, Lo. E.| $70: 16$, Lo. E.
5. f. of Uballitsu-Bèl, 102 : 5.
6. f. of Uballiţsu-Nab $\hat{u}, 102: 5$.
7. hdaianu sha Apl $91: 17, \mathrm{R}$. E.

Bèl......., s. of Ninib-êtir, 110:11.
Bèl (?). . . . . ., s. of Ninib-nâşir, 51 : 20.
Bèl......, s. of Shum-iddina, 1\%5: 19.
Bèb. ......, s. of ....ba-a, 87 : 12.
Bêl......., f. of Bèl-ah-iddina, 117:18, L. E.
Bêl......, f. of Hanni', 24 : 17.
Bi-ba-a (Ar. docket Nコ, 125 : R.), Bi-ba IX

1. s. of Bêl-ittannu, b. of Bèl-...-ittannu, 22 : 2.
2. в. of Bèlshunu, b. of Bêl-hâtin, sha hhatri sha bît hSin-mâgir, 62 : 2, Lo. E. | 125 : 2.
3. s. of $E \alpha-n \hat{a} d i n, 51: 17$, U. E.
4. s. of Idaina-Bêl, b. of Ninib-mubalitt, $47: 3$.
5. s. of Shum-iddina, $99: 14$, Lo. E.
6. s. of UZூmana', $9: 32$, L. E.

Bi-ba-nu

1. f. of Alushunu, $63: 14 \mid 111: 14$, R. E. | $115: 20$.
2. f. of Bêl-rashil, $58: 5$.
3. f. of $N a b \hat{u}-i t t a n n u, 58: 5$.
4. hmàr bît sha Shulum-Bâbilu, $91: 11,14$, R. Bi-bi-ibni (KAK), f. of Dakilta', 77: 15.
*Bil-la-e, f. of Zabini, 1:19.
*Bit-ilì-a-kal(rib)-rit (=Bethel-a-kal(?)-ri), 122: 4. Perlaps lal is mistake of scribe for dar.
Bi-sa-a
5. s. of Bariklei-Shamesh, $90: 2,7$, R. E. |122:2,7, 10.
6. s. of Bêl-abu-usur, $107: 10$, Lo. E.
7. f. of Bêl-zabaddu, $132: 1$.

Búl-lut-a, Búl-ța-a IX

1. s. of Iddina-Bêl, $5: 3$.
2. f. of Ardia, $4: 26|26: 21| 41: 14|45: 16| 50:$ 16, Lo. E. | $69: 18|72: 14| 75: 15$, U. E. $\mid 83$ : 13, U. E. | $91: 20$, L. E. | $92: 18$.
3. f. of Bèlshunu, $52: 19 \mid 68: 8$.
4. f. of Ninib-ana-bîtishu, $26: 2$.
5. f. of Rahim. $i t \hat{\imath}, 89: 14$.
d Bu-ne-ne-ibni, f. of $\underline{\text { Ubâr }, 13: 12|21: 11| 27: 13 \mid 38: ~}$ 12|71:14, U. E.
*Bu-ur-ha-ad (cf. Na. ברחר ?), $\ddagger$ s. of Dadapirna', $58: 14$. Bushî-Bel IX, see Makkûr-Bèl.
*Da(ta)-ab-da-ma-',§ f. of Barûha', $119: 16 \mid 120: 12$.
*Da(ta)-bi-ia-ash-ta...., in naruDabiiashta..., 82: 3.
*Da-da-pir-na-', || f. of Bur九ad, 58 : 14.
Dad-di-', cf. Tad-di-'
Da-di-ia, Da-di-ì̀
6. s. of $N a b \hat{u}-n \dot{a} s i r(?), 7: 13$.
7. 44 , Lo. E. $|65: 4| 88: 4$.
 dânu, hrabu-um-ma, 101 : 24, Lo. E.

[^30]*Da-hi-il-ta-' (Ar., abbrev.-Ed.), s. of Bi-bi-ibni; 77:15. dDaian-nôdin, s. of Nidintum, $6: 9$, L. E.
*Da-la-ta-ni-' [Ar. "Thou hast saved (?) me," cf. Nabî-da-la-' (IX), Nashblu-dilìni (Johns)-Ed.]

1. s. of Sulubada, 119:13|120:9.
2. f. of Hinni'-Bêl, $43: 1$.
3. f. of Shamash-ittannu, $38: 3$.
4. 80 : 3.

Da-mi-ia ("My child"?), $\dagger$ hsipirri sha bâbi sha $m$ Gubarra, $128: 18$, U. E.
Danni(e, $a$, ) $a$

1. s. of $\operatorname{Id} d i n \hat{a}, 5: 1$, U. E. $|13: 13| 16: 17|17: 15|$ $18: 18|19: 17| 21: 15|22: 12| 25: 14(7) \mid 26:$ $21|27: 15| 28: 12|31: 16| 33: 17|34: 19| 36:$ $16|37: 14| 43: 22|46: 20| 48: 15|49: 14| 50:$ 15, Lo. E. | $53: 21$, U. E. $|57: 13| 65: 19 \mid 71$ : 16, R. | $80: 17|81: 15| 84: 16|85: 14| 88: 18$ | $89: 13|92: 16| 96: 13$, L. E. | $100: 12$, L. E. | $103: 12 \mid 130: 25$, L. E. | 131 : 24, L. E. | 132 : 23, Lo. E.
2. s. of Nâdin, gs. of Mannu-Bèl-hâtin, b. of Ninib$n \hat{a} d i \bar{n}, 27: 12 \mid 71: 13$, L. E. | $88: 14$, Lo. E.
3. s. of Shum-ulîn, gs. of Shiriqtim, 2:16|4:25 U. E. | $33: 20 \mid 34: 20$.
4. s. of $\ldots \ldots, 5: 16,17 \mid 32: 17$.
5. f. of Ardi-Ninib, $54: 17$.
6. f. of Bêl-ş̆um... 77 : 14.
7. f. of Silim-ilâni, $80: 15$, U. E. | $82: 14$, R. E.| 97 : 16, L. E.
8. in AluHussêti sha mDannâ, 37:5, 6.
dDan-nu-at̂ê-shu-ibni, $\ddagger \mathrm{s}$. of Bêl-nâdin, $9: 34$, U. E.
Dan-nu-Nergal, f. of Nergal-êtir, $24: 18$.
${ }^{*} D a-a-r i-a-m u s h=$ Darius II. King of Persia, Aramaic docket דריהוש, 78: R. (Pe. Dāri(a)yawa(h)ush),§ $4: 29|17: 21| 21: 4,17|25: 5,18| 27: 5,18 \mid$ $30: 3,15|44: 15| 48: 20|49: 20| 54: 20$.
*Da-a-ri-ia-a-mush, 5:2,23|7:6,20|9:36|14:5, $23|15: 22| 23: 4,20|47: 6,22| 52: 6,25 \mid$ 79 : 16 .
*Da-ra-a-mush, $118: 2,19,39$.

* Da-ra-ió-mush, 41 : 19.
*Da-ra-mush, $108: 16$.
*Da-ar-ia-a-mush, 45 : 22.
* Dar-iá-a-mush, 121 : 14.
- *Da-ri-a-mush, $16: 4,22|19: 23| 31: 20|33: 22| 35:$ $22|36: 22| 50: 2,21 \mid 118: 2$.
*Dar-iá-mush, 111 : 19.
*Da-ri-ia-a-mush, 2:7, 18|3:7,19|6:4,18|8:14| $10: 17|11: 12| 12: 3,14|[13: 16]| 18: 6,23 \mid$ $20: 20|22: 15| 24: 3,20|25: 18| 26: 5,24 \mid$ $28: 17|34: 4,24| 35: 5,21|37: 21| 38: 4,16 \mid$ $39: 4,19|40: 3,19| 43: 18,24|46: 6,25| 51:$ $23|53: 15,17,27| 56: 2|57: 3,18| 58: 3,17 \mid$ $59: 23|60: 24| 61: 6,22|62: 21| 63: 3,17 \mid 64:$ $16|65: 3,13,23| 66: 2,8,17|67: 4,19| 68: 1$, $11|69: 4,10,20| 70: 3,20|71: 2,10,11,19|$ $72: 18|73: 15| 74: 23$ (?)|75:4, 20|76:8, $20|77: 18| 78: 2,13|80: 2,19| 81: 2,21 \mid 82:$ $2,9,20|83: 3,9,18| 84: 4,8|85: 3,9,19| 86:$ $2,17|87: 3,16| 88: 3,21|89: 1,6,18| 90: 2,7$, $15|91: 3,23| 92: 3,20|93: 3,17| 94: 23 \mid 95:$ 1, 20| $96: 1,18|97: 5,20| 98: 19|99: 18| 100:$ $2,15|101: 3,19,30| 102: 3,23|103: 2,17| 104$ : $12|107: 6| 109: 4,12|110: 16| 111: 3 \mid 112:$ $21|113: 2,7,18| 114: 2,19|115: 4,21| 116:$ $16|117: 2,7,11,22| 119: 20|122: 2,19| 123:$ $3,14|124: 16| 125: 23|126: 3,16| 127: 2,8$, $20|128: 2,9,23| 129: 2,9,31|130: 33| 181:$ 33| 132 : 26 .
*Da-ri-ia-mush, $1: 22|32: 21| 42: 18 \mid 55: 17$.
*Da-ri-mush, 29:1, 7, 20.
*Da-ri-'-mush, 120 : 15.
[*]Di-e-eb-ra...., f. of Bêlshunu, $50: 6$, R.
Di-e-ki, cf. Shulum-Bâbilu.
* $\overline{D u-\imath}-i a a-a-\bar{h} a b-b e \|$ (cf. Bêl-ia-a-hुab-bi), s. of Ah̆daga, 119:17|120:13.
Du-um-muq, f. of Ninib-gâmil, $24: 19 \mid 38: 15$.
$\dagger$ [Unless hypokor. of a name containing the god Damu (cf. footnote to $D(T) a b-d a m a$ ) -Ed.]
$\ddagger$ Written without the determ. d, Dar. $313: 3$.
§As to the original pronunciation of the name "Darius" and the different ways in which it is rendered in cuneiform writing, cf. Z. A., II, pp. 50, f., and Hüsing, Die iranischen Eigennamen in den Achāmenideninschriften, p. 32.
$\| d D u-u$ here stands parallel with the gods $d B e ̂ l$ and $d Q \hat{u} s$. Cf. Bêl-iâh $a b b i$ and $Q u \hat{s} u-i \hat{a} h a b i, \operatorname{IX}, 1: 23$, and is likely a Semitic god. Cf. דוא, Lidzbarski, Nordsemitische Epigraphik, p. 153, and Tu-iu-ba-ni-ia below. [Cf. Du-i (or $D \hat{u}-n \hat{a} ’ i d ?$ ?, $I u-a, D u-u-a$ (Johns, Assyr. Deeds, Vol. MII, p. 459, and Assyr. Doomsday Book, p. 61) and Tu-u-i (Johns, Deeds, Vol. III, p. 477). If Dî and Thu represent the same deity, its real pronunciation probably was $\frac{T}{u} \hat{u}$. But for the present it will be wiser to keep them separate. Cf. Tu-ba--lu $=$ Ethobal.-Ed.]


## DATED IN THE REIGN OF DARIUS II.

*Du-un-da-na-' $\dagger$ m. of Litbâshi, $82: 4,6,12$, Lo. E. | $89: 2,3,7,10$ U.
dE-a-bullitsu, s. of Misdabigin, $69: 14$, Lo. E. $d_{E-a-i b n i, d E a(d B E)-i b n i}$

1. s. of Barikki-ili, hpi-it-pi...., sha hgardu, $95: 7$, 10, 13, Lo. E.
2. f. of Aplâ, mâr hBâbiliki, $93: 14$.
3. f. of $B \hat{b} b \hat{a}, 51: 18$, U. E.
4. $65: 6 \mid 88: 7$.

Ea(dI)-nàdin, f. of $A r d i-i l u-r a b \hat{u}, 42: 3$.
Erba-a or $\hat{\text { Eribla-a }}$

1. s. of Nani.mitin, b. of Fiusuri, 67: 14.
2. f. of Ardi-Ninib, $45: 3$.
3. f. of Shishki-Bêl, $83: 16$, Lo. E.
4. f. of Shumiia, 51 : 3.

## Erba-Bêl ${ }^{1}$

1. s. of Balâtu, 17:4.
2. s. of Bêl-bana, $4: 22|7: 12| 13: 12 \mid 14: 17$, U.
E. | $16: 16|17: 15| 18: 17|19: 17| 20: 16 \mid 21:$

12| $22: 11|25: 14| 31: 16$, Lo. E. | $32: 17 \mid 33:$
$17|34: 19| 36: 15|43: 22| 40: 20|48: 15| 49$ :
$14|51: 19| 57: 14|65: 19| 76.17|81: 14| 85:$
$14|86: 10| 88: 1 \%$, U. E. $|89: 12| 98: 14$, Lo.
E. | $112: 19$ [U. E.] | $113: 13$, U. E.
3. s. of Ninib-êrish, 14-17| $107: 13$.
4. s. of Sha-pî-kalbi, $14: 19|107: 9| 126: 12$.
5. s. of. ......, 47 : 17.

Erba-Shamash, f. of Nabû-êrish, $51: 2$.
Êrish-Bêl(dEN), s. of Bêlshunu, 1. of Iddina-Bêl, 11:2.
E-te-ru, f. of Rêmu-8hukun, 73 : 11.
*Ga-ban-na-a, 101: 10.

* Ga-da-al-Ia-a-ma IX, Ga-du-la-Ia-a-ma, s. of Shabbatui,

7:16.
 17: 6.
*Gr-li-iu, in alu (firliïl, $54: 4,10$.

* Gar-gu-ush, ef. Kargush.
* GushurlX, read Rimu-8hnkun, q. v.

Gimil(SIIU)-Shamash, f. of Nutitiritish, 51:2.

* Gu-ba-ri, Gu-bar-ra(-ri) (cf. Pe. Г $\omega \beta a \rho \eta s, ~ A s s$. Qubare)

1. f. of Artasurru, $114: 14$.
2. f. of Nanì-nı̂din, $91: 20$ U. E.
3. m. of Bit-॥bu-uṣ"r, hpihihat sha matuAkkadzki, 101: 25.
4. m. of Damiia, $128: 18$, U. E.
5. m. of Marduka, $97: 16$ Lo. E.
6. m. of Pukithi, $84: 5,9,11$, Lo. E. | $85: 15$.
7. in Bâbu sha ${ }^{m}$ Gubara, $127: 14$, U. E.
8. $118: 14$.
 ètiv, b. of Hannani', $61: 2$.
Gula(dME-ME.)-shum-lishir, s. of Thkhullu, 21:14| $26: 20|31: 17| 51: 21|65: 20| 75: 14 \mid 84: 14$.

* Gu-un-dak-ka-' [cf. Pehlewi Kundāk, " Wise, Hero '"-
 tu-da-ai, s. of Tigira', 67:17, Lo. E.|90:10, Lo. E.
* Gu-sn-ai [hypok. of a name beginning with dQíruEd.], † f. of Shulum-Bibiln, $44: 13$.
* Gu-shur-ri-' (cf. Bi. ' ${ }^{\prime}$ ? ${ }^{\prime}$ $80: 5,6,8,12$, R.
*Gu-zi-ia (Ar. docket 'גוז), f. of Bêl-êtir, $104: 4$.
$\dagger$ [For the second element cf. Kus-da-na-' (IX). Like $h^{\prime \prime} u s, D u n$ seems to represent a deity which may be identical with $d \operatorname{Dan}(-n u)$ (cf. dDannu-alâeshu-ibnt). As to the use of Dan(nu) alongside of Dın, cf. dHan and dHun, and perhaps $G a n$-sakka' and $Q u$-un-dakka', below. The god $D a(u) n$ is perhaps also to be recognized in Bi דנְהָה

$\ddagger[\operatorname{In}$ addition to the Bi. names quoted in connection with this name in Vol. IX, cf. Ca-lul, Ga-lu-lu, Gill-lu, (Johns, Assyr. Deeds, p. 231).-Ed.]
$\S$ [In all probability we have here to distinguish between names of different origin, the one being Persian, the others derived from the Semitic root גבר, often found in proper names. Cf. Gab-ba-ri (Vol. IX) or $a a-a b-b a-r u$, Gab-bar-ru, Ga-ab-bar (Johns, Assyr. Deeds, Vol. III, p. 412), Gu-ba-ru (Strassmaier, Camb., 96 : 3) and Il̂̀-ga-bar (ga-ba-ri, gab-ri), below.-Ed.]
$\|$ Cf. the feminine name $G u-u b-b a-a$, Strass., Nbn. 310: $\dot{4}$. [Cf. also Grubā, linqit $3: 13,17$. The $u$ is probably due to the following labial ( $G u b b \hat{A}=G a b b \hat{i}$ ). The father of a certain Mitrluhi-shar-usur (Johns, Assyr. Deeds, Vol. III, p. 227) is written $G a b-b i-i, G a b-b i-e, G a-b i-11$ and $G a b-e$. I regard all these names as hypokoristika of names like Gab-bu-ilêni(-ni), Johns, l. c., Nos. $92: R, 3|159: 0,5| 130: 0,7$ (again shortened from a name like Gab-bi(bu)-ulàni(-ni)-êresh(-esh)) or Gab-bu-ina-qât-ili, etc.-Ed.]
- [Unless the name is Ar. and to be compared with Can (Kar?)-sal-ka-' (Vol. IX)-Ed.]
$\dagger \dagger$ [For evidently identical with the name Ku-sa-ai (Johns, Assyr. Doomslay Book, No. 1, Col. II, 41. The change of $g$ and $k$ points to original $Q u-s a-a i$.-Ed.]


*Hab-sir $\ddagger$ (Ar. docket חבצר), f. of Hi'dirri', 99:4, U. E.
* $\underline{H} a-d a-a n-n a 1 X(-n u)$, 思 f. of Shishki-Bèl aad Tuddannubullutsu, 41 : 3.
*Hax ad di-ia (cf. Addiix, also Ar. עריה), in aluBit-Haddiiu, 76: 3.
* Ha-ag-gt-ra, f. of Shabbatai, $85: 16$, L. E.
* Hुa-gi.gi-' (ef. Pa. חנגו and Safaitic , $119: 8$.
* $\operatorname{Hg} a-a g(k, q)-t a-a$ [cf. Ph. חR-Ed.]. s. of [Aqa]bi-ili, 12: 2, U. E.
* Ha-ma-ri-zli-í-a [cf. Safaït. 'A $\mu \varepsilon$ ípños-Ed:], 101: 4.
*Ha-am-ma-ru-ru, |; f. of Zabid-Nanâ, $106: 10, \mathrm{R}$.
* Ha-am-ma-ta-ai, Ha-mu-ta-ai (cf. Np. חמת), $16: 4 \mid$ 17:9|47:5|48:5.
* $H a-a m-m a-s u-$ - (Pe., ef "A $\mu a \sigma \alpha \zeta)$, f. of Artxpirna', $89: 16, \mathrm{R}$.

Ha-nab, Ha-an-bu IX, f. of Ninib-nâsir, $124: 12$, R. E.

* $1[a-a n-d a-s h a-n u$, HI $a-a n-d(1-s h a-a n-\mu i, \quad H a-a n-d a-s h u$ $a n \cdot n a . \dagger \dagger$

1. f. of Shamesh-lindar, $33: 19 \mid 34: 22$.
2. f. of Shumesh-rahiia, $20: 2 \mid 125: 20$.

* Ha-na-na IX, Ha-na-na-', Ha-na-an-na, hpaqdu sha Lâbầshi, 127:5, 9, 11, R., and in aluBìtUıиапа', 127: 4.
* ${ }^{H a-n a-n i-'}$ (IX), $\boldsymbol{H} a-a n-n a-n i-', H a-a n-n i-{ }^{-}$(Ar. docket חנג, 132 : R.)

1. s. of Bel......., $24: 17$.
2. s. of Minahhim, sha ana muhlhi issercoll. sha sharri, 128 : 15, L. E.
3. s. of Nin,b-ètir, b. of $G u b b \hat{a}, 61: 2$.
4. s. of Tâtia, $132: 1,19$.
5. s. of Tub-Iàma, b. of Bana-Iàma Zabad-lîma, Zabîna', $118: 1,18,30$.
6. s. of Udarna', $84 \cdot 15$ [abbrev. from Hananiïima -Ed.].

* $\mathrm{H} a-n a-n i-I a-a-m a$ IX, Ha-na-nu-Ia-a-ma, s. of $U^{\prime} d a r n a$ ', 7: 14.
Hुa-an-ni-ia, 119: 9.
*Ha-an-na-ta-' (cf. Pa. $\kappa$ ) $\Pi$ ), $\ddagger \ddagger$ s. of Nabî-rahîi, 109 : 3.
* $\boldsymbol{H}^{(a-n u n}$ 1X, $\boldsymbol{H} a-n u-n u$ (cf. חנוג, unpublished docket, Vol. IX, 87), s. of Ninib-lûkin, $8: 2$.
* Har-bat-a-an, Har-ba-tr-nu, Har-bat-a-nu, Har-bat-ta-nu, Har-ri-ba-ta-nu (cf. Pe. Xarbādān)

1. s. of Shum-iddina, $79: 14$.
2. s. of $\underline{Z u m b u}, 2: 14|72: 15| 94: 21|125: 18| 127$, U. E.
3. $h p a[q u d], 12$, Lo. E. $\mid 21$, U. E. $\mid 28$, Lo. E. $\mid 30$, Lo. E. | 38, R.
*Ha-ri-im-ma-' (Pa. חרימי, Bi. חָרים, ef. EGa-ri-ma a, Johns, Assyr. Doomsday Book, p. 46).
4. f. of lli-barakku, $119: 12$.
5. f. of Shamesh-barakiku, $120: 8$.

* Har-Hur-ma-ṣu (cf. Pe. Hurmazd, 'Sןoцá̧ns. Perlıaps containing the Eg. Horus), §ss s. of $N a^{\prime} s \hat{A} a, 23: 3$.
$\dagger$ [Cf. also Ha-ba-su (Johns, Assyr. Deeds, No. 66, E, 2) and Ha-ba-si (l. c., No. 434, O, 8), while the female name Hambusu (aecording to the Ar. doeket on Johns, l. c., No. 233, חחכשו) must be compared with the Ph. חכש, Cf. Johns, l. c., Vol. IIl, p. 99.-Ed.]
$\ddagger$ Cf. Ha-ba-si-ri, Nbn. 176:7; Camb. 257:4, 11| 268:5; Dar. 48:12; f[Ga-ba-sir-tum, Nbn. 765:5.
s [In Vol. IX I compared this name with Bi. ערנא, 'Adavás. But in view of sueh writings as Ili-in-dar (Vol. X, $10: 8$, L. E.) Ilindar $=1 l$-lindar $=$ Ili-lindar(ib., li. 1 and 9 ), or $I l p l-a-d i-n u(S t r a s s m a i e r, ~ N e r i g l i s s a r ~ . ~: ~ 7)=I l i a d i n u ~$ $=I l i-i \bar{a} d i n u$ (cf. Editorial Prefaée), it may also be possible to interpret Hadannu(a) $=$ Had-dannu(a) $=$ Haddudannu(a), "God Had (= Hadad) is powerfnl," and to eompare Pa. חתוךן = adסovdarms.--Ed.]


$\dagger \dagger[W$ ritten Hुa-an-da-sa-ni, Johns, Assyr. Deeds, 113: O, $3 \mid 119: 0$, 3.The well-knowu god Hân(i) appearing occasionally in proper names of the later period, as shown by Johns, Assyr. Doomsday Book, pp. 16, 73, 82, I am inclined to recognize the same deity also in Hân-dashanni and Hân-natanî, Vol. IX, and Bân-nata', below. The meaning of the element $d a-s h a-a n-n i, d a-s a-n i, d a-s h a-n u, d a-s h u-a n-n a$ is not quite elear. Probably it is to be connected with the root $\boldsymbol{N} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ found in other proper names not bearing an Assyrian stamp. The god Hên seems to
 No. 446, R. 21.-Ed.]
$\ddagger \ddagger$ [Cf. the previous footnote.-Ed.]
$\$ 8$ [The element Har or Ear-ri noticed in this and the following names, is also found in a number of names published by Johns, Assyr. Deeds, pp. 98 and 537. It is possible that some of them may eontain the Egyptian god Horus, rendered as עברדחר (Lidzbarski, l. c., p. 280) and Har in V R. 1, 98 (Har-siaêshu). Cf. Steindorff, B. A., Vol. I, p. 350.-Ed.]
*Har-ri-ma-ah-hi-', Her-mu-hi-' $\dagger$

1. f. of Aplà, $1: 2, \mathbf{9}$.
2. hmâr bitti sha Barrimunnatu, habarakku, 123:4, L. E.
3. 66 : 5.
*Har-ri-ma-az(s) (cf. Pe. Hurmazd), f. of Aplâ, $8 \mathbf{~}$ : 14.
*Har-ri-mun-na-tu, m. of Harmahi', $123: 4$.

Ha-tin
4. s. of Taqbi-lîshir, $60: 18$.
5. 13 : 2.
*Hi-'-du-ri-' (Ar. docket 'הירור, ef. Pa. הדירא), \& s. of Habsir, hshaknu sha hnangarê, $99: 4,9$ U. E.
*Hi-ik-la-', in aluBit mbikla' (cf. Bit mlk-la-'), § $71: 5$.

* Hi-il-lu-mu-tu, f. of Shabbatai, 92:6.
*Gi-in-ni-'-Bêll (cf. Pu. חננבע), s. of Dalatani', $43: 1$, 19.
*Hi-nu-ni-', $\operatorname{Hi}_{i-i n-n u-n i-' ~(c f . ~ B i . ~ ח נ י ן) ~}^{\text {( }}$ )

1. s. of $A q \hat{u} b u$, b. of Mannu-ki-ilahi, $64: 3$.
2. f. of Ilte तiri-abi, $99: 16$.
${ }^{*} H_{i-i s-d a-n u}$ (cf. Bi. and Ar. 7 ), s. of Kidin, $39: 15$.

* ${ }^{\text {Bi-'...... (Ar. docket }}$ (?
* Hu-u-mar-da-a-tu, U-mar-da-tu, U-mar-da-a-tu, U-ru$d a-a-t u$ ( $50: 13, \mathrm{C}$. E. Identified by the seal impr.) (Pe. ${ }^{\prime} \Omega \mu(\rho)$ and $\left.d \bar{a} t a\right), 8: 8$, R. E. | $18: 14$, R. E. $\mid 20: 12$, R. E. $\mid 22: 9$, L. E. $\mid 24: 11$, L. E. | $25: 11$, L. E. | $26: 15$, R. E. | $32: 14$, L. E. | $34: 15$, L. E. | $35: 13 \mid 36: 13$, L. E. | $37: 11$, L.
E. | $41: 12$, U. E | $42: 12$, U. E. $\mid 45: 13$, R. E. $\mid$ $43: 17$, R. E. | $50: 13$, U. E. | $54: 15$, L. E.
*IIn'-ush-8ha-da-a-tu, Hu-ur-sh $a-d a-t u$ (Pe. Xurosh(?) and dāta,"Sus has given").

1. f. of Bariki, $86: 3$.
2. Sha hhatri sha harshammai, $100: 3$.
 Shamesh-ladin, $94: 1,5,11,15$, R.

*Ia-a-b̧ab-bī-il̂̀ (Ar. הבאל', cf. Ar. אל-יהב), f. of Nabùushêzib, $101: 16,20$.
*Ia-a-hu-lu-ki-im, In-t-hu-it-la-ki-im IX, s. of ....,77: 3, L. E.

* It-a-am-ma-'

1. s. of Banadi' $u, 72: 3,5,8,9$.
2. $76: 2$.
$\frac{I-l \mid x-d)}{}$
3. f. of Bèl-ibni, $52: 22 \mid 116: 11$.
4. f. of $\ldots$...nidintum, $3: 3$.

* $1 b(\mu)-r a-a-d u-u t(p i r)-n a-{ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{Pe}),. \mathbb{T} \mathrm{m}$. of Pirrihiñ $\mathrm{n} u, 114$ : 5, 6.
$1 g-l a-{ }^{\prime}$, cf. $I k-l a a^{\prime}$


1. s. of Bèlia, $40: 15$.
2. f. of Quddà, $4: 3 \mid[116: 12]$

Iddinit $\frac{(M u-i t,}{\text { Introd.) }} \frac{s \in-n u-t)}{}$ ( $\operatorname{not}$ Iddina-aphu, Vol. IX, cf.

1. s. of Iddina-Bêl, 54 : 17.
$\dagger\left[\right.$ Id. with $H a-m a-a r-h a-{ }^{\prime}$ (Vol. IX), for $A h i " a u$, the latter's slave, is also ealled " slave of Harmahi', Const. Ni., 612.-Ed.]
$\ddagger\left[=A-h i^{-}-d \hat{u} r i, A b u\right.$ and $A \underline{Z} u$ being repeatedly fond in connection wilh $d \hat{u} r i$. For the common abbrevialion of $A h b u(i, a)$ into $H u(i, a)$, cf. Abi-li-ti-' and Hi-li-ti-' (Vol. IX); Ahu-ma-ma-a-te and Hu-ma-ma-te (Johos, Assyr.
 and $\underline{C} u-b a-a s-a-t e(\underline{H} u-b a-8 a-a-t e)$ (Johns, l. c., p. 99); Ahbu-li-i and Hu-li-i' (Johns, l.c., No. $24: \mathrm{E}, 1$ and No. $184: \mathrm{O}$, 2). Evidently also Hi-ma-ri-i (Johns, l. e., No. $178: R, 3|209: R, 5| 569: 0,6)=A \operatorname{li} i-m a-r i-i$ and $H u-d a-p i-i$
 and Bi. אחי־רם =חירם (Lidzlarski, l. c.).-Ed.]
$\S\left[\right.$ The fact that $E i-i k-l a-^{-}$is also written $I k$ - $l a a^{\prime}$ points to a word with $y$ as first radical. I therefore prefer to transliterate $\mathrm{Hi} i-\mathrm{ig}-\mathrm{la}$ ' and $\mathrm{Ig}-\mathrm{la}-\mathrm{-}$, and to compare the name with Bi. עֶּ ef. Vol. IX, p. 27, note 3). Cf. also Pa. עגלתא, עגל־בול, עגילן.-Ed.]
 $i l \hat{\imath}$ (Id-ra-ni-'-ilî) and $\left.1 a-d a-a r-n i-{ }^{\prime}-i l i{ }^{\prime} .-E d.\right]$

$\dagger \dagger[I d d i i a$ (cf. $I d-i a$ ) is probably identical with Addiia and Haddiia, written also Ha-di-ia (Johns, Assyr. Deeds, No. 742, 0,34 ) q. v. For the change of $a$, ha $a$ and $i$ in the first syllable of foreign elements beginaing with $V$, cf.

 p. 481.-Ed.]
2. f. of $\underline{A l-i d d i n a,} 48: 4$.
3. f. of $\overline{B e ̀ l-u p a ̂ q a, ~} 123: 10$.
4. f. of $\underline{\text { Danniे, }}$ 5, U. E. $|13: 13| 16: 17|17: 15|$ $18: \overline{18 \mid 19}: 17|21: 15| 22: 12|25: 14| 26: 21 \mid$ $27: 15|28: 12| 31: 16|33: 17| 34: 19 \mid 36:$ $16|37: 14| 43: 22|46: 20| 48: 16|49: 15| 50:$ 15, Lo. E. | $53: 21$, U. E. $|57: 13| 65: 19 \mid 71:$ 17, R. $|80: 17| 81: 15|84: 16| 85: 14|88: 18|$ $89: 13|92: 16| 96: 13$, L. E. | $100: 12$, L. E. | $103 \cdot 13 \mid 130: 25$, L. E. | $131: 25$, L. E. | 132 : 23, Lo. E.
5. f. of Ninib-ah-iddina, $49: 3$ [prob. id. with No. 2-Ed.].
6. f. of Ninib-nâ'id, $56: 11 \mid 73: 11$.
7. f. of Rêmu-shukun, 14 : 20.
8. f. of $\ldots \ldots$, , $20: 15|47: 18| 76: 16$.

Iddina-aplu IX, see Iddinâ.
Iddina-Bèl (Bèl ${ }^{1,2}$ )

1. s. of $A h$-iddina, $10: 4,7$.
2. s. of $\underline{B a l u ̂ t u, ~} 4: 27|24: 15| 72: 14$, L. E. | $132: 24$.
3. s. of Bèl-êtir, 107 : 10.
4. s. of Bêlshunu, b. of Erish-Bêl, 1 1: 2.
5. s. of Bullut $\hat{c}, 5: 3$.
6. s. of Ninib-muballit, $121: 12$, sc.
7. s. of $\ldots ., 42: 16$.
8. f. of $A h$-iddina, $9: 34$.
9. f. of Bèl-nâdin, $55: 13$.
10. f. of $B \hat{\imath} b \hat{a}, 47: 4$.
11. f. of Illlinti, $54: 17$.
12. f. of Ilu-lindar, $10: 1$.
13. f. of Ninib-iqisha, 116:14.
14. f. of Ninib-muballit!, $47: 4$.
15. f. of Shrmesh-lindur, $18: 4$.
16. f. of Tabnèa, $4: 5$.
17. f. of $T_{u q i} \hat{s} h, 41: 17$. Probably id. with No. 18.
18. f. of Taqîsh-Gula, $12: 13|21: 16| 22: 13|27: 17|$ $28: \overline{14|30: 14|} 44: 14 \mid 79: 13$, U. E. $\mid 115:$ 18, U. E.
19. 97 : 6.

## Iddina-Marduk(dAMAR-UD)

1. s. of $N a b \hat{u}-z \hat{e} r-u k i n, 7: 13|32: 18| 71: 15$, R.
2. s. of Uballitsu-Marduk, b. of Ahu-nûri', 6:13|64: 10 , R. E. | $97: 15$, L. E. | $100: 10$, U. E | $112: 16$, U. E. | 130 : 25, Lo. E. $\mid 131$ : 24, U. E.

Iddina-Nabîu

1. f. of Bilshumu, $33: 18|34: 20| 71: 5$.
2. f. of Ribât, $36 \quad 20$.
3. f. of Shamash-ah-iddina, $123: 11,0$.
4. f. of Shulum-Bâbilu, $91: 9$.
5. $65: 5 \mid 88: 5$.
l-dis-su, s. of Shum-iddina, sha hḩatri sha htashshallshanu sha shumêlu 26:4.
$I g-l a$-' $^{\prime}$, cf. Ik-la-'.
$r k-k a-r i(w i t h$ det. $h$ in Vol. IX), $129: 4$.
Ik-kar-ia, s. of Kidin, $20: 17$.
*Ik-la-', in alu Bìt-mlkla' (cf. aluBît-mHi-ik-la-'), 71.5| $62: 5,7 \mid 125: 6,9$.
*Ilî-ba-na-' (cf. Sa. בנאו, He.
6. s. of $N a b \hat{u}-\hat{e} r i s h, 98: 2,8$.
7. f. of Shamesh-lindar, 51: 4 .
8. $96: 4,8$.
*Ilt̂-barak-ku (cf. Ph. אלברך), s. of Hुarimma', 119:12.
 zubu, b. of Nabùnâ, $92: 5,11$.
 sha shushannipl shá hṣâb-shêpi, 90 : 3.

* lli-li-in-dur. $\dagger$ (in Vol. IX to be read Shamesh-li-in-dar), s. of Bêl-ittannu, $19: 4$.
*Ilî-n $\alpha-t a n-n u$ (cf. Ar.

1. f. of $A p l \hat{\alpha}, 55: 15$.
2. f. of Ribàt, $7: 16$.
*Il̂̀-za-bad-du(za-ba-du IX), s. of Aplâ, $32: 19 \mid 70: 14$, L. E.
*d Il-te-hiz-ri-a-bi ([==

*drl-te-eḩ-ri-nûri-' $\quad[=$ = mdIlteไ̧ri-nûri', $34: 6,9$.
Ilu-abu-usur, s. of Lamassu-nảdin, 44 : 3.
*Ilu-li-in-dar, $I l(u)-i n-d a r, \dagger$ s. of $\operatorname{Iddina-Bêl,~} 10: 1,8,9$, L. E.

Ilu-rab̂̀ (GAL)-nâdin, $101: 9$.
Im-bi-ia(ià), s. of Kidin, $8: 9|24: 16| 25: 15|75: 16| 83:$ 13, U. E. | $94: 19$, R. $\mid 98: 15$, Lo. E. $\mid 101$ : $28 \mid$ 113, U. E.
Ina-E-sag-ila-lil-bir, s. of Bêl-nàdin, 7:15.
Ina-E-sag-ila-ra-shil $\ddagger$

1. s. of $\underline{K i n \hat{a},} 107: 10$, L. E.
2. f. of Nab $\hat{u}-8 h a r a ', 126: 12$, U. E.

Ina (?)-eshshi-etitr, s. of Ninib-ile'i, $109: 9$.
Ina-şilli-bit-shu-me-ilu(?), s. of Liblut, $87: 13 \mid 116: 11$.

Ina-ṣilli-Ninib, abbrev. Sillu-Ninib, $29: 18$, Şillai, 130 : 32, R.|131:31.

1. f. of Nâtlin, $8: 12|12: 11| 22: 13|28: 16| 29:$ 18.
2. f. of Shum-iddina, $30: 12$. [Prob. id. with No. 1, cf. Const. Ni. $610:$ R. 4-Ed.]
*Ip-ra-a-du-pir-na-', cf. Ibràddirna'.
Iqish $\hat{\alpha}(B A-S H A-a), \operatorname{Iqisha}(B A-S H A), 39: 13$, not Iqîshaaplu, Vol. IX (cf. Intro., p. 15)
3. s. of Bél-muballit, $39: 13|40: 12| 108: 11$.
4. s. of Ninib-ititir, $38: 3$, L. E.
5. s. of Shum-iddina, $5: 20|28: 15| 132: 24$, U. E.
6. f. of Bêl-êpush, $26: 3$.
7. f. of $L a \hat{b} b a ̂ s h i, 14: 3$.
8. f. of $\underline{N a ̂ d i n, ~} 4: 21|16: 15| 17: 14|18: 17| 19:$

16, U. E. $|20: 15| 23: 14|25: 13| 31:(14) \mathrm{U}$.
E. $|32: 16| 43: 20|46: 19| 48: 14|49: 13| 53$ :

20, L. E. | 57 : $14 \mid 59: 16$, U. E. | $60: 15$.
7. f. of Ninib-ahu-ushabshi, $23: 16$.
8. f. of Shum-iddina, $63: 12$.
9. f. of Taddannu, $63: 14$.
10. b. of Mankiia, $118: 35$.
11. 70 : 3.
*I-qu-bu, f. of Ardi-Ninib, 68:7.
*I-qu-pa-' (cf. Pa. 'אקופע, акотaov), f. of Shamesh-nûri', 46:3.
*dIsh-દ̌i-abu-uşur, cf. dMil-hुi-abu-uṣur.
 hshaknu sha hsluushannipl sha nakkandu, $65: 9$, 14, R.
Ishdubuhatu' (?) [X, read I8h-ta-bu-za-na-', q. v.
*Ish-ta-bu-za-nu, Ush-ta-bu-za-nu, U Ush-ta-bu-za-na-1X, Ish-ta-bu-za-na-'IX (Pe.), 50:13. hdaianu sha När-Sin, 8:8, Lo. E| $18: 14$, U. E.| $20: 12$, Lo. E. | $22: 9$, U. E. $\mid 24: 11$, Lo. E. $|25: 11|$ $26: 15$, R. E. | $33: 14$, U. E. $\mid 34: 15$, U. E. $\mid 35$ : 14, R. E. | $36: 14$, U. E. $\mid 37: 11$, U. E. $\mid 41: 12$, L. E. | $42: 11$, R. $\mid 45: 13$, La. E. $\mid 46: 17$, U. E. | $50: 13$, R. E.
It-ià (cf. also Iddiía), f. of Ribàt, $23: 18$.
Itti-Bèl ${ }^{1}-b a l a ̀ t u, ~ s, ~ o f ~ N i n i b-n a ̀ s ̣ i r, ~ 52: 24, ~ s c . ~$

Itti-Ninib-ini-ia (cf. ltti-sharri-i-ni-ia, Strassm, Nabon., 282 : 3).

1. s. of Ardi-Gula, 108 : 12.
2. f. of Bèl-muballit, $39: 17|40: 17| 108: 15$.
ltti-Shamash-balĩtu. s. of Lakip, $10: 13$.
It-ti-iu, see Iddiia.
*K $a-k a a^{\prime}$ (cf. Pe. Kī̃k $k \bar{c}$ ), f. of Buga'dàtu, $66: 4,9$.
Kiel-lut-rlX, Kal-bi-ia, 115: 6.
Kalbi-Ban (dBàbu 1X), Kalbi-Bau (Bâbu, without det.d), f. of Bel-ibni, 124: 3.

Ka-rib-bí[cf. Na. ברבו-Ed.], f. of Shamash-kàsir, $93: 7$.
Ra-ri-e, $\dagger$ f. of Bèlshunu, $4: 3$.
*Kar-gu-ush, $\ddagger$ hshaknu sha hash-te-ba-ri-an-na, m. of Pirrina'nish, $76: 5,11, R$.

* $K(G) a r-d(t) a k-k u$ (cf. Gun-dak-ka-'), f. of NidintumShamash, $58: 13$.
$\underline{K a-s i r}$

1. f. of Bè̀-mukin-aplu, $5: 18|6: 14| 7: 17|16: 18|$ 17:17|18:19|19:18|20:17|26:20|3i: 18| $45: 15$ | $46: 21|47: 19| 48: 17|49: 16|$ $62: 17 \mid 63:$ L1, L. E. | $64: 12 \mid 72: 13$, L. E. $\mid$ $76: 14$, L. E. $|80: 16| 85: 16 \mid 88: 18$, U. E. $\mid$ $93: 12$, U. E. $|98: 15| 102: 18 \mid 116: 13$, U. E.
2. f. of Mulkin-aplu (abbreviation for Bêl-mukîn-aplu, No. 1), 82 : 13, Lo. E.
3. f. of Ninib-nâdin, $56: 14|59: 19| 60: 20|62: 17|$ $63: 12|73: 12| 75: 18|86: 11| 91: 21 \mid 87:$ 10| 103 : $14 \mid 128$ : 21.
4. f. of $\ldots \ldots, 25: 15 \mid 52: 17$.
$K a-t u(?)-t u, 118: 25$.

## Ki-din

1. s. of Bêl(?)-aḩê-iddina, $63: 15$.
2. s. of Bèl-bullitsu, 67 : 17.
3. s. of Ninib-muballit, $73: 10$.
4. f. of Bèl-shum-imbi, $16: 18$, Lo. E. | $17: 16$, Lo. E. | 18:18| $26: 19$ | $45: 15 \mid 47$, U. E. | $48: 16$, O. | 49 : 15, Lo. E., R. [53 : 22].
5. f. of Eisisdânu, $39: 16$.
6. f. of Ikkariia, $20: 17$.
7. f. of Imbîa. $8: 9|24: 16| 25: 15|75: 16| 83: 13$, U. E. $|94: 20| 98: 15$, Lo. E. $|101: 28| 113$, U. E. [Prob. id. with No. 4-Ed.]
8. f. of Shamash-shum-lisshir, $14: 2 \mid 49: 17$.
$\dagger$ [Cf. Ka-ri-e-a, Strassm., Nabuk. $350:$ 20. As Tab-ni-i and Tab-ni-e-a are abbreviations from names like $B e ̀ l(N a b \hat{u}$, etc.) -tab-ni-uşur(bu-ul-lit. etc.), Karê and Karèa are doubtless shortened from a name like Nabì-ina-ka-$a-r i(l u-m u r)$, etc.-Ed ]
$\ddagger$ The reading Gar-gu-ush may be preferable in view of Pu, גרגש and the Bi. tribal name ${ }^{\text {a }}$, -Ed.]

Kil(?)-il-ga-ad-du,† hardu sha Shum-iddina and Zabìna', 32: 3.
*Ki(?)-e(?)za-ak-ka-' [for the second element, cf. also Gun-dakka' and Kar-dakku-Ed.], 66:4.
Ki-na-a, f. of Ina-Esagila-rashil, $107: 10$, L. E. (cf. also Mukìn-aplu).
$\underline{k i-r i b-t i(t u)}$

1. f. of Ardia, $2: 11 \mid 9: 33, \mathrm{U}$. E.
2. f. of $[S h a-N a b \hat{u}]-8 \hbar \hat{u}, 35: 3$.

Ki-rib-ti-Bêl

1. s of Bêl-shar-ibni, hushtarbari, 89 : 15, Lo. E.
2. $46: 5$.
 $a s h \hat{u}$, s. of Bêl-nâdin-shum, $129: 10$.
*Ki-tir(?)-ri(?)-is,ఫ f. of Shammà, $5: 20$.
*Kit(?)-ti-ma-nu, in nâr mKittimanu, 129: 3.
${ }^{2} K U D($ Daianu? ?)-dh-lddin, s. of Bêl-êtir, $20: 3$.
dKUR-GALS-nâdin, s. of Marduk-nâdin, $99: 16$.
${ }_{d K U R-G A L-u-p u h-h i r ~(A r . ~ d o c k e t ~ א ו ר פ ח ר), ~ h r e ̂ ̀ ~}^{\text {d, , hetrdu }}$ sha Ribât, 105 : 10, R.
Ku-sur-a\| (not Kusur-aplu, Vol. IX), s. of Nimithenâdin $h_{s} h a k n u '$ sha ba-na-i-ka-nu, $67: 8,14$, L. E.
$\mathbf{L}_{\text {L }} a-b a-n i i^{\prime}, \underline{L a-b r t-n i-i a t}$ IX (in Vol. IX read L(rmeni', but cf. unpubl. docket VoI. IX : 108, , לכנ), f. of Nâ'id-Bêl , $[28: 3] \mid 44: 12$.

3. s. of $A p l \hat{a}, 128: 19$.
4. s. of Balâtu, sc., $2: 17|41: 16| 46: 22|58: 16|$ $51: \overline{22 \mid 63}: 11|64: 15| 72: 17 \mid 112: 20$.
5. s. of Bânîn, $101: 27$, R. E |112:18, U. E.
6. s. of Bêl-asûa, b. of Bèl-îdishu, 15:6. hshaknu sha Bit-Sham-ma-su-pi-it-ru-ú.
7. s. of $\operatorname{Iq} \hat{q} h h \hat{a}, 14: 3$, Lo. E.
8. s. of Nabtì-bèl-uballit, hpaqdu sha bît sharri, also sha bît mâr sharri, hshaknu sha Nabû-nâdin, 59 : $8,12|95: 2,5,11| 101: 14,15$.
9. s. of Nâdinn, sc. $2: 15|3: 16| 24: 15|27: 14| 50$ : $16|63: 16| 71: 15$, Lo. E. | $73: 13 \mid 93: 12$, U. E. | 116 : 15 .
10. s. of Shaggil, hpaqdu(paqqudu) sha inDundana', 82 : 5, 9, 11, Lo. E. | $89: 3,6,9$, U. E.
11. s. of Umahhatrê, hshaknu sha hma-gul-la-ai, 81 : 5, 8, 11, 18, U. E. 184 : 12, L. E.
12. s. of $U b \hat{a} r$, b. of $\operatorname{Ardia,} 2: 15|3: 17| 14: 16$.
13. s. of $\ldots$... Bêl, $77: 12$.
14. s. of $\ldots ., 13: 14 \mid 84: 18$.
15. f. of Ardi-Gula, $55: 15$.
16. f. of Bèt-ahgè-iddina, 122: 16.
17. f. of Bêl-dênu, $47: 2$.
18. f. of Bêl-muballit, $130: 29|131: 29| 133: 23$, Lo. E.
19. f. of Bêl-sulê-shime, $55: 14$.
20. f. of Liblut, $101: 23$, Lo. E.| $118: 33$.
21. f. of $N \hat{a}$ 'id-Ninib, $130: 29 \mid 131: 29$. Same as No. 16.
22. f. of Silim-ilàni, $35: 17|36: 19| 57: 16|63: 12|$ $73: 4|75: 17| 87: 11 \mid 124: 13$, U. E.
23. f. of Shum-iddina, $55: 14$. Same as No. 17.
24. m. of Hananna and Minahhim, 127:4, 5, 11, R.

## U. E.

23. sha hhatri sha har'shammai, 113: 3.

La-kip, $\frac{L_{(1-k i-p i} \text { IX }}{}$

1. s. of Bêl-asûa, hgardupatum, $118: 34$.
2. s. of Ninib-muballit, $6 \mathrm{t}: 19$.
3. f. of Bêl-ittannu, $60: 6$
4. f. of $1 t t i$-Shumash-balâtutu, $10: 13$.
5. hgardupatum, 95:11.
dLıamassu(?dKAL-KAL)-n $\hat{\Delta} d i n$
6. f. of $I l u-a b u-u s u_{r}, 44: 3$.
7. f. of Bề-ittannu, $6: 16|45: 20| 61: 18$, L. E. | 78 : 10 .
*Lib-gi-ia [cf. Nar-gi-ia-Ed.]
$\underline{L i b-l u t}$
8. s. of Balàtu, 68:9.
9. s. of Lâbûshi, $101: 23$, Lo. E. | $118: 33$.
10. s. of Ninib-erba, $48: 3 \mid 49: 18$.
11. s. of Shirka', b. of Shabatai, $39: 2$, L. E.
12. s. of Inc-şilli-bit-shu-me-ilu(?), $87: 14 \mid 116: 12$.

Li-na-du-ush-a-na(ana)-Bêl ("May he be rejuvenated for Bêl''), hpá[qud] sha Sippara, $75: 8,12$, L. E.
$\dagger$ [Probably to be read Hab-il-Ga-ad-du. The second element represents the West-Semitie d "fortune" and "god of fortune" (Fortuna), contained also in several Bi. names. Cf. Baethgen, Beiträge zur Semit. Religionsgeschichte, pp. 76, ff.; Lidzbarski, Handbueh, p. 249; Zimmern, K. A. T. ${ }^{3}$, pp. 479, f.-Ed.]

$\bar{z} K U R-G A L$ instead of Shad $\hat{-}$-rabut (VoI. IX) is preferred until the exact rendering of is determined. Cf. Intro., p. 8.
$\|$ Cf. $K u-s u r-r a-a, D a r .154: 1$; also Introduction, p. 16.
$L i-n u-u h-l i b-b i-i l i n i \dagger$（＂May the heart of the gods be appeased＇’），hushtarbari sha sharri， 91 ：18，U．E．
$\underline{L u-u i-i-d i-i a ́ a}, ~ L u-\eta i-d i-i a, ~ L u-v i-i d i(I D)-i a$
1．f．of Ninib－ana－bitishu， $18: 21|19: 21| 25: 16 \mid$ $35 ; 15|60: 16| 66: 14$ ，U．E． $\mid 67: 12$, U．E． $\mid$ $93: 14 \mid 117: 19$ ，U．E．｜ $130: 27$ ，U．E．｜ $131: 26$ ， U．E．
2． $86: 11$ ．
Lu－．．＇－hi－it，f．of Bil－nitdin， 108 ： 14.
$\underline{\mathbf{M} a k l i \hat{i}(N T G-G A)-B i l} \ddagger$（in Vol．IX read $\left.B u s h \hat{\imath}-B \bar{e} l^{2}\right)$ ，s． of $A p l a \hat{a}, 59: 17$, L．E． $\mid 60: 17$ ，U．E．$|66: 13|$ $70: 16$.
Man－ki－ia，Man－m＂－ki－i，IN，b．of Iqishâ，118： 35.
M九пnul（ $A-B A)-B e l^{2}-\underline{h} \hat{a} t i n(D A-R I)$（＂Who［like］Bêl is protecting＇），\｜f．of Netlin，gf．of Dımui， $71: 14$.
＊Man－nu－i－qa－bu，hpaqdu sha mAhiamanush， $84: 17 \mid$ 85：6，9，U．E．
＊M／ $1 n-n u-k i-i-i-l t t-h i-i$（＂Who is like my god＂）TI［cf．Bi． מיכְּED．］，s of Aqûbu，b of Hinnûni＇， $64: 3$ ．
Man－nu－（a）ki－i－dNa－na－a
1．s．of Nargiia． $39: 3$ ．
2．s．of Vidiuti＇， $119: 14 \mid 120: 10$.
3．f．of Bèl－īada久， $33: 3$.

Man－nu－lu－ha－a（Ar．docket ．．．．．．．．．．
1．s．of Adarri－ilî， $46: 2, \mathrm{~L}$ ．E．
2．s．of $N a b \hat{u}-\hat{e ̂ t i r, ~} 47: 20$.
Mar－dul：
1．f．of Bêl－ahu－ushabshi， $129: 5$.
2．hushtarbari sha sharri， $15: 16$ ．
Mar－duk－a（Ar．docket א， $121: 0$ ）
1．s．of Mushêzib－Bêl， $39: 12 \mid 40: 11$.
2．s．of Ribàt， 121 ：3，Lo．E．
3．f．of Bêlshuru， 107 ：12，L．E． $108: 12$.
4．f．of Shameesh－lindar， $19: 14$ ，Lo．E． $120: 14$［25： 12］．
5．hbe－ep－ra－a－su sha ina pânim Gubarri，97：16，Lo．E．

## Marduk－bêl－shu－nu

1．f．of $\operatorname{Apla}, 61: 17$ ．
2．f．of Bêl－shar～usur， $16: 17 \mid 65: 17$ ，L．E．

Marduk－êrib，f．of Shulum－Bàbilu，39：13｜40：12．
Marduk－êtir
1．s of Bêl－ittarnu， $54: 17$ ，U，E．
2．f of Shamash－nthdin，36：19．
Mu＇luk－itish，in aluIुussèti sha Marduk－êrish，114：3．
Murluk－iqishu－an－ni，s．of Puda－dEsi＇， $39: 14$.
Mtrduli－nidit，f．of KUR－GAL－nâdin．99：16．
Murdulini－shul－lim，f．of Bèl－nädin， 57 ： 2.
 14，R．E．

＊， $\boldsymbol{H}_{i-\mu \pi-\omega h-h i-i m, ~ M i-\mu u-t h-h i-m ", ~ M i-n a-a h-h i-i m-m u}$ IX， Mi－m＂－hi－i＂，Mi－ull－hi－mu
1．f．of Hannani＂， $128: 15$.
2．hpaqdu sha Làbâshí， $127: 5,8,10$ ，U．E．
3． $118: 4,36$ ．
＊Mi－in－ia－a－me－en，Mi－in－ia－me－e IX，$\quad$ MFi－in－in－mi－i－ni IX （cf．He．צִוְיָּין［also Fraenkel，Z．A．，XIII，p． 123－Ed．］
1．s．of Bimiu， $76: 14, \mathrm{U}$ ．E．
2．s．of $\overline{B e ̀ l-a b u}-u s ̣ u r^{\prime}$, b．of Shabbatai， $65: 18$, U．E．｜ 84 ：13，Lo．E． 185 ：12，Lo．E．
M7i－nu－иi－anu－Bêl－дlt－nu，f．of Bêl－ittannu． $6: 15$.
$M \underline{M}-n u-u-B \dot{u} l-d a-n u(u)(d a-a n)$ and abbrev．Mi－nu－ú，s．of Dahそîa，hrabu－um－ma， 101 ：23，Lo．E．
＊Mi－is（z）－da－bi－gi－in［Pe．$=$ Mazda（cf．Mıodéos）－bigna－ Ed．］，f．of Ea－bullitsu， 69 ：14，Lo．E．
＊Mi－it－rı－tl－tu，Mi－it－ra－tu（Pe．Mitrait），m．of Nahish－ $t \hat{a} b u, 114: 16, \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{E}$.
Mugurshu IX，to be read Mutîrshu，q．v．
Mukin－aplu（ $D U-A$ ）［according to Vol．IX，pp 10 and 92，to be read $K \hat{\imath} n \hat{n}$ ，cf．also Kin－ai，Johns， Assyr．Deeds，No．404，R．5－Ed．］．Cf．Intro．，p．16．
1．s．of hísir（abbrev．from Bil－mûkin－aplu，by comparison of the seals），hdaianu sha Nitr－Sin， 82 ：13，Lo．E．
2．f．of Nab $\hat{u}$－bêl－uballit̀， $15: 17$ ．
3．f．of Ninib－ına－lâtishu， $10: 14$ ．
4．f．of Ninib－nàdin， $28: 15$.
5．in aluBit－mMukin－aplu， $51: 6,10$.
$\dagger$ Cf．Lim－ra－aṣ－lib－bi－il̂̀ni，II $R$ ．
$\ddagger$ Cf．Ma－६u－ur－Sin，Bu．88．5－12，O．T．IV．
§ Probably an abbreviatıon for a name like Mannû－ki－Nanû．Cf．Man－ki－Nas7uh，Man－ki－Si＇，Jolins，Assyr． Doomsday Book，p．75，and Deeds and Documents，p．452．This would be an example of a two－element hypokoristieon with the＂kose＂suffix．［Cf．Ahè－BA－A，above．－Ed．］
｜｜Possıbly also Mannu－Bêl－da－ri．Cf．Shamash－da－ru（Johns，Assyr．Deeds，No．89，O，2），Sharru－lu－da－ri， l．c．，150，seq．

बT Cf．Man－na－a－ki－i－dIsh－tar－ia，＂Who is like my Ishtar（＝＂goddess＂）．＂Dar．379：47．
*Mu-la-ki-it, in aluHussêti sha m Mulakit, 114: 4.
$\underline{M u-r a-n u, ~ i n ~ a l u B i ̀ t-m M u ̂ r a ̂ n u, ~} 23: 6,8 \mid 67: 6$.

## Mu-ra-shu-ú( $u$ )

1. s. of Bèl-nâllin-shumu, m. of Ahushunu, Bèlshunu, and Kitil-Bêl, gs. of Murashît, No. 3 (cf. Vol. IX, 101 : 4), $129: 6,11,13$.
2. s of Ribât, $122: 17$, L. E.
3. f. of Bề-nâdin-8humu. $1: 5|2: 1| 3: 2|4: 7| 5:$ $5|5: 11| 6: 7|7: 5,8| 8: 1|9: 2| 11: 2 \mid 12:$ $2|14: 1| 15: 9|16: 2| 17: 2|18: 2| 19: 2 \mid$ $20: 1|21: 2| 22: 1|23: 2| 24: 1|25: 1| 26: 2 \mid$ $27: 1|28: 2| 30: 1|31: 1| 32: 2|33: 2| 34:$ $2|35: 2| 36: 2|37: 1| 38: 2|39: 1| 40: 1 \mid$ $41: 1|42: 2| 45: 1|46: 1| 47: 2|43: 2| 49: 1 \mid$ $50: 7,11|51: 2| 53: 2|54: 2| 57: 1$.
4. f. of Quddâ, $46: 13$.
5. f. of Rîmût-Ninib, $29: 3|43: 2| 44: 1|52: 2|$ $54: 16$, R. $|58: 6,10| 59: 3|60: 2,12| 61: 1 \mid$ $62: 1|63: 7| 64: 6,8|65: 11| 66: 7,10 \mid 67: 7$, $10|69: 8,13| 70: 7,11|71: 9| 72: 6 \mid 75: 9$, $13|76: 6,10| 78: 4|79: 2| 80: 9|81: 7,10|$ $82: 7,10|83: 6,10| 84: 6,10|85: 7,11| 80: 5 \mid$ $87: 14,18|88: 10| 89: 4,8,11|90: 5| 91: 9$, $12|92: 8,12| 93: 8|94: 7| 95: 4,8,12 \mid 96: 6$, $9|97: 10,13| 98: 1|100: 5,9| 101: 15,17,20 \mid$ $103: 8|103: 6| 107: 4,7|108: 1| 109: 2 \mid$ $110: 2|112: 2| 113: 6,9|114: 7,10| 117: 5,8 \mid$ $119: 2|120: 2| 121: 1|122: 5,8,12| 123: 2 \mid$ $124: 4|126: 7| 127: 6 \mid 128: 7$.
6. in aluBît-mMurash $\hat{u}, 127: 3$.

## Mu-she-zib

1. f. of Ninib-muballit, $55: 1|73: 5| 77: 9 \mid 78: 7$.
2. 11: 4.

Mu-she-zì-Bêl, Mushêzib(KAR)-Bêl

1. s. of $A d d u$-rammu, $126: 14$.
2. s. of Bêl-êrish, $39: 15 \mid 40: 13$.
3. f. of Bêl-bullitsu, $95: 6$, Lo. E.
4. f. of Mardukâ, $39: 12$.
5. f. of $N a b \hat{u}-i d r i, 67: 15$.
6. f. of Naļmânu, 107 : 12.
7. f. of Shamash-muballit, $15: 17$.
8. f. of Zitti-Nabiu, $101: 27$, U. E.

Mu-she-zib-Marduk (AMAR-UD), f. of Shamash-muballite, 6:13.
Mu-tir-shu, (Mu-)Muı̂̂r(GUR)-shu, Mu-tir-ri-shu IX [not Mugurshu, Vol. IX], f. of Ninib-nâdin, $4: 28 \mid$ $35: 17$.

Nâ'id-Bèl (cf. 'נ'דבל, in an unpublished docket, Vol. IX, 108).

1. s. of $\underline{\operatorname{Labani} ;} 28:$ L. $\mathrm{E} \mid 44: 11$.
2. f. of $B \hat{e} l-i \hat{a} h a b b i, 99: 15, R$ E.
3. f. of Bêl-ittannu, $18: 2$.
4. f. of $S h \hat{u} z u b u, 18: 19|19: 20| z 0: 18 \mid 56: 20$.
5. f. of Tad....., $52: 20$.

## Nâ'id-Ninib

1. s. of $\operatorname{Ardi}$-Ninib, $15: 20 \mid 116: 12$.
2. s. of Lâbàshi, b. of Bêl-muballit, $130: 28$, R. E. $\mid$ 131 : 29, Lo. E.
$N a b \hat{u}-a h(u)-\hat{e} r i s h, 115: 5$.
Nrbû-ah(u)-ittannu. s. of Nanâ-nâdin sha ana muhb$i$ isu $B A R$ sha narHarripiqûd, 85 : 13, Lo. E.

## Nab̂̂-ahê-iddina

1. f. of Bêl-muballit, $16: 14$, U. E. $|48: 13| 49: 12 \mid$ $78: 9 \mid 114: 13$.
2. f. of Ninib-mutîrshu, $44: 10 \mid 99:$ L. E. (Identified by the seal impr.) $114: 13 \mid 132: 23, \mathrm{~L}$. E. (Identical with No. 3 according to Vol. IX).
3. f. of Ninib-nâsir , 4.22| $16: 14$, R.(?) | $17: 19$, R. | $38: 14 \mid 47: 15$, U. E. $48: 13$, R. $\mid 49:(12)$ U. E. | $92: 15$, Lo. E. $\mid 94: 18$, L. E|95:15, L. E| 98 : 14, U. E. | $112: 17|114: 13| 127: 13$.
*Nabî-a-qa-ab-bi, Nab̂$-h-h a-q a-b i(I X), ~ f . ~ o f ~ B e ̀ l s h u n u$, 123: 12.
Nab̂̀-ash-ka-ct-ri-shi IX, read Nabû-ina-ka-a-ri-lûmur.

## Nab̂̂-balât-su-iqbi

1. s. of $A h \hat{u} n \hat{a}, 1: 16$.
2. s. of Bël-iksur, 1 : 20.

Nabû-bèl-uballit (-it $t)$

1. s. of Balàtu, hshaknu sha hsipirripl, 7: 4, 7, L. E.
2. s. of Mukin-aplu, hdaianu sha Nâr-Sin, $15: 16$, R. E.
3. f. of $L \hat{a} b \hat{a} s h i, 58: 8,12|95: 3| 101: 14,16$.
4. hdaianu sha Bitt- fBurushshầtu, $97: 14$, Lo. E.

Nabî-bullititsu. Nab̂̂-bullit(-lit)-su

1. s. of Shumà, 45:9.
2. f. of Taqı̂sh, 37: 11| $41: 2$.

Nabît-da-ai-nu, f. of Sliticc, $44: 11$.
$\overline{\text { Nabû-êrib, s. of Bêlshunu, } 4}: 2,13$.
Nabû-êris/u

1. s. of Gimil-Shamash, $51: 2$.
2. f. of Ilì-bana', $98: 2$.

## Nabû-êtir

1. s. of Bêl-shum-ibni, 75:6.
2. f. of Bêl-ittannu, $104: 8$.
3. f. of Mannu. ...hâ, 47 : 20.
*Nabû-id-ri-' (cf. Bi. Nabû-ina-ka-a-ri, ${ }^{2,2}$, abbrev. from a name like Naba-ina-kâri-lûmur (cf. Nabî-ash-ka-a-ri-shi, above), $\ddagger$ s. of $A p l a \hat{a}, 52: 18$.

Nabî-ittannu

1. s. of Bèl-dânu hgi-te-pa-tum, $101: 26$, U. E.| 114 : 13, Lo. E.
2. s. of Bîbânu, b. of Bêl-rashil, 58 : 4.
$N a b \hat{u}-k a-s i r$, f. of $A l u^{\prime} a, 51: 4$.
Nabû-ku-sur-shu, s. of Bèl-bullitsu, $56: 16$.
Nabû-muballit(-it), s. of AZZu-liti', 51:5.
Nab̂t-mu-she-tiq-urra(UD-DA)
3. s. of Arshamma, $113: 3,8,10$, R. | $128: 3,10,12$, R.
4. s. of Bêl-ittannu, $64: 14$.
5. $113: 3,8,10$, R. E.
$N a b \hat{u}-n a-a$ [apparently identical with the common $N a b \hat{u} \hat{u}$ ( $N a-b u$ )-un-na-ai-Ed.], s. of Shиzubu, b. of 1lî-gabari, $92: 5,11$.
Nabû-na-din. Nabit-nidin(. MU $)$
6. s. of Bêl-kiṣir, $59: 7,11$, Lo. E.
7. s. of Bêl-nàg̀ir, hdaianu sha Nàr-Sin, 118 : 35, R. E.
8. f. of S7um-iddina, $4: 5$.
9. f. of Shulum-Bâbilu, $15: 18$.

Nabû-na-din-ahuu, s. of Uballitesu-Nubî, m. of UballitsuBèl (cf. 37 : 7), hhat li isha hsipirri, 37 : 2.
Nabû-na-din-shumu, s. of Ninib-muballit, b. of UTbir, 18:3.
*Nabû-na-tan-nu (cf. Ar. נכונתן), s. of Aq-bi-ilî, hshaknu sha hshushannupl mârê hshaknûtu, 64 : 6, U.E.
Nabû-nâsir(?), 7:13.
*Nabû-ra-hi-i (=* נכורע, cf. also note under Adraદ̆û), s. of Hुannata', 109 : 3.
*Nabû-ra-hbi-ia, s. of Bazuzu, b. of Aplâ, $31: 2$, Lo. E.
*Nabî-ra-pa-' (Ar. docket רִבז, llî-rapa'a), s. of Bau-nâdin, 119:8|120:3.
$\underline{N a b \hat{u}-r \hat{e} \cdot \hat{u}-s h u-n u}$, s. of Nidintum-Bêl, b. of Ah-iddina, b. of Zabdiia $, 25: 2$.
*Nabî-sha-ra-', s. of Ina-Esagila-rashill, 126 : 11, U. E.
Nabî-u-she-zib̄, s. of Iāhुabbi-il̂̀, $101: 16,20,21$, U. E.
*Nabî-za-bad IX, Nabû-za-bad-du (Ar. docket נבנ][1]), f. of Shikin-ilit, 119: 4.

Nab̂̂-zêr-iddina, f. of $R a b-b i-i l i t, 54: 18 \mid 70: 15$.
Nabî-zèr-ukin, f. of Iddina-Marduk, 7:13|32:18|71: $15, \mathrm{R}$.
Nabú ...., f. of Shiṭa', $8: 10$.
Na-din

1. s. of Bêl-rashil, $69: 16$.
2. s. of Ina-silli-Ninib, $8: 12|12: 11| 22: 13 \mid 28:$ $16 \mid 29: 18$.
3. s. of $\underline{I q} \hat{\imath} \imath h \hat{\alpha}, 4: 12|16: 15| 17: 14|18: 17| 19:$ 16, U. E. $|20: 15| 23: 14|25: 13| 26: 17 \mid 31:$ 14, U. E. $|32: 16| 43: 20|46: 19| 48: 14 \mid 49:$ $13 \mid 53: \S 0$, L. E. | $57: 14 \mid 59: 16$, U. E. | $60:$ $15, \mathrm{R}$.
4. s. of Mannu-Bêl-hâtin, $71: 14$.
5. s. of Sa'ga', b. of Ardi-Bêl, sha hhatri sha hshushannê mârê hisanni, 61.: 3.
6. s. of . . . . . . , $47: 16$.
7. f. of $\operatorname{Ardi-E\cdot GAL-MAH,~} 98: 17|112: 19| 125:$ 17, Lo. E.
8. f. of Bêl-Nippur-ashrishu-têr, 117 : 15.
9. f. of $B \hat{e} l-\uparrow \hat{e} \hat{e} \hat{i} t u m-B e ̀ l, 121: 10 \mid 125: 20$.
10. f. of Bêl-87um-lilbir, 2:14|18:20|19:20, U. E. | $25: 16|26: 22| 36: 17|37: 14| 45: 18 \mid 46:$ $22|57: 15| 62: 15 \mid 66: 12$, Lo. E. $\mid 80: 17$, Lo. E. $\mid 92: 17$, U. E. $|93: 13| 98: 16 \mid 102: 17$, Lo. E. | $117: 18$, U. E. $\mid 128: 19$.
11. f. of Danni, $27: 12 \mid 71: 13$, L. E. $\mid 88: 15$, Lo E. $\mid$ 115 : 17, L. E.
12. f. of $L \hat{L a} b \hat{n} s h i, 2: 15|3: 17| 24: 15|27: 14| 50:$ $16|63: 16| 71: 15$, Lo. E. | $73: 13 \mid 93: 12$, U. E. |116:15.
13. f. of Ninib-nâdin, $79: 1$, L. E. $|88: 15| 115: 17$, L. E.]
14. f. of Sillai, 130, 32, R. | $131: 31$.
15. f. of Ubir, $15: 21 \mid 79: 15$.

* $N a$-di-ir, $N \alpha-d i-r^{m} u$ IX

1. s. of Barikki-Shamesh, $99: 15$, R. E. | $123: 11$.
2. f. of Zabdiia, 115 : 19.
3. in aluBit- m Nadir, $129: 4$.
*Na-aไ-ma-nu (cf. Bi. נעמן נען, Tha, s. of MushêzibBèl, 107 : 12.
[*] $N a-h i-i s h-t \hat{c} b u, \quad N a-h i s h-t \hat{c} b u, \S h p a q d u$ sha mMitratu, 114: 16, U. E.
$\dagger$ Cf. $I l \hat{i}-i d r i, N a n \hat{a}-i d r i$, Vol. IX, and $d S i{ }^{\prime}-i d r i$, ctc., Jobns, Assyrian Doomsday Book, pp. 17 and 31.
$\ddagger$ Cf. Nab̂t-ina-ka-a-ri-lu-mur, "May I see Nebo within the wall," Nbn. 1026: 3. Cyr. 67:9, 221:9. Cf. also Bêl-ina-E-sag-ila-lu-mur, "May I see Bêl in Esagil," Dar. 7, 6, 21.
 II Dyn. of Ur, Z. A., XII, p. 334. In this age Awil in proper names is always found in conncetion with the name of a god, cf. Ranke, Personal Names. Cf. also the names Nuhâshu, Mu-na-ah-hi-ish-Mar-duk, Nbn. 85: 15.

## Nanâ-errish

1. s. of Ninib-nâdin, $4: 4 \mid 59: 17$, L. E. | $117: 17$, U. E.
2. f. of Bariki-Shamesh, $7: 10$, Lo. E. | 14 : 16, L. E. Nanâa-nâdin
3. s. of Bèl-abu-usur, sha hshaknu htashshalishanu and (sha)imni (tashallishannu), 117:16, L. E. | 124 : 5, J. E. | $127: 14$, L. E. | $128: 17$, U. E.
4. s. of Bèlshunu, $123: 9$, Lo. E.
5. s. of Gubari, hdashshiia, $91: 19$, U. E.
6. s. of $Q u d d \hat{a}, 98: 3,10$.
7. s. of Shulum-Bâbilu, $40: 13 \mid 108: 2$, L. E.
8. f. of Bananna-êrish, $59: 21$.
9. f. of $\hat{E} r i b \hat{a}, 67: 14$.
10. f. of $K u s u r \hat{a}, 67: 9,14$. Id. with No. 7.
11. f. of $N a b \hat{\imath}-a t h-i t t a n n u, 85: 14$.
12. hushtarbari, 102: 20, R. | $103: 11$.
13. $96: 3,8, R$.

Nar-gi-ia, † f. of Mannu-kî-Nanâ, $39: 3$.
*Na(?)-si-ka-', in aluBît-mNasika', 124 : 2.
Na-sir

1. f. of Bêl-mukìn-aplu, $67: 13$, R.
2. f. of Bèlshunu, $4: 23 \mid 41: 15$.
3. f. of Ninib-abu-usur, $27: 3$.
4. f. of Nimb-nàdin, $4: 23 \mid 41: 15$.
5. f. of Shullum, 87 : 5.
6. in Hussêti sha m Nâsir, $72: 3$.
*Na-'-dE-si [Egyptian, containing the name of Isis, Ar.Eg. סא or 'אט-Ed.], s. of Pamunu, $81: 17$.

* $N a-{ }^{\prime}-s i-e-a$ [Egyptian, id. with the previous name?Ed. \}, f. of Harbasu, $23: 3$.
* $\ddagger N a-t u ́-\epsilon-i l i ̀-l l$, in aluBît-m Natuêl, $54: 6$.

Nergal-abu-usur, m. of Nigistum, $5: 10,13$.
Nergal-da-a-nu, $84: 3$.
Nergal(?)-êtir(?), s. of Dannu(?)-Nergal(?), $24: 18$.
Nergal-nàsir, 115:7.
Nergal-nâdin-aḩu, s. of Ardi-Bêl, $12: 12 \mid 60: 19$.
Ni-din-ta-a, Ni-din-ta-', f. of Mannu-kî-Nan $\hat{a}, 101: 4 \mid$ 119: 14| $120: 10$.
Ni-din-tum

1. s. of Atamar-d Anussu, $21: 3 . \mathrm{L}$. E.
2. f. of Bêl-shimanni, $15: 19|39: 12| 40: 14$.
3. f. of $d$ Daianu-nâdin, $6: 10, \mathrm{~L}$. E.
4. $3: 3$.

Ni-din-tum-dA-num, s. of Shulà, 107:11|126:13, Lo. E.
Ni-din-tum(-tu)-Bèl

1. s. of Bêl-bullitsu, $9: 32$.
2. s. of Ninib-muballit, 121 : 11.
3. s. of $\underline{N i n i b-n \hat{a} d i n, 11: 10|107: 13| 126: 15 \mid 130: ~}$ $33|131: 32| 132: 25$.
4. s. of $\operatorname{Sin}-n \hat{a} d i n, 4: 5$.
5. s. of Shamash...hi, $52: 21$.
6. s. of Shullum, 60:6.
7. s. of $\ldots . ., 33: 2$.
8. f. of $A p l \hat{a}, 107$ : 7.
9. f. of $A \underline{h}$-iddin, $25: 2$.
10. f. of Bêl-at-ittannu, $9: 32$. Id. with No. 1.
11. f. of Bèl-apal-usur, $1: 20$.
12. f. of $N a b \hat{u}-r \hat{e} \hat{e} \hat{u} s h u n u, 25: 2$.
13. f. of Ninib-êrib, $16: 20|17: 3| 110: 4$.
14. f. of Rikhêtu, $27: 3$.
15. f. of Shamesh-barakku, 107 : Lo. E.
16. f. of $Z a b d i i a, 25: 2$.
17. f. of ... $z a-a, 25: 2$. Id. with Nos. 9, 12, 16.
18. [127: 18]

Ni-din-tum-Shamash, s. of Kar-dak-ku, hardusha Artakshari, $58: 13, \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{E}$.
Ni-hi-is-tum, s. of $L u \ldots h_{s} h a n \hat{u}$ sha Nergal-abu-uṣur, $5: 9,12$.
*Ni-ไ̌u-ru (cf. Pe. Nixor or Bi. נָ ?), f. of Bêl-êtir, 36 : 2.

## Ninib-abu-usur

1. s. of $\underline{B e ̀ l-n \hat{a} d i n-s 7 u m u, ~ s c ., 5: 22|6: 17| 7: 19 \mid}$
$14: 22|16: 21| 17: 20|18: 22| 19: 22|20: 19|$
25:17|26:23||31:19|32:20|33:21|34: $23|36: 21| 37: 20|45: 21| 46: 24|47: 22|$
$48: 19|49: 19| 53: 26|54: 19| 62: 20|65: 22|$ $66: 16|67: 19| 69: 19|70: 19| 71: 18 \mid 75:$ $19|76: 19| 80: 19|81: 20| 82: 19|83: 17|$ $85: 18\left|86: 1^{5}\right| 88: 20|89: 17| 90: 14|91: 22|$ $92: 19|93: 16| 94: 22|95: 19| 96: 17 \mid 97:$ $19|98: 18| 100: 14|101: 29| 102: 22|103: 15|$ $113: 17|114: 18| 116: 17|117: 21| 125: 22 \mid$ 127: 19|128:22|129:20.
2. s. of $\underline{N a \hat{s} i r, ~} 27: 3$.
3. s. of Shum-iddina, 115:20.

$\ddagger[I$ am inclined to regard the sign $E$ here as a variant of $U N$, one or two perpendicular wedges being frequently left out in the cuneiform characters of this period (cf. Vol. IX, pp. 16, ff.). Read therefore $N a-t \hat{u}-u n$ - ilit. Cf. the abbreviated name $N a-t u-n u$ (Vol. IX).—Ed.]

Ninib-ah(u)-iddina

1. s. of Ahushunu, $90: 11$, U. E. | $92: 16$ | $127: 16$ | 129 : 15.
2. s. of Ardi-E-GAL-MAB, paqud(pa) sha abullu Shibi Uruki, 2:12|4:24, Lo. E.| 14:18| 36 : 17|37:15| $45: 19 \mid 61: 21$, R. E. | $79: 13$, L E. | $82: 16$, U. E. $|96: 16| 103: 13 \mid 127: 15$, Lo. E.
3. s. of Ardi-Gula, $48: 2$, U. E. $\mid 49: 17$.
4. s. of Bêl-kîshir, gs. of Bêl-shum-ibni, $50: 17 \mid 53$ : 23, Lo. E. | $59: 18|66: 15| 102: 17 \mid 125: 17$.
5. s. of Iddinâ, b. of ....., $49: 3$.
6. s. of $\ldots \ldots, 3: 13 \mid 52: 17$.
7. f. of Ahbushunu, $90: 12$, U. E.
8. f. of Ardia, $70: 13$, U. E. $|80: 18| 94: 18|96: 14|$ $97: 18$, R. E. | $100: 11$, Lo. E. | $102: 16$, U. E. | 125: 16, U. E. | 129 : 14.
9. f. of Ribât, $111: 16$.

Ninib-ah(u)-ushabshi, s. of Iqìshà, $23: 16$.
Ninib-ah(u)-uṣur, hardu sha Bêl-ittannu, 56:5, 8, L. E.
Ninib-a-na(ana)-biti-shu

1. s. of Bullut $\hat{a}, 26: 2$.
2. s. of Lûidiia, hpaqdu(pa) sha abulli Gula, $18: 20$ | $19: 20|25: 16| 35: 15 \mid 60: 16$, R. E. | $66: 14$, U. E. | $67: 12$, U. E. $|93: 13| 117: 19$, U. E. $\mid$ $130: 26$, U. E. | $131: 25$, U. E.
3. s. of Mukinn-aplu, $10: 14$.
*Ninib-ba-na (cf. Bêl-ba-na, West-Sem.), $14: 10$.
Ninib-bêl-atê-shu, s. of Upahhhir-Bêl, sc., $99: 17|104: 10|$ 111:18|115:21.
Ninib-erba, Ninib-er-ba (IX)
4. s. of $A \hat{e} \hat{e}-u t \hat{i} r, 4: 3$.
5. s. of Ardia, $68: 10$.
6. s. of Nidintum-Bèl, $16: 19|17: 3| 110: 4$.
7. f. of Liblut, $48: 3 \mid 49: 18$.
8. f. of Ninib-n $\hat{a} d i n, 4: 20|13: 11| 20: 16|21: 12|$ $22: 11|41: 14| 43: 20 \mid 59: 6$.
9. f. of $\ldots \ldots, 30: 10$.

Ninib-êrish, f. of $\hat{\text { Êrib-Bêll, } 14: 18 \mid 107: 13 . ~}$

## Ninib-êtir

1. s. of $A p l \hat{l}$, b. of Bêl-ibni, $104: 9$.
2. 8. of Shum-iddina, $9: 34$.
1. s. of $\overline{Z u m b \hat{a}, ~ s c ., ~} 55: 16$.
2. f. of Bêl-ahêe-iddina, $121: 9$.
3. f. of Bêl-ittannu, $45: 2$.
4. f. of $B e ̂ l-\ldots, 110: 11$.
5. f. of Bêl-h̆âtin, $109: 10$.
6. f. of $G u b b \hat{a}, 61: 3$.
7. f. of Hannani', 61 : 3.
8. f. of $\operatorname{Iq} \hat{\imath} s h \hat{a}, 38: 3$.
9. f. of Ninib-iddina, $29: 5$.

Ninib-ga-mil

1. s. of Ahê-iddina, b. of Ninib-nâdin, $14: 20 \mid 48$ : 18|49:2.
2. s. of Dummuq, $^{2} 24: 19 \mid 38: 15$.
3. s. of Taddanu, $56: 13$.
4. f. of Balâtu. $56: 15$.

Ninib-ib-ni, Ninib-ibni

1. s. of Ahy-liti', $20: 4$.
2. f. of Ardi-Gula, $130:$ U. E. | $131: 26$, U. E.

Ninib-iddina(MU), Ar. docket אנושתאדנ, s. of Ninibêtir. $29: 5,10$.
Ninib-ile'i, f. of Ina(?)-eshshi-etir, $109: 9$.
Ninib-iqîsha, s. of Iddina-Bêl, $116: 14$.
Ninib-lu-kin, f. of Hanûnu, 8:2.
Ninib-muballit $(-i t)$

1. s. of Bêl-nâdin, hardu sha IPurrushtish, $130: 27$, R. | 131 : 27.
2. s. of lddina-Bêl, b. of Bîba, $47: 3$.
3. s. of Mushêzib, $55: 1,9|73: 4| 77: 9 \mid 78: 7$.
4. f. of Iddina-Bêl, $121: 12$.
5. f. of Kidin, $73: 10$.
6. f. of Lakip, 61 : 19.
7. f. of Nidintu-Bêl, 121 : 11.
8. f. of Ninib-nâdin-shum, $18: 3$.
9. f. of Ubâr, $18: 3$.
10. 104: 3.

Ninib-mutîr(GUR)-shu, Ninib-(mu-)mutîr(GUK)-shu, Ninib-mu-tir-ri-shu IX and abbreviated Mu-tir-ri-shu IX

1. s. of Nabî-ahê-iddina, b. of Ninib-nâsirir, b. of Bêlmuballit, 44:10|114:12|132:22, L. E.
2. s. of Uballitsu-Marduk, $95: 15$, R. E.

Ninib-nâ'id, s. of Iddinâ, $56: 17 \mid 73: 10$.

## Ninib-nâdin

1. s. of Ahê-iddina, b. of Ninib-gâmil, $48: 18 \mid 94: 8$.
2. s. of Bêl-nàdin, 11:6.
3. s. of Kầ̧ir, b. of Bêl-mukìn-aplu, $56: 14|59: 19|$ $60: 20$, Lo. E. $\mid 60: 20$, Lo. E. $|62: 17| 63: 12 \mid$ $73: 12|75: 18| 86: 11|87: 10| 91: 21|103: 14|$ 128 : 21.
4. s. of $M u k \hat{k} n-a p l u, 28: 15$.
5. s. of Mutîrshu, $4: 28 \mid 35: 17$.
6. s. of Nâdin, b. of Dannâ, $79: 1,11,12$, L. E.। $88: 14 \mid 115: 17$, L. E.
7. 8. of Nâşir, b. of Bêtshunu, $4: 23$.
1. s. of Ninib-erba, $4: 20|13: 11| 20: 16|21: 12|$ $22: \overline{11|41: 14| 43: 20 \mid 59: 6 .}$

9．s．of Shamash－nâdin，sha hhatri sha hshushannipl sha bîti Hamatai， $16: 3, \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{E} \mid 17: 18$.
10．f．of $\frac{A p l \hat{n},}{} 8: 11|23: 16| 36: 18|41: 15| 45: 17 \mid$ $50: \overline{19|57: 15| 62: 18 \mid 74, \text { L．E．｜} 75: 18 \mid 76: ~}$ $17|80: 18| 81: 15$, L．E．$|90: 12| 91: 2 \mid 96:$ $15|100: 12| 103: 12$.
11．f．of $\operatorname{Ardi-G\Perp l_{\ell },4:26|50:17|90:12,\text {U．E｜}}$ 102： 19.
12．，f．of $\hat{N a n \hat{a}-e ̂ r i s h, ~} 4: 4|59: 17| 117: 17$ ，U．E．
 $3 3 \longdiv { 1 3 1 : 3 2 | 1 3 2 }: 25$.
14．f．of ．．．．．．， $86: 12$.
15． $70: 5$ \98：17．
Ninib－na－din－shumu，s．of Ninib－muballit，b．of Ubdr， 18：3．
Ninib－nâsiz，Ninib－na－sịir（IX）
1．s．of Amêl－Bêl， $74:$ R．｜ $122: 18$.
2．s．of $A n \alpha-m \hat{t} t i s h u, 45: 2$ ．
3．s．of Ardi－Bêl， $107: 9$.
4．s．of $A s h u r-U R(?)-i b n i, 23: 17$.
5．s．of Bânîa，52： 23.
6．s．of $B \hat{e} l-\underline{\imath} q \hat{q} s h a, 17: 3 \mid 110: 4$.
7．s．of Bèlshunu， $83: 12$.
8．s．of Hanab， $124: 12$, R．E．
9．s．of Nabit－ahê－iddina，b．of Bêl－muballit，b．of $\underline{\text { Ninib－mutîrshu，} 4: 21|16: 14, \mathrm{R} .|17: 19, \mathrm{R} .|}$ $\overline{18: 16 \mid 19: 15,}$ L．E．$|20: 14| 25: 12|26: 17|$ $38: 13 \mid 47: 15$ ，U．E． $\mid 48: 13$, R． $\mid 49[12]$, U．E． $\mid$ $72: 12 \mid 92: 15$, Lo．E．｜ $94: 17$, L．E．｜ $95: 15$ ， L．E． $\mid 98: 14$ ，U．E．｜ $112: 17 \mid 114: 12$, U．E． $\mid$ 127： 13.
10．f．of Bềlshunu， $9: 33$ ，U．E｜ $21: 13|38: 14| 50:$ 15，L．E．｜ 58 ：11，Lo．E｜ $59: 21 \mid 83: 12$, Lo．E．｜ $84: 14 \mid 113: 14$, L．E．
11．f．of Bêl ．．．．， $51: 20$ ．
12．f．of Itti－Bêl－balâtu， $52: 24$.
13．f．of Shul⿳⺈⿵⺆一， $9: 36 \mid 23: 19$.
14．f．of Shum－iddina， $29: 1.5$.
15．113，Lo．E．
Ninib－uballit $(D I N-i t)$ ，Ar．docket，אנושת，hardu sha Rîmût－Ninib， 87 ：3，I．E．
Ninib－ushabshi，s．of Bêl－kîshir， $130: 26$ ，Lo．E．｜131： 25，L．E．
Ninib－．．．．．．．，f．of Bèl－mukîn－aplu， $113: 16$.
Ni－qu－du，f．of Ribât， $125: 15$, L．E．

Ni－is－দar－Bêl，Ni－is－sa－har－Bêl IX，$\dagger$ f．of $\operatorname{Ardi-Ninib,~} 35$ ： 20.

Nûr－mâti－Sin，f．of Shiriqtim， 14 ： 3.
Nusku－nâdin，s．of Ardi－Gulı， 132 ：22，R．
Nusku－ushabshi（－shi），f．of ．．．．．．．．，118， 39.
＊ $\mathbf{P} a-d a-n i-d E-8 i-{ }^{-}, \quad P a-d a-n i-E-s i{ }^{\prime}, \quad \quad P a-d a-a n-E-s i-{ }^{\prime}$ ［Containing the Egyptian goddess Isis．Is the first element to be read Patan（i）and Egyptian？
—Ed．］，cf．פאטמן $\ddagger$
1．f．of Marduk－iqîshanni， $39: 14$.
2．hushtarbari sha sharri， $15: 15$ ，U．E．
＊Pa－a－ni－dE－si－＇［cf．Pa－ni－ili，abbrev．from a name like ＂May I see the face of Isis ！＂or is pani Eg．？－ Ed．］，hdaru sha Pitibiri＇， $129: 18$ ，L．E．
＊Pa－mu－nu，Eg．，cf．Ar．－Eg．פמן［＂Belonging to Amon＇＂－Littmann］．
1．f．of $N \alpha^{\prime}-d E s i, 81: 17$ ．
2．hshaknu sha hshushannipl sha nakkandu，hardu sha mArtah̆shari， $88: 9,12$, L．E．
Pa－ki－ki［perhaps Egyptian－Littmann］，hshaknu sha bîti hrâb hnâshpatri，hardu sha Gubarri， $84: 5,8$ ， R．E． $185: 15$ ，U．E．
＊Pa－te－e－shu［Egypt．？＝Pate－Eshu，＂Gift of Isis＂，cf． B．A．，I，pp． 350 ff ．－Ed．］， $33: 4 \mid 37: 3$.
PA－SHEki－ai［probably to be read $I_{s i n n a i-E d .], ~ f . ~ o f ~}^{\text {in }}$ Shabahtani， $130: 23|131: 23| 132: 21$.

＊Pir－ri－na－＇－ni－ish§（Pe ），hardu sha mKargush，hshaknu sha hash－te－ba－ri－an－na，76：4，9，11，R．
＊Pir－ri－na－zu－a－ta（tu）［Pe．＝＊Farnah－zāta，＂Born to happiness，＂cf．Фарvaکáध $\rho \eta s$ ，also Pehl．Farruxzāt and Neo－Pers．Furrǔzädh－Ed．］，hdaianu sha nârHarripiqûd， $92: 14$, Lo．E．
＊Pir－ri－nu－ush（cf．Pe．Пعрávoc，or Farahanōsh？），m．of Barîkia， 103 ：4，5，L．E．
＊Pir－ru－bu－a－tu［Pe．，apparently id．with Pu－ur－ha－at （see Bur）above，＝Frahāta，Phrahates，Neo－Pers． Ferhād－Ed．］hpaqdu sha Ibradusirna＇， $114: 6$ ， 9，Lo．E．
＊Pi－it－i－bi－ri－＇［Egypt．—Ed．］［cf．the Eg． mann］，m．of Bau－nâdin，Bêl－aȟu－ushabshi，Pâni－ Esi＇， $129: 4,5,10,13,16,18,19$ ，U．E．，L．E．
Pu－uhh－h̆u－ru $\|$
1．f．of Shamash－kâṣir，23： 18.
2．f．of Shum－iddina， 44 ： 1 ．

## $\dagger$ Cf．also Upahhir－Bèl，below．

$\ddagger$ Suggested by Dr．Littmann．Cf．fHa－na－ta－E－si－＇，Ni． 560.
§ Perhaps identical with the name Pir－ri－nu－ush below．
\｜For Puદburu as a hypokoristikon formation，cf．Ranke，Personal Names．
*Qa-'-ma-ıu (determ. omitted) [cf. the Bi. name of a place קָp-Ed.], in Husṣ̣̂̀tu sha Qa'manu, 99 : 3.
Qar-ha-', 71:6.
Qu-d du-a, Qud-da-a, Qud-da-ai

1. s. of Bèl-nàdin, $47: 21$.
2. s. of Ideliiia, $4: 3,14 \mid[116: 12]$
3. s. of Murashî, $46: 13$.
4. s. of $Z a b \hat{u} d u, 30: 2$.
5. f. of $A \hbar \bar{u} u-n \hat{u} r^{\prime}, 115: 18, ~ R$. E.
6. f. of Nanî-ǹ̀ $d i n, 98: 3$.

Qu-un-na-a, s. of Bèl-asîa, $59: 4,9,14$.
$\underline{\mathbf{R}(b b-b i-i l i} . \quad R a-a b-b i-i l i \mathrm{IX}$, s. of $N a b \hat{u}-2 \hat{e} r-i d d i n a, 54: 18 \mid$ $70: 15$, Lo. E.
Ra-hi-im, Ra-hi-mu

1. s. of Bèl-abu-uşur, $112: 1$, Lo. E.
2. f. of Bèl-êt̀ir-Shamash, $116: 3,7$.

Ra-hुi-im-ili, Ra-hiz-mi-iliz IX (Ar. docket $68: 0$ )

1. s. of Bullutà, $89: 14$.
2. s. of Ribàt, $96: 13$, L. E. | $102: 15$, U. E.
3. s. of Tad-di-', $68: 2$, L. E.

Rè' $a-a n-n u, R e e^{\prime}(a-a-n u$ IX

1. s. of Bèl-ètir, h8haknu sha hhatri sha hmashkannur, $83: 5,9$, L. E.
2. f. of $A l$-iddina, $26: 11$.
$\underline{\text { Rêmu-shukun(SHA) }} \dagger$, in VoI. IX read Ga-shur and Shang $\hat{u}($ ? $)$
3. s. of Bêl-shum-ibni, $18: 3$.
4. s. of Etteru, $73: 11$.
5. s. of $1 d \operatorname{din} \hat{a}, 14: 20$.
6. s. of Shamash-ah-iddina, $10: 15$.
7. 121: 2.

8. s. of Ardi-Ninib, $47: 3$.
9. s. of Bêl-êrib, hardu sha Rîmüt-Ninib, s. of Murashû, aIso of Bèl-nàdin-shumu, 54, m. of hudu, $54: 1,12,14|68: 2,5| 78: 3|87: 4| 99: 6,8$, $10|104: 1,6| 105: 8,11|106: 8| 111: 6,13 \mid$ $115: 10,14 \mid 123: 5$.
10. s. of Bêl-mukin-aplu, 47 : 2.
11. s. of Iddin $\alpha-N a b \hat{u}, 36: 20$.
12. s. of Ili-natanu, $7: 16$.
13. s. of Ninib-at(?)-iddina, $111: 15,16$.
14. s. of $\operatorname{Niq\hat {u}du,125:15,~L.~E.~}$
15. s. of $\overline{R i m u} t, 27: 2$, L. E.
16. s. of Idia, $23: 18$.
17. f. of Aplâ, $124: 14$.
18. f. of Marduka, $121: 3$.
19. f. of Murashü, $122: 17$.
20. f. of Rahim-ilî, $90: 13, \mathrm{~J}$. E | $102: 15$, U. E.
21. 81 : 12.
$R i-h i-e-t u$
22. s. of Nidintum-Bêl, $27: 2$.
23. in aluBit-mRitêtu, $40: 4,5$.

Ri-mut

1. s of Bêlshunu, $122: 14$, Lo. E.
2. f. of Ribàt, $27: 2$.
3. f. of $Z i t t i-N a b \hat{u}, 118$, U. E.

Ri-mut-Ninib (and abbr. Ri-mut IX)

1. s. of Bêlshunu, sc., $78: 11$.
2. s. of Murashî, m. of ham-ma-ri a-kal-la-nus, Bèl-supè-muȟur, Bêlshunu, Kititi-Bêl, Ribï̀t (s. of Bêl-êrib) and Shum-iddina, 29:3, 10|43:2, 8, $17|44: 1,5| 52: 2,8,11 \mid 54: 16$, R. $|58: 6,10|$ $59: 3,13|60: 1,12| 61: 1,10,11 \mid 62: 1,4,8$, $9|63: 6,9| 64: 5,8|65: 10,14| 66: 6,10 \mid 67$ : $6,9|69: 8| 70: 4,7,11|71: 8,11| 72: 6,11 \mid$ $74: 6|75: 9,12| 76: 6,9,12|78: 4| 79: 1,6$, $11|80: 8,13| 81: 6,9|82: 6,10,12| 83: 6,10 \mid$ $84: 6,9|85: 6,10| 86: 5,8|87: 2| 88: 10$, $12|89: 4,7,10| 90: 5,8|91: 9,12,15| 92: 8$, $12|93: 7,10| 94: 6,7|95: 3,8,12| 96: 6,9 \mid$ 97:9, $13|98: 1,6,12,13| 99: 7|100: 5,8|$ $101: 14,17,20|102: 8,11,13| 103: 6,8|104: 2|$ 105:9| $106: 9|107: 4,7| 108: 1,4,8,9 \mid 109:$ $2,6|110: 2,6| 111: 6|112: 2,8| 113: 5,8 \mid$ 114:7, 9 | $115: 10,14|116: 5| 117: 5,12 \mid 118:$ $6,28|119: 1| 120: 1|121: 1,5| 122: 5,8,12 \mid$ $123: 2|124: 4,7| 125: 1,5,10,11|126: 7,10|$ $127: 6,9,11 \mid 138: 7,11,13$.
*Ru-shui-un-da-a-tu(ti IX), $43: 13$.
*Ru-ush-na-pa-a-tu, Ru-8hü-un-pn-a-ti IX
3. f. of Barikkia, 7:14.
4. f. of Bêl-ahl-iddina, $7: 14$.

Sa-'gan', f. of Ardi-Bèl and Nàdin, 61: 4.
$S a g-g i-i l$, cf. $S h a g-g i-i l$.
Sìlim-Bèl (Bèl ${ }^{1}$ )

1. f. of $A p l a ̀, 35: 16$.
2. f. of Umahbu', $119: 15 \mid 120: 11$.

## Si-lim-ilìni

1. s. of Dannâ, ham-ma-ri a-kal, $80: 15$, U. E. $\mid 82$ : 14, R. E. $\mid 97: 15$, L. E.
2. s. of $L \hat{a} b \hat{a} s h i$, b. of $A h-i d d i n a$ and $U b \hat{a} r, 35: 16 \mid$
$\dagger$ Abbreviation for a name like $b N a b \hat{u}-r i--$-mu-shu-kun, "Nebo extend mercy." Cf. Camb. 429:5 with 428:4. Cf. also dShamash-shu-lum-shu-kun, "Shamash, establish peace," 228:8.
$36: 18|37: 16| 57: 16|63: 12| 73: 3$, L. E.| $\mid \quad S h a-M a r d u k-u l(N U)-i n i(B A L)$, Sha-Marduk-ul-i-ni IX $75: 16|87: 11| 124: 13$, U. E.
3. s. of Shum-iddina, $27: 16$.
4. s. of ....mutîr $(?) \ldots, 52: 20$.
5. f. of $A p l \hat{a}, 8: 11|24: 16| 85: 19|94: 20| 125:$ 19, Lo. E.
6. f. of Bêlshunu, $59: 20$.

Sin-apal-iddina, s. of Sin-êtir, hshaknu sha hnâsh-patri sha bîti mâr sharri, $95: 18$, U. E.
Sin-êtir, f. of Sin-apal-iddina, $95: 17$, U. E.
Sin-it-tan-nu, f. of Shamash-ah-iddina, 73:9|127: 17, Lo. E.
Sin-li-shir, Sin-lîshir( GISTH) IX, in Alu Bît-mSin-lı̂shir, 91 : 5.

Sin-nâdin, f. of Nidintum-Bèl, $4: 6$.
Sin-na-din-ahu( $\alpha \overline{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{e})$, s. of Ardi-Bau, $51: 22 \mid 59: 19$.
*Si-tu-u-nu, Si-ṭu-nu

1. hmâr bîti, m. of Ardi-Gula, $117: 3,4,8,11, \mathrm{R}$.
2. $129: 16$.

Suk-ki-i-tum, see Zuk-ki-i-tum.
*Su-lu(dib)-ba-da,† f. of Dalatani', 119: 13|120:9.
*Sha-ba-ah-ta-ni- $\ddagger[=*$ * $י$ נת שבעת, Ar. [שב bu-ul sha Arsham, 130 : 23, R.| 131 : 22, R. | 132:21.
*Sha-ba-ta-ai Shab-ba-ta-ai, Shab-bat-ai IX

1. s. of Bêl-abu-uṣur, b. of Minîamen, $65: 18, \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{E}$.
2. s. of Hुaggâ, $85: 16, \mathrm{~L}$. E.
3. s. of Hillumutu, $92: 6$.
4. s. of Shirka', b. of Liblut, $39: 2$.
5. f. of Gadalu-Iâma, $7: 17$.

Sluag-gi-il, Shag-gi-lu§

1. s. of Bêt-bullitsu, $6: 14 \mid 7: 17$, Lo. E.
2. f. of Lâbâchi, $83: 5,9$, Lo. E. $189: 3$.
*Sha-ku-u-九ூu (Al. docket שכוח, cf. Na. שכוחו), s. of Hi-'...., $52: 1,10$, Lo. E.
("Whom Marduk will not bend'), s. of Bêlnâdin, $94: 2,7,14$
Shamash-ah-iddina
3. s. of Iddina-Nab $\hat{u}, 123: 11,0$.
4. s. of Sin-ittannu, $73: 9 \mid 127: 17$, Io. E.
5. f. of Rêmu-shukun, $10: 15$.

Shamash-êrish, f. of Bêl-hâtin, $16: 2|17: 18| 110: 13$.
Shamash-êtir, s. of Tûbaniía, $67: 16$.
Shamash-it-tan-nu, s. of Dalatani', $38: 2$, L. E.
Shamash-ka-şir, Shamash-kâsir

1. s. of Puhhuru, $23: 17$.
2. s. of Karibbi, $h_{s} h a k n u ~ s h a ~ h b a-g a l-l a-a-t u ~ a-k a r-$ ra-nu, $93:$ 6, 9, Lo. E.
*Sñamash-li-in-dar, s. of Iddina-Bêl, 18 : 4.
Shamash-muballit(it), s. of Mushêzib-Bêl, $6: 13 \mid 15: 17$.
Shamash-nâdin
3. s. of Marduk-êtcir, $36: 19$.
4. f. of Ninib-nâdin, $16: 3 \mid 17: 18$.

Shamash-shar-uṣur

1. 2. of $A r d i-B a u, 33: 10$.
1. $h_{s} h a k n u$ sha hnấsh-patri, 5:7, 14.
2. $71: 4$.

Shamash-shum-lìhir(GISH) [not Shamash-shum-iqîsha( $-8 h a$ ) IX—Ed.], s. of Kidin, $14: 2$, Lo. E. $149: 16$.
Shamash-. . . $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$, f. of Nidintum-Bêl, 52 : 21.
Shamash-...., f. of Bêl-eṭir-Shamash, 123:8.
*Shamesh(-mesh)-ba-rak-ku ||

1. s. of Uarimmá, $120: 8$.
2. s. of Nidintum-Bèl, $h_{s} h a k n u$ sha hûtr-a-ash-ṭa-ai u hmi-li-du-ai, 107 : 3, 6, Lo. E.
*Shamesh(-mesh)-la-di-in, Shamesh(-mesh)-la-din-ni, f of Iâduh-Iâma, $94: 1,5$.

* Shamesh(-mesh)-li-in-dar

1. s. of Handashanu, $33: 19 \mid 34: 21$.
$\dagger$ [Probably to be read $S u-l u-m a-d a$ or $S u-$-lu-ma-DA, i.e., Sulummâ-ile'i. Cf. $S u-l u m-m a-d u$ or $S u-l u m-m a-D U$, i.e., Sulummê-ukìn, Vol. IX.-Ed.]
$\ddagger$ Dr. Littmann has suggested for comparison Ar. Zalamtāni, "'Thou hast wronged me," a prominent name in Damascus.
$\S$ [In view of $\$ a-a g-l u$ (Johns, Assyr. Deeds, No. $61:$ R., 9), and $\$ a-g i-i l-b i-$ - $d i$ (l.c., No. $248:$ R., 11), it is not improbable that the name above is to be read $\operatorname{Saggil}(u)$. The first element of names compound with $b i^{\prime} d i$ being as a rule a deity (cf. $A d a d-b i{ }^{\prime} d i, A t a r-b i{ }^{\prime} d i, I l \hat{u}-b i d i$ (cf. on this name Ed. Preface), Mar-bi' $d i$, , etc.), I am inclined to identify the first element in Sagil-bi'di with (E)Sagila, the famous temple of Marduk in Babylon, which sometimes takes the place of a deity in proper names (see also dBit-ili-nuri Ardi-dE-GAL-MAH, etc.), fTa-ra-am-Sag-ila (Meissner, Altbabyl. Privatrecht, No. 7: 25), etc., and the common hypok. (E-) Sag-ila-ai, Sag-gil-ai, Sag-gil-la-ai or (E-)Sag-gil-ià. As to the hypokoristika Saggil, Sag(gi)lu and Saggilai being found alongside one another, cf. the

$\|$ Names containing $d U D-M E S H$, read $d S h a m s h \hat{\imath}$ in Vol. IX are transliterated $d S h a m e s \hbar$. Cf. Ed. Preface.
2. s. of $1 l \grave{\imath}-b a n a \prime, 51: 3$.
3. s. of Marduka, $19: 14$, Lo. E| $20: 14 \mid 25: 12$.
4. s. of $\ldots \ldots, 18: 16$.
5. $91: 4$.
*Shamesh(-mesh)-nu-ur-ri-', Shıamesh-nûri' (cf. Pa. '
6. s. of $\operatorname{Ardi-Ninib}, 130: 1,18,20$ R.
7. s. of $I q \hat{u} p a^{\prime}, 46: 3$.

* Shamesh(-mesh)-ra-hi-id (cf. Ad-rahi, Nabû-ra-hi-ia), s. of Handashanu $20: 2$.
*Sha-am-ma-a [cf. Bi אשָׁ ri(?)-is, $5: 20$.
*Sham-ma-as-pi$i$ it-ru-úu (without determ. m)IX, Bit-sham(or ü)-ma-su-pi-it-ru-i [probably EgyptianEd.], $15: 4,6$.
Sha-Nabî-ish-shi(?).., hsipirri, s. of Tu-ba(?) . ., $60: 20$.
[Sha-Nabî-]shui-ú, s. of Kiribti, $35: 2$.
Shangर̂ (?) IX, read Rêmu-shukun, q. v.
Sha-pî-kalbi $(U R-K U), ~ S h a-p \hat{\hat{z}}-k a l-b i$ IX

1. f. of $\hat{E}_{\text {rib-Bèl, }} 14: 19|107: 9| 126: 12$.
2. f. of Shum-iddina, $126: 11$, U. E.
 zabaddu, 119 : 3, 7.
Shiriqtim ( $R U$-tim) , Shi-riq-ti IX
3. s. of $N u$ ù-mâti-Sin, $14: 2$, Lo. E.
4. f. of Ardi-Ninib, $68: 9 \mid 122: 16$.
5. f. of Shum-ukîn, gf. of Dann $\hat{a}, 2: 16$.

Shir-ka-', Shar-ki-' LX [cf. Pa. שריבוש-Ed.] $\dagger$

1. f. of Liblut, $39: 2$.
2. f. of Mattani-Iàma, $83: 14$, R. E.
3. f. of Shabbatai, 39 : 2. Identical with No. 1.

Shir-ki, Shi-ish-ku IX, $\ddagger 101$ : 7.
Shir-ki-Bèl, Shi-ish-ki-Bèl

1. s. of Bêlshunu, hshahnu sha htashlishanu sha shumêlu, $130: 30, \mathbf{R | 1 3 1 : 2 8 .}$
2. s. of $E_{r} b \hat{a}, h_{s} h a k n u$ sha bîti hrab-bat-qa, $83: 15$, Lo. E.
3. s. of Hadanu, b. of Taddanu-bullitsu, $41: 3$.
shi-ta-' (not $s h i-d a-$ ', Vol. IX, cf. unpublished docket שיטא, Vol. IX, 64, Lo. E.), s. of Nabî-daianu, $8: 10 \mid 44: 10$, L. E.

Shư-la-a

1. s. of Bêlshunu, sc., $59: 22|119: 18| 120: 14$.
2. s. of Ninib-nâṣir, sc., $9: 36 \mid 23: 19$.
3. s. of TukLkulu, $30: 13$.
4. s. of $\ldots \ldots, 32: 18$.
5. f. of Nidintum-Anum, 107 : 11 | $126: 13$, Lo. E.
6. 40 : L. E.
7. in alu Bît-mshulâ, $39: 5 \mid 87: 11$.

Shul-lum, Shul-lu-mu

1. s. of $Z a b b \hat{a}, 58: 15$.
2. s. of $N a ̂ s i r, ~ 87: 5$.
3. f. of Nidintum-Bèl, $60: 6$.

Shul-lum-ma, Shul-lum-ma-a 1X, Shul-lum-a IX, s. of Bêl-êpush, 19 :2.
Shu-lum-Bâbilu(Eki), Sluulum(D1)-Bâbilu(Eki)

1. s. of Bêlshunu, $13: 2$.
2. s. of Gusai, $44: 12$.
3. s. of Iddina-Nabu, hshaknu sha bît ig̣unarkabtu, 91 : $8,11,12,15, \mathrm{R}$.
4. s. of Marduk-êrib, $39: 13 \mid 40: 12$.
5. s. of $N a b \hat{u}-n \hat{a} d i n, 15: 18$.
6. f. of Ardi-Ninib, 23: 3, and Bêl-ittannu, $23: 3!$ 67:5.
7. f. of $N a n \hat{a}-n a \hat{a} d i n, 40: 14 \mid 108: 2$.

Shu-ma-a, Shumi-i'a

1. s. of $E r b \hat{a}, 51: 3$.
2. f. of $N a b \hat{u}-b u l l i t s u, 45: 10$.

Shum-iddina $(M U-M U),(M U-A S H)$

1. s. of Anum-muballit, 34 : 2.
2. s. of Bêl-êrib, b. of Zabîna', m. of Kil(?)ilgaddu, $32: 2,3$.
3. s. of Bêlshunu, $61: 20, \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{E} \mid 62: 16$.
4. s. of In $\alpha-$ silli-Ninib, $30: 12$.
5. s. of $7 q \hat{q} s \hbar \hat{a}, 63: 12$.

6. s. of $N a b \hat{u}-n a ̂ d i n, 4: 4$.
7. s. of Ninib-nâṣir, $29: 15$.
8. s. of Puhhuru, $44: 1$, Lo. E.
9. s. of $S h a-p \hat{i}-k a l b i, 126: 10$, U. E.
10. s. of Sillai, 52:21. Apparently identical with No. 4.
$\dagger$ [Shirk $\hat{\alpha}$ and Sharki, like Shirki and Shishku, are hypokoristika from names composcd of Sha(i)rku and a following god (cf. Shir(sh)ki-Bèl, below). Sha(i)rku designates a certain class of temple officers (cf. IX, p. 71, note $\ddagger$ ) frequently mentioned in the Neo-Babyl. contract literature (and generally preceded by the detern. amelu) as $h s h a r-k i$, $s h i-i r-k u(s h a ~ S h a m a s h), h_{s} h i-r i k, h_{s} h i-r a-k u$ and $h_{s} h i-i s h-k i$. Cf. Tallquist, Die Sprache der Contracte Nabî-nâ'id's, p. 141, and Meissner, Supplement, p. 98.-Ed.]
$\ddagger S h i s h 7 c u$ is the same as $S h i r k u$. Cf. the samc name $S h i-i r-k i$, son of Egibi, Dar. 470:3, written $S h i-i s h-k i$, Dar. 406:3.
11. s. of Sillu-Ninib, $60: 17$, L. E | $81: 16$, U. E. Apparently identical with Nos. 4 and 11.
12. s. of Tuddannu, 12, L. E.| $13: 14$, L. E. | $78: 8$.
13. s. of $\ldots \ldots, 32: 16$.
14. f. of Ah-iddina, $29: 16$.
15. f. of Bêl-êrib, $82: 18$.
16. f. of $\operatorname{Bêl-nâdin,~} 109: 8$.
17. f. of $B \hat{e} l-\ldots, 125: 19$.
18. f. of $B i b \hat{a}, 99: 14$, Lo. E.
19. f. of Dannâ, $2: 16 \mid 4: 25$, U. E. | $33: 20 \mid 34: 20$.
20. f. of Harbâtânu, $79: 14$.
21. f. of Idissu, $26: 4$.
22. f. of $\frac{\text { Iqîshâ, } 5: 21|28: 15| 132: 24 .}{}$
23. f. of Ninib-abu-usur, $115: 20$.
24. f. of Ninib-êtir, $9: 34$.
25. f. of Silim-iliâni, $27: 16$.
26. 40 : L. E. $|70: 5| 127: 9$.

## Shum-ukin

1. s. of Bêl-muballit, b. of Bêlshunu, 122:15.
2. 31 : 7.

Shú-zu-bu

1. s. of $\underline{N \hat{a}^{\prime} i \lambda-B e \hat{l} l_{,}} 2: 1|18: 19| 19: 18 \mid 20: 18$.
2. f. of $\overline{l l \hat{\imath}-g a b a r i}$, and $N a b \hat{u} n \hat{i}, 92: 5$.
*Si-ha-' (cf. Bi. ※n̦ Documents, p. 515)
3. s. of $\operatorname{Adum\hat {e},66:13,~U.~E.~}$
4. f. of Balâtu, $99: 3$.

Silla-ai, Sil-la-ai (abbrev., cc. Ina-silllu-Ninib)

1. s. of Nâdin, hammaru akal sha sharri, 130:32 R. | 131 : 31.
2. s. of Shum-iddina, 52 : 22.

Sillu-Ninib, abbrev. from Ina-sillu-Ninib, $60: 18$.
$\overline{S u-u-r a-a i}$, Sur-ra-ai 1X, in aluBît-mŞ̂urai, 33:6, 9.
*T $a$-ba-lu-la-ai, Ta-ba-lu-ai, $\dagger$ in aluBitt-mTabalulai, 19 : $7,10|20: 7| 20: 8|25: 5,7| 53: 5,10|86: 4|$ 100 : 4.

Tab-ni-e-a, s. of Ialina-Bêl, $4: 5$.
Tad-dan-nu, $\ddagger$ Ta-ad-dan-nu IX (identical with the name read Addannu IX, cf. Intro, p. 11). Perhaps to be read also Tattannu. §

1. s. of $A \hat{C} \hat{-}-B A-A, 114: 15$, L. E.
2. s. of Aḩushunu, b. of Bêl-abu-usur, $37: 19$.
3. s. of Aplâ, hSin-mâgir, 71:7, 11, U. E. | $101: 24$, I. E.
4. s. of Bagi'azu, hshaknu sha harshammai, $100: 7$, U. E.
5. s. of $\underline{B a} n \hat{u} n u, 29: 16$.
6. s. of $\overline{B e ̂ l-n \hat{a} ' i d, ~} 63: 15$.
7. s. of $\overline{I q i ̂ s h a ̂, ~} 63: 14$.
8. s. of $N \hat{c}$ ' $i d-B e ̂ l, 52: 19$.
9. s. of Tiri-Tâma, hshaknu sha hgimirrai, $97: 12$.
10. s. of $\operatorname{Ob} \hat{a} r$, sc., $8: 13|13: 15| 22: 14|42: 17|$ $43: 23 \mid 77: 11$.
11. s. of $\ldots \ldots, 116: 14$.
12. f. of Bêl-bana, $16: 16$.
13. f. of $\underline{B e ̂ l-n a ̂ d i n-s h u m, ~} 2: 11|4: 21| 4$, L.E. | 5 : 15, L. E. $\mid 16$, L. E. $\mid 17: 15$, L. E. $|19: 16| 21$, R. E. | 23: 15 | $25: 13(?)|26: 18| 27: 13$, U. E. | $29:$ R. $\mid 31: 15$, L. E. $\mid 33: 15$, L. E. $\mid$
$34: 17 \mid 38:$ U. E. $|43: 21| 46: 19 \mid 47: 17$, L. E. | $48: 15$, L. E | $49: 14|52: 16| 53: 22$, L. E | $57: 13|64: 13| 65: 21 \mid 66: 11$, L. E. | $71: 16$, R. E. | $81: 16$, L. E. | $92: 17$, U. E.| 117: 17, Lo. E.
14. f. of Ninib-gâmil, $56: 13$.
15. f. of Shum-iddina, $12:$ L. E. | $13: 14$, L. E. | $78: 8$ (Id. with No. 13, cf. IX, p. 47, read Nadin-shumu?)
16. f. of $\ldots \ldots, 18: 19 \mid 118: 33$.
17. $89: 2$.

Tad-dan-nu-bullit-su, s. of Hadannu, b. of Shishki-Bêl, 41:2.
*Tad-di-' $\|$ (cf. Ta-ta-'?), f. of Rahim-ilî, $68: 3$.
$\dagger$ [On the probable meaning of this name cf. Ed. Preface.-Ed.]
$\ddagger$ Delitzsch (A. B., p. 452) translates "gift," and makes it equivalent to tadônu. This would appear more reasonable were it not for names like Nabù-ta-ad-dan-nu-uṣur, "Nebo, protect what thou hast presented," which show that it is to be regarded as a verbal form.
$\S$ After a portion of the Introduction was printed I found an Aramaic docket containing the name Tad-dan-nu ( $O . B . M ., 5173$ ). While this gives additional assurance that the results obtained concerning the first character of the name, cf. Introd., p. 11, are correct, it shows also that alongside of Tad-dan-nu, at least, some of these names were pronounced Tattannu. Cf. אתנ for ittannu, Introd., IX, p. 24.
$\|\left[\right.$ In view of the hypokoristika $D a-d i-i, D_{a-d a-a, ~ D a-d a-a i, ~ D i-d i-i, ~ D u-d u-u, ~ D u-d u-u-a ~(J o h n s, ~ A s s y r . ~ D e e d s, ~}^{\text {, }}$ Vol. III, pp. 95, 269, 443, 526), and Di-di-e and Da-di-ia (Baby. Exp., 1X), on all of which cf. Zimmern, K. A. T. ${ }^{3}$, pp. 225, 483, I prefer to read the ahove name $D a d-d i^{\prime}=\operatorname{Dâd} \hat{\imath}$. In several instances the name may not be Semitic but


Ta-lim [cf. Bi. תלמ, Na. תלמו-Ed.], f. of Bêl-bullitesu, 15: 18.
Taq-bi-lıshir (GTSH), Taq-bi-li-shir IX, f. of Eुâtiñ, $60: 18$. Ta-qish

1. s. of Iddina-Bèl, $41: 17$. Identical with TaqishGula.
2. s. of Nabî-bullitsu, $37: 17 \mid 41: 2$.
3. f. of Ana-mâtishu, $10: 12$.

Ta-qish-d Gu-la, Ta-qish-dGula (dME-ME), abbrev. Taqish (41:17), s. of Iddina-Bêl, sc., $12: 13 \mid 21$ : $16|22: 13| 27: 14|28: 14| 30: 14|41: 17|$ $44: 14 \mid 79: 13$, U. E. | $115: 17$, U. E.
*Tar-bi-il-im-ma-har-be [containing the Cassite god Harbe-Ed. $], \dagger$ in aluBit-m Tarbilimmaharbe, 126 : 5.
*Ta-ta-' $\ddagger$ (cf. Pe. Thath, Dādā), f. of Tiridêtu, $86: 12$, Lo. E.
*Te-ri-ki-li-ia,§ hardu sha Gushurri', $80: 7,10$, Lo. E.
*Ti-gi-ra-' (cf. Pe. Tigran?), f. of Gundakka', 67: 18, Lo. E. $190: 11$, Lo. E.
*Ti-ra-a (cf. Pe. Tīra), hardu sha Gushurri', $80: 7,10$, R.
*Ti-ri-Ta-a-ma, Tir-ri-Ia-a-ma IX (cf. Bi. (ה) Taddannu, 97 : 12.
*Ti-ri-da-a-tu, s. of Tata', hshaknu sha harshammai, 86 : 12, Lo. E.
*Ti-ri-ra-ka-am-ma, Tir-ra-ka-am-ma, cf. Ti-ri-ka-mu IX, Tî-ra-ka-am IX (Pe. Tīra-kâma), mâr bîti sha Bêl-nâdin-şhum, $10: 2,6 \mid 56: 3,6,11$.
*Ti(?)-ri-ud-na-' [Pe., instead of $u d$ read $p a(i) r=$ Tiripirna', cf. Arta-pirna', above-Ed.], hshaknu sha hgim-mirrai, $69: 11$, R.
$T u-b a(?)$, f. of Sha-Nabu-ish-shi(?), $60: 21$.
Tuk-ku-lu, Tuk-kul-lu, Tuk-ku-lum, IX, Tuk-kul-lum IX

1. f. of Gula-shum-lîshir, $21: 14|26: 20| 31: 17 \mid$ $51: 21|65: 20| 71: 15 \mid 84: 14$.
2. f. of $S 7 u-l a-a, 30: 13$.
$T u-\hat{u}-b a-n i-i a$ [God $T \hat{u}$ is my begetter (?), cf. Tî-nîtid Johns, Ass. Deeds, No. 256, O., 2-Ed.], f. of Shamash-êtir 67 : 16.

Thâbi-ia, Ta-bi-ià IX (Ar. docket 132 : R., cf. also Na. NI

1. s. of $A b u$-liti', $39: 16|40: 11,15| 108: 13.$,
2. f. of $\frac{\Lambda r d i a, ~}{}{ }^{7}: 13$.
3. f. of Hanni', $132: 1$.
*Ṭu-ub-Ta-a-ma (cf. He. טוֹבְיָה), f, of Banct-Iema, Hannani', Zubutd-Lìma and Zabina', $118: 1$.
Uballit-su-Bềl
4. s. of Bêl-zêr-iddina, b. of Uballitgu-Nabù, u. of Nabû-n̂̀din-ah̆n, $37: 7 \mid 102: 4$.

## Uballit-su-Marduk.

1. f. of Ahुu-n̂̂ri', 45.: 16| $130: 25$, Lo. E. | $131: 24$, U. E.
2. f. of Tddina-Marduk, $6: 13 \mid 64: 10$, R. E. | $97: 15$, L. E. | $100: 10$, U. E. | $112: 16$, U. E. | $130: 25$, Lo. E. | 131 : 24, U. E.
3. f. of Ninib-mutirshu, $95: 16$, R. E. [According to Const. Ni. $520: 18,19$, b. of No. 2-Ed.].
Uballit-su-Nabû, f. of Nabî-nâdin-ahhu, b. of UballitsuBèl, $37: 2$ 102: 4.
Ú-bar
4. s. of Bêl-nôdinu, $123: 9$, U. E.
5. s. of Bunene-ibni, $13: 11|21: 11| 27: 12 \mid 38$ : 12|71:14, U. E.
6. s of $L \hat{a} b \hat{b} s h i$, b. of $A h-i d d i n a, ~ b . ~ o f ~ S i l i m-i l i ̀ n i, ~ 75: ~$ 16.
7. s. of $\underline{\text { Nâdin, } 15: 21 \mid 79: 15 . ~}$
8. s. of Ninib-muballit, b. of Ninib-nâdin-shumu, 18 : 3.
9. f. of Ardia, $2: 16|3: 17| 122: 14$, L. E.

10. f. of Lâbâshi, 14 : 17. Id. with No. 6 (cf. Vol. IX).
11. f. of Taddannu, $8: 13|22: 14| 42: 17|43: 23|$ 77 : 11.

12. f. of E Eananu-Iâma, 7: 15.
13. f. of Hanni', $84: 15$.
$\dagger[\mathrm{Cf}$. e.g. the Cassite names Ulam-Harbe, Mili-Harbe, Harbi-Shipak, Delitzsch, Sprache der Kossäer, pp. 17, ff.-Ed.]
$\ddagger[$ Cf. also Ta-at-ti-i, Ta-tu, Tá-ta-a-i, Tìti-i, Johns, Assyr. Deeds, p. 450, and $\Delta i ́ t c ̧ ̧$ and $\Delta a \tau a ́ s,-E d]$.
S[Cf. the abbrev. name Hi-li-ia, Johns, Assyr. Deeds, No. 265, R., 11 (also Vol. III, p. 460) and Np. חיל The first element ( $T_{e-r i}$ ) of this apparently West-Semitic name seems to represent the god d $T_{e}-i r$ (cf. Johns, Asayr. Doomsday Book, pp. 17, 53, and my Ed. Preface above). I am however disinclined to connect the hypok. Ti-ra-a and Tîriiàma below (and also Tirri-i, Johns, Assyr. Deeds, pp. 492 and XVI) or the Bi. Nִּ It is a remarkable coincidence that a $\operatorname{god} T \bar{i} r \bar{i}(a), T^{\prime} \bar{e} r$ is also found as an element in Persian and Armenian proper names. Cf. Ti-ri-da-a-ta(u) Tirira(Ti-ri, Ta-ru)kam (a,u) below. Justi, Tranisches Namenbuch, pp. 325, ff., and Jensen, Hittiter und Armenier, pp. 244, f.-Ed.]
*U-h̆u-ma-na-' [Pe. Wohu-manō, Pehl. WohūmanEd. $\}, \dagger$ b. of $B i ̂ b \hat{c}, 9: 32$, L. E.
*Ú-ma-aľ-bu-', s. of Silim-Bêl, 119: 15| 120 : 11.
 84:13.
*Ú-mar-da-a-tu, cf. Gumardâtu

* U-na-at, Un-na-tu IX (perhaps Egyptian, containing the goddess $\Omega$, Neit, Nut-Littmann), $\ddagger$ f. of Bagarap, 15 : 20.
Upahblir-Bèl, § f. of Ninib-bêl-ahêeshu, $99: 17|104: 10|$
111: 18| 115 : 21.
* Ur-da-a-tu, cf. Humardatu

Us-sa-ar-tum, in aluBit-m Ussartum, $128: 6$.

* Ush-ta-bu-za-nu, cf. Ishtabuzanu
$\mathbf{Z} a-a b-b a-a$ (cf. Pa. אכי ), f. of Shullumu, $58: 15$.
*Za-bad-du (cf. Pa. זכר), f. of $A n a^{\prime}-i l \imath \imath, 128: 20$, Lo. E.
*Za-bad-Ia-a-ma (cf. He. إָבריָהו), s. of Ț̂̂b-Iàma, b. of Bana-Läma, Hannani', Zabìna', $118: 1,18,30$.
*Za-bid-Nanà (Ar. docket זכרננא), s. of Hammaruru, 106:10, R.
${ }^{*} Z a b-d i-i a, Z a-a b-d i-i a$ IX

1. s. of $\operatorname{Bè} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\iota}-a s \hat{u} a, 33: 18 \mid 34: 21$.
2. s. of Bêl-êticr, 62 : 18.
3. s. of Bèl-zèr-ilni, $54: 18$, Lo. E. | $70: 15$, Lo. E.
4. s. of Nâdiru, $115: 19$.
5. s. of Nidintum-Bèl, b. of Ahh-iddina, Nabù-rề̂̀shunu, ...za-a, 25 : 2.
6. f. of $A l-a b, 93: 4$.
7. 24 : 2.
$\underline{Z}-b i-n \alpha-$,
8. s. of Bèl-êrib, b. of Shum-iddina, m. of Kil(?)il-ga$a d-d u, 32: 2,3$.
9. s. of T̂̂̀b-Iàma, b. of Bana-Lâma, Hannani, ZabadIàma, f. of $B a(?) l i-$ Iàma, $118: 1,5,11,13,25,29$, 37.

* $Z a-b i-n i, Z a-b i-i n ~ I X, Z a-b i-i-n i, Z a-b i-i \operatorname{IX}$

1. s. of Balàtu, hshaknu hsipirripl sha hu-qu, 102: 6, 10, 12, R. | 118 : U. E.
2. s. of Billae, $1: 19$.
3. in $\begin{gathered}\text { aluBit-mZabini, } 21: 6|42: 5,7| 50: 3 \mid 101: 13 .\end{gathered}$
*Z $\alpha$-bu-da-a, Za-bu-da-'
4. s. of Bèl-ah-iddina, $25: 3$.
5. $8: 2 \mid 46: 10$.

## $Z \underline{Z-b u-d u}$

1. f. of Quddai, $30: 2$.
 Ed.], s. of Barikki-ilì, 125 : 21.
dZa-ma-ma-èrish
2. f. of Bèl-ah-iddina, 125 : 21.
3. in AluBit-mZamama-èrish, $71: 3$. Id. with No. 1, cf. Vol. IX, p. 73.
dZa-ma-ma-nädin
4. s. of Balâtu, b. of Bêl-rê'ùshunu, $1: 15$.
5. s. of Bêl-bulliţsu, $19: 3$.
6. $96: 2$.

* Za-ta-me-e [cf. Bi. ${ }^{\text {antint-Ed.] }}$

1. f. of Bêl-ittannu, 75 : 11.
2. 1:2.

* Zi-ma-ka-' IX, Zi-ma- $\alpha k-k i i^{\prime}$, f. of Aha' $u, 37: 18$.

Zi-im-ma-a

1. s. of Bèl-êtir $65: 17$, Lo. E.
2. f. of Bề-nàdin-8humu, $102: 10$.

Zitti(HA-LA)-Nabîu

1. s. of Mushèzib-Bèl, $101: 26, \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{E}$.
2. s. of Rimût, 118 : U. E.
$Z(S, S) u k-k i-i-t u m, Z(S, S) u k-k i-t u m, \|$ in $\quad$ aluBit-mZukkitum, 65:5!66:5.

## $Z u-u m-b u$

1. f. of Harbâtônu, $2: 14|72: 15| 94: 21|125: 18|$ 127:17 U. E.
2. f. of $\ldots$.., $3: 15$ (prob. id. with No. 1).
$\dagger$ [Cf. $A l-m a-n a{ }^{\prime}$ ', above. For the second element cf. $\operatorname{At}(u) r u-m a n u$ ' and Tura-mana', Vol. IX, p. 51.-Ed.]
$\ddagger[$ In favor of this interpretation we may quote $U-n a-m u-n u$, if $=U n-A m u n u \vee R . I, 97$ (cf. Ia-mu-nu, above), probably containing the name of the god Ammon.-Ed.]
$\S[$ In view of the fact that the two principal values of NIGIN are pahâru and sab̧âru, both of which occur in proper names (cf. Bèl-u-pah-hir, IX, p. 56, on the one hand, and Ni-is-sa-har-Bèl, IX, p. 68, or Ni-is-har-Bèl (Concordance of the present volume) on the other), it must remain doubtful whether the name NIGIN-Bèl, above, is to be read Upahhirr-Bèl or $\mathrm{Nis}(s a)$ hुar-Bèl.--Ed.]
$\|[$ The reading of the first radical is doubtful. The name looks like a female name. In all probability it is to be connected with the names quoted by Johns (Assyr. Deeds, p. 126), Suk-ka-ai, Suk-ai, Suk-ku-ai, Suk-ka-a. Froni the writings $S u-k a-a$ and $S u-k u-a i$ found alongside the others it would follow that the first radical was $s$ and the second
 common Neo-Babyl. name $S u-q a-a i$, from which we read the fem. Su-qa-ai-i-ti, Strassmaier, Nabon, $348: 13$, is a different name and probably to be connected with Sûqu, "street, bazaar." -Ed.]

Zumba-a, Zu-um-ba IX, f. of Ninib-êtir, $55: 16$.
*Zu-za-a (cf. Bi. אT!) $\dagger$

1. f. of $A h-i d d i n a, 100: 11$, Lo. E.
2. m. of Ana-Bêl-upâqa, $51: 17 \mid 65: 15$, Lo. E.
.... it-tan-nu, s. of Bêlshunu, 30 : 11 .
..... abu-usur, f. of . . . . . . $118: 34$.
..... mutîr?, f. of Silim-ilâni, 52 : 20.
..... zi-shu..., f. of Bagienna', 70:17.
3. Names of Women.
/A-dir-tum, $\ddagger \mathrm{d}$ of $B a ̂ n \hat{a} a, 2: 2, \mathrm{U} . \mathrm{E}$.

* $A$ Am-mi-si-ri' $\mathrm{IX}, f A m$-mi-is-ri-', $45: 9$.

1Ba-na-da-na-a-tu,6:2.
fBe-lit-su-nu, 74:5,16.

JBu-ru-ush-sha-a-tu, 97 : 14, Lo. E.
tB(P) ur-ru-ush-ti-ish, m. of Ninib-muballit, 131 : 27 .
$t N i-d i n-t u m, ~ d . ~ o f ~ I b a . ~$
3. Names of Scribes.
$A \notin u-s h u-n u$, s. of $A p l \hat{a}, 87: 14|110: 14| 122: 19$.
Ardi-Ninib, s. of Nishar-Bêl, $35: 20$.
Ba-la-ṭu, Balâtu, s. of Bêl-iqî̀sha, $41: 13 \mid 57: 17$
Bêl-apal-uṣur

1. s. of $B \hat{e} l-i b n i, 123: 13$.
2. s. of Nidintu-Bêl, $1: 20$.

Bêl-ḩa-tin, s. of Ninib-êtir, 109 : 10.
Bêl-nâdin-shumu, s. of Ardì-Ninib, 77: 16.
Bêl-muballit $(-i t)$, s. of $\operatorname{Itti-Ninib-inia,~} 39: 17|40: 17|$ 108: 15.
Iddina-Bêl, s. of Ninib-muballit, 121: 11.
Itti-Bêl-balâtu, s. of Ninib-nâsir, 52 : 24.
$\underline{L a-b a-s h i}$

1. s. of Balâtu, \& $: 17|58: 16| 61: 22|64: 15| 72:$ 17| 112 : 20.
2. s. of $N a \hat{a} d i n, 63: 16|73: 13| 116: 15$.
3. s. of $\ldots . ., 84: 18$.

Na-din

1. s. of Ina-silli-Ninib, $28: 16 \mid 29: 18$.
2. s. of $L \hat{a} b \hat{a} s h i, 63: 16 \mid 73: 13$.
$\underline{\text { Ni-din-tú-Bêl }}{ }^{1}$, s. of Ninib-nâdin, $11: 10|126: 15| 130:$ $33|131: 32| 132$ : 25.
Ninib-abu-usur, s. of Bêl-nâdin-shumu, $5: 22|6: 17| 7:$
$19|14: 22| 16: 21|17: 20| 18: 22|19: 22|$
$20: 19|25: 17| 26: 23 \lambda 31: 19|32: 20| 33:$
$21|34: 23| 36: 21|37: 20| 45: 21|46: 24|$
$47: 22|48: 19| 49: 19|53: 26| 54: 19 \mid 62:$
$20|65: 22| 66: 16|67: 19| 69: 19|70: 19|$
$71: 18|75: 19| 76: 19|80: 19| 81: 20 \mid 82:$
$19|83: 17| 85: 18|86: 15| 88: 20|89: 17| 90:$
14|91:22|92:19|93:16|94:22|95:19|
$96: 17|97: 19| 98: 18|100: 14| 101: 29 \mid 102:$
$22|103: 15| 113: 17|114: 18| 116: 17 \mid 117$ : $21|125: 22| 127: 19|128: 22| 129: 20$.
Ninib-bêl-aĥê-shu, s. of Upahhir-Bêl, $99: 17|104: 10| 111:$ 18|115:21.
Ninib-êrib, s. of Ardia, $68: 10$.
Ninib-êtir, s. of Zumbấ, 55:16.
Ninib-ga-mil, s. of Dummuq, $24: 19 \mid 38: 15$.
Ninib-nâdin, s. of Mutîrshu, 4 : 28.
Rêmu-shukun, s. of Shamash-ah-iddina, $10: 15$.
Ri-mut-Ninib, s. of Bêlshunu, 78 : 11.
Sin-na-din-ahu, s. of Ardi-Bau, $51: 22$.
Shui-la-a
3. s. of $B \hat{e ̂ l s h u n u, ~} 59: 22|119: 18| 120: 14$.
4. s. of Ninib-nâsir, $9: 36 \mid 23: 19$.

Tad-dan-nu, s. of Ubâr, $8: 13|13: 15| 22: 14|42: 17|$ 43 : 23.
Ta-qish-Gula, s. of Iddina-Bêl, $12: 13|21: 16| 27: 17 \mid$ $30: 14$ | 44 : 14.
$\underline{U-b a r,}$ s. of $N \hat{N a} d i n, 15: 21 \mid 79: 15$.
$\dagger$ Cf. also $Z a-z a-a, Z a-z a-a i, Z a-z a-u, Z a-z i-e, Z a-a-z i-i, Z i-z i, Z i-z i-i, Z i-z i-e, Z i-e-z i-i$ given by Johns, Assyr. Deeds, Vol. III, pp. 112, f.
$\ddagger$ Cf. $E$-tir-tum, Nbn. 756:2, a formation similar to Mu-she-zib-tum, Dar. 379: 14.

## II. Names of Places. $\dagger$

A-ma-az-ta-nu, $64: 5$.
Ah-sha-nu, $54: 8$ :
4-q(k)ar-qa-bu-shu, $43: 6$.
A-ra-zu-u-a, 43 : 5.

$\underline{B a b i l u k i}$ (written Eki and DIN-TIRki), 1:21|15:21, in $\underline{\text { mír }}$ h $\underline{B a ̂ b i l i k i, ~} 93: 15 \mid 95: 17$, in mShulum-
$\overline{B a b i l u k i, 13}: 2|15: 18| 23: 3|39: 13| 40: 12$,
$14|44: 12| 67: 5 \mid 91: 8,11,12,15$, R. | $108: 2$.
Bâb nürDi-rat, $43: 7 \mid 103: 3$.
Bîb nârShubti-(KU)-Ea, $80: 4$.
Ba-al-sha-am, $119: 18 \mid 120: 14$.
Ban-ni-shu, $\ddagger 93: 5$.
Bêl${ }^{1}-a-s h i b-s h u-i q-b i, B e ̂ l-a ̂ s h i b-(K U)-s h u-i q b i(E)$ (cf. IX :
$51: 5$ end), $54: 19|107: 13| 126: 5$.
Bît-mA그u-la-ri-im, 107 : 5.
Bît-mAr-ḩa..., $32: 6,9$.
Bit-mAr-za-', 46 : 7, 10.
Bit-Ba-ha $a-r i, 46$ : 12.
Bit-mBa-lat-su, $35: 4,6,9$.
Bìt-bêl-tum, 43 : 5.
Bit-mGa-la-la-nu, 17:6.
Bit-m $H a-a d-d i-i a, 76: 3$.
Bit-m Ha-na-na-'.
Bit-hata...ià, $55: 4$ [prob. $H a-d i-i a ̀, ~ i d . ~ w i t h ~ H a-a d-d i \cdot i a$ —Ed.]
Bit-mHi-ig(k)-la-', Bitt mIg(k)-la-', $62: 5,7|71: 5| 125:$
$6,9$.
Bit m dll-te-eh-ri-nûri-', $34: 6,9$.
Bît-mKina-a-, see Bit-mMukîn-aplu.
Bit-dMar-ud-da, 6:5, Bit-Ma-ru-du IX, without det. d.
Bit-mMukîn-aplu (DU-A), 51:6, 10.
Bit-mMu-ra-nu, 23:6, 8|67:6.
Bit-mMu-ra-shu-út, 127:3.
Bit-mNa-di-ir, 129 : 4.
$B i t-m N a-s i-k a{ }^{\prime}, 124$ : 2.
Bit-mNa-tu-e[or un?-Ed.]-il̂̀ $=$ Natuel, $54: 6$.
Bût-h pir-ri-sa-ai, 101:13.
Bit-hrab-ka-shir, 43 : 7.
Bût-hrab hnâsh paṭri, 84 : 2.
Bit-hrab-u-ra-a-tú, $94: 4$.

Bît-hrêshu(SAG), 18:7,9.
Bit-mRi-hi-e-tu, $40: 4,5$.
Bit-mSin-li-shir, Bit mSin-lâshir(GISH) IX, $91: 5$.
Bit-mShu-la-a, $39: 5$.
Bit-mŞu-u-ra-ai, Bit-hṢur-ra-ai, Bît-mSur-ra-ai IX, 33 : 6, 9|71:4.
Bit-mTa-ba-lu-la-ai, Bit-Ta-ba-la-ai, Bit-Ta-ba-lu-ai, 19 : $7,10|20: 7,8| 25: 5,7|53: 5,10| 86: 4 \mid 100:$ 4.

Bit-mTar-bi-il-im-ma-IIar-be, 126:5.
Bit-mUs-sa-ar-tim, Bìt-mU-sa-ar-ta IX, 129: 6.
Bit-mZa-bi-ni, BîmZa-bi-in IX, Bitt-mZa-bi-i IX, 21:6| $21: 8 \mid \overline{42: 5,7|50: 3| 101 \cdot 13 .}$
Bit-mdZa-ma-ma-êrish, $71: 3$.
$\overline{B i t-m Z(S) u k-k i-i-t u m, B i t-m Z u k-k i-t u m, 65: 5|66: 5| 88: 6, ~}$ cf. also Vol. IX, $86^{a} 6$.
$B u-s h u-{ }^{-}$, perhaps She-la-' $43: 6$.
Ga-di-ba-tum, $6: 6 \mid 28: 5,7$.
Ga-li-ia, Ga-li-e IX, $53: 4,10$.
Gam-ma-li-e, Ga-am-ma-li-e [i.e., "Town of the Camels" —Ed.], $84: 4|92: 4| 118: 3,8,26$.
$G I S H-B A N$ (read isuqashtu, derived from bit-isuBAN, on which cf. Vol. IX, p. 36), $39: 17 \mid 40: 17$.
Gi-ish-shu, 54 : 3.
$\mathcal{E} a-a t-t a-a i[i . e ., " T o w n$ of the llittite(s)"-Ed.], 115 : 8.
Ha-am-ma-na-ai, Ha-am-na-ai [i.e., "Town of the Am-monite(s)"-Ed.], $81: 4,11|82: 4| 85: 5 \mid$ $90: 4,8|97: 7| 122: 4$.
Ha-am-ma-ri, Ha-am-ba-ri IX, $61: 7,9$.
 No. 498, I found a place alu $\left.\underline{H} a-d a-l a-{ }^{\prime}-E d.\right]$
Ha-ash-ba-a, Hash(-ash)-ba-a, $99: 17|104: 11| 123: 13$.
 24.

Hu-us-si-e-ti sha $m A d-d i-i a, 91: 7$.
${ }^{[ } u-u s-s i-e-t u$ sha $m A d-r a-\overparen{b} u-u, 99: 2$.
Hu-us-sice-ti sha mBa-gu-ush, hBa-gu-shu IX [also Const. Ni. $583: 6-E d.], 97: 8$.
Hुu-us-şi-e-ti sha mdBau-êrish, 31:5, 8|69:7.
Hुu-us-si-e-ti sha mDannáa, ina Larak, 37 : 5, 6.
Hu-uṣ-si-e-tu sha Qa-'-ma-nu (determ. $m$ omitted), $99: 3$.
$\dagger$ Preceded by the det. $a l u$, unless otherwise stated. In many cases, however, illu is not mere determinative, but forms part of the name of the place.
$\ddagger[$ Const. Ni. 603:8: Ba-na-nêshu (UR-MAE). Hence it follows that the name of a place written KAK-UR$M A H$ in Vol, IX and transliterated by me loni-Nergal (p. 75) must also be transliterated Ban(a)-néshu.-Ed.]

Hu-uş-si-e-ti sha mMarduk-êrish, 114:3.
Hu-uṣ-si-c-ti sha mMu-la-ki-it, 114 : 4.
Hu-us-şi-e-ti sha m $N a-s, s i r, 72: 3$.
Ish-qal-lu-nu, 118: 4, 7, 9, 10, 24.
Kab-ri(tal)-li-ri-im-me-shi, Kab-ri(tal)-li-ri-im-mu-shi, 96 : $5 \mid 98: 6,10$.
Ka-a-ri-Ninib, Kâr-Ninib (not Mushezib-Ninib, Vol. IX), $14: 7,11|16: 6,10| 47: 8,10|48: 7,9| 49: 6$, 8|50:5|110:1.
KU-gab-bar-ri, cf. Shubtu-Gabbarri, 111 : 5.
Ku-दु $u r-d u, 27: 6,9$.
Ku-za-ba-tu(tum), 43:8.
Larakki, $36: 5,8|37: 6| 41: 6,9|88: 7| 101: 5$.
Ma-la-b̌a-nu, Malaĥinnu(MA-TUM-TUM ${ }^{p l}$ ) IX, $38: 71$ 101: 13.
Mi-li-du, 76:3|107:1,5. [In Vol. IX mentioned as a canal-Ed.]
Mushêzib-Ninib IX, to be read Kâr-Ninib, q. v.
 Elamitic town âlu sha na-qi-da-a-ti-Ed.], 43 : 4|99: 2.
Nippurki, 2:18|3:18|4:28|5:22|7:2|7:19|8: $13|9: 36| 10: 16|11: 4,11| 12: 13|13: 15|$ $14: 22|16: 21| 17: 20|18: 22| 19: 22|20: 19|$ $21: 16|22: 14| 23: 19|24: 19| 25: 17 \mid 26$; $23|27: 17| 28: 16|29: 18| 30: 15|31: 19|$ 32:20|33:21|34:23|35:20|36:21|37: $20|38: 15| 41: 18|42: 17| 43: 23|45: 21|$
$46: 24|47: 22| 48: 19|49: 19| 50: 20 \mid 51:$ $22|52: 24| 55: 16|57: 17| 58: 16|59: 22| 61:$ $22|62: 15,20| 63: 16|64: 15| 65: 21 \mid 66: 12$, 16, Lo. E. $|67: 19| 68: 6,10|69: 19| 70: 19 \mid$ $71: 18|72: 17| 73: 13|75: 19| 76: 19 \mid 77: 6$, $17|78: 12| 79: 15|80: 19| 81: 20|82: 19|$ $83: 17|85: 18| 86: 15|87: 15| 88: 20 \mid 89$ 17| $90: 14|91: 22| 92: 19|93: 16| 94: 22 \mid$ $95: 19|96: 17| 97: 19|98: 18| 100: 14 \mid 101$ : $29|102: 22| 103: 15|104: 6| 105: 16 \mid 109:$ $11|110: 14| 111: 18|112: 20| 113: 17 \mid 114:$ $18|115: 21| 116: 15|117: 20| 118: 39 \mid 119:$ $6|120: 6| 121: 5,12|122: 19| 124: 14 \mid 125$ : $22|127: 19| 128: 22|129: 20| 130: 34$.
Parakku(BARA)ba-ri, 92:7,
$R a-b i-i a$ [appar. $m$ omitted, lyppok.-Ed.], $9: 2,5,6,20,24$.
Sa....., 22 : 4.
Sin-bêl-shu-nu (m omitted), $57: 4,6 \mid 108: 15$.
Sipparaki, 75:8.
Su-uk-ki-ia [appar. $m$ omitted, cf. Bi. 2. Sha-la-me-e, Sha-lam-me-e IX, 75:7.
Shubti(KU)-Gab-bar-ri, 111:5.
Taq-bi-lîshir ( $m$ omilted), $71: 6$.
Tarbașu-um-ma-nu [" Court of the artisans "-Ed.], $99: 1$.
Tu-shu-shu [or Hish-shu-shu ?-Ed.], $43: 4$.
$U r u k i$, in the name of a gate of Nippur, abullu Shi-bi
Uruki, 37 : 15| $45: 19$.
Urukki, Uruki-ku IX, $61: 15 \mid 62: 12$.

## III. Names of Gates in Nippur. -

| Abullu E-MAH, Abullu MAH not Abullu rabî, Vol. IX; [though referring to the largest gate of Nippur, the name ( $E$ ) MAH is ident. with $E-G A L-M A H$, q. v.-Ed.], $18: 20 \mid 19: 19$, U. E. $\|26: 22\| 37:$ 14\|45:17|46:22. | Abullu LUGAL-GUD-SI-DI., Abullu-LUGAL-SI-DI [written with det. $d$, Const. 522:11, therefore not to be read Bâb-Sharru-GUD-SI-DI, Vol. 1X-Ed.], $29 \cdot 14\|45: 18\| 46: 23$. <br> Abullu-Shi-bi-Uruki, $37: 15 \mid 45: 19$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Abullu-Gu-la (Gula }}{20 .}$ writien without det. $d$ ), $18: 21 \mid 19:$ | Bîb-ka-lak-ku, $68: 6\|77: 6\| 104: 6\|119: 6\| 120: 6$. Bâbu sha mGu-bar-ru, $128: 14$, U. E. (a sluiee ?). |

## IV. Names of Canals. $\dagger$

$m_{A}$ ťu-li-', mAľu-li-ia, $43: 4 \mid 112: 4,10$.
Bâb-Bi-na-nu, 98 : 8.
Bal-ticia ( $m$ omitted), $55: 4$.
Ba-la-ṭu, Balâṭu ( $m$ omitted), $112: 4,10$.
mDa-bi-ia-ash-ta.., 82: 3 .
Diglat la-bi-ri (an old bed of the Tigris), $36: 8|41: 9|$ 98:9.
Di-rat, $\underline{\text { Di-ra-a-tuu, }} 43:{ }^{7}|79: 3,8| 103: 3 \mid 112: 4,10$.
$\dagger$ All are preceded by Nêru. In some cases it is likely to be regarded as a determinative, e. g., with Harripiqùd; in others, as part of the name, e. g., Nâr-dSin. No effort has been made to discriminate, as it would be impossible to determine how the word was considered in every instance.

Har-pi-qud Har-ri-pi-qu-du(qud), (87:6), $6: 5|7: 2| 14:$ $9|16: 9| 17: 10|18: 10| 19: 10|20: 9| 23:$ 8| $25: 8|33: 8| 34: 8|47: 11| 48: 9|49: 8|$ $62: 6|75: 7| 85: 13|87: 6| 92: 14|94: 4|$ 113:5|125:8|128:6 (נהר פקור=).
Kit-ti-ma-nu, 129:3.
Kîtî (written GU-DU-A, without $k i$ ), $50: 4$.
Nam-gar-ri(rum)-dûr-Bèl ${ }^{2}$, Nam-gar-ri-d $\hat{u} r-B e ̂ l^{2}$, Nam-gar-dûr-Bêl, Nam-ga-ri(rum)-dur-Bîl${ }^{2}$ IX, $15: 5 \mid 57$ : 6|93:5. Written without det. nâr, $39: 5,18 \mid$ 40: 6 .
Sin(UD-SAR)-mâgir (DUG-GA), Si-im-ma-gi-ir, $123: 1$. In Vol. IX read Nannaru-mugur(dUD-SAR-DUG. GA), $87: 7|112: 4,9| 123: 1$.
Purât Nippur, written Nâr-Sipparaki Nippurki, 7:21 $26: 9|32: 9| 45: 8|46: 9,12| 53: 4|63: 2|$
$65: 6|66: 6| 76: 4|107: 2| 126: 6$. Without Nippur, 5:4|88:6.
$\operatorname{Sin}(X X X, U D-S A R), 6: 6,8$, Lo. E., R E.| $18: 15$, R. E., U. E. | $20: 12$, R E., Lo. E. | $22: 10$, U. E , L. E. | $24: 12 \mid 25: 11$, L. E. | $26: 16$, R. E., R. $\mid$ 32 : 15, L. E., U. E. 134 : 16, L. E., U. E. $\mid 35$ : 14, R. E. | $36: 14$, L. E., U. E. | $37: 12$, U. E.,
L. E. | $41: 13$, L. E., U. E. $\mid 42: 12$, L. E.,
U. E. | $45: 14$, Lo. E., R. E. | $46: 18$, U. E.,
R. E.| $50: 13$, U. E., R. E. | $\mid 54: 15$, L. E., U. E. $|72: 4| 82: 13|91: 5| 95: 14 \mid 103: 4$.

Sha mAd-du-abu-uşur, 117 : 3.
Sha hMi-ṣir-ai, $43: 5$.
Shap-pu-ut-tum, 50:4.
Shubtu(KU)-Ea in aluBâb nârShubti-Ea, $80: 4$.

## V. Names of Deities Contained in the Proper Names.

${ }^{d} A d-d u, \operatorname{Addu}(\underline{d} I M)$, cf. the male proper names under $A d d u$. [Shortened also to $A d$, cf. $A d r a h h \hat{u}, A d u m e ̂$.] ${ }^{*} d A d-g i$-shi-ri, cf. dAd-gi-shi-ri-zabaddu.
${ }^{*} d A l-t e-e h-r i, d l l-t e-h i-r i$, cf. $d A l-t e-e h l-r i-n \hat{u} r^{\prime}, d I l-t e-e h-r i-$ $n \hat{u} r^{\prime}$, dIl-te-ki-ri-abi. The Ar. שהר preceded hy the article $k$, "the Moon-god," cf. Editorial Preface.

* $A-m u-n u$ (without det. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ), cf. Pa-mu-nu. The Egyptian God Amon.
${ }^{d A-n u m}$, cf. the male names under $A n u m$
${ }^{d A-n u-u s-s u}$ (=danûtsu "his divinity"), cf Atamar${ }^{d} A-n u$-us-su.
${ }^{d}$ Ashur (written ${ }^{d} \underline{H I I}$ ), cf. Ashur-UR(?)-ibni.
*dBa-ga-', cf. dBa-ga-'-da-a-tu, $111: 12$, L. E. The Persian word for god.
*dBan-an, dBan-a-ni, dBan-an-na, dBan-nu, cf. dBan-nuêrish.
${ }^{d} \underline{B a u}$ (written Bâbu, with and without det. d), cf. names under $d B a u, A r d i$ and Kalbi.
${ }^{d B \hat{l} l}$ (written $d E N, d E N-L I L, L$ ), cf. the male proper names under Bêl, Ardi, etc.
$d B I L-K I R R U D(?)$, in Vol. IX read $\operatorname{Ninib}(B I L-D A R-a i)$, cf. BIL.KIRRUD-ai, and Intro., p. 14.
*dBitt-ilî, cf. $d B i t-i l i t-a-k a l(?)-r i$. The He. IX and Zimmern, K.A.T., ${ }^{3}$ pp. 437, f.
${ }^{d B u-n e-n e}$, cf. $\frac{d B u \cdot n e-n e-i b n i}{}$.
d Daian (written DI-KUD), cf. male proper names under Daian. Cf. also $\underline{d K D D .}$
[Damu (without det. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{d}}$ ), perhaps in hypok. Damiaia.-Ed.
dDan-nu, cf. dDan-nu-ahêshu-ibni and dLamassu(?) below.
[Perhaps also written $D u$-un (without det. ${ }^{d}$ ) in Dun-dana'.-Ed.]
*dDu-u, cf. dDî-iềlzabbe.
${ }^{d} \underline{E-a}$ (written $\underline{d} \underline{I}, \underline{d B E}$ ), cf. the male proper names under dEa, also narShubtu-Ea.
$E-G A L-M A H$, also written $E-M A H$ and ouly $M A H$, cf. Ardi-E-GAL-MA $G$, Abullu(E) MA $H$.
 names under Padani, Pâni and $N a^{\prime}$, also Patêshu.
*Ga-ad-du (written without det. $d$ ), cf. Kil(?)-il-Gaddu, the West-Semitic god of Fortune (Fortuna).
${ }^{d} \underline{G u-l a}$ (without det. $d$ in abullu Gu-la), dME-ME., cf. the male proper names under Gula, Ardi and Taqish.
[ Ha-an (without det. d, perhaps also ETu-un), cf. Hammaruru and Ea-an-da-sha-nu, Ha-an-na-ta-'.-Ed.]
*Har-be, without det. $d$, a Cassite god, identical with Bêl, cf. $m$ Tarbilimma-Harbe.
 IX, p. 17), the contracted form of Jahwe at the heginning of Hebrew names. Also written dHu-u, cf. Introd., pp. 19, f.
*Ia-a-ma (without det. ${ }^{d}$ ), $={ }^{*}$ T, the Hebrew Jahwe, at the end of West-Semitic proper names, cf. Ga-da$a l-I a-a-m a$, etc., and Introd., pp. 20, f. For a different view ef. Ed. Preface.
*dIl-te-eh-ri, cf. $d A l-t e-e h-r i$.
$d K U D$, cf. $d K U D-a h(u)$-iddin, possibly to be read dDaian.
 Intro., p. 8. Cf. the male proper names under dKUR-GAL.
${ }^{\text {dLamassu }}$ (? written ${ }^{d} \underline{K A L-K A L}$ ), ef, the male proper nawes under Lamassu [possibly to be read dDannu-Ed.]
LUGAL-GUD-SI-DI (Const. Ni. 522 : 21, written with det.d, as I learn from Prof. Hilprecht). In 29 : $14 G U D$ omitted. Cf. name of a gate in Nippur, Bâb-dLUGAL-GUD-SI-DI.
${ }^{d M a r d u k}$ (written $d A M A R-U D$ and $d \underline{S H U}$ ), cf. the male names under Marduk.
*dMar-ud-da, Ma-ru-du (without det. d IX), cf. âluBit$d M a r-u d-d a, 6: 5$. [Probably the Cassite god Maruttash. Of. Delitzsch, Die Sprache der Kossaër, pp. 20, 23, and Hilprecht in $Z . A$, VII, p. 310.Ed.]
*dMil-ki , cf. Mil-ȟi-abu-usur. Probably the West-Semitic $\operatorname{god}$ Milk (i), cf. Zimmern, K.A.T. ${ }^{3}$, p. 471.
${ }^{d} \underline{N a b \hat{u}}$ (written $d \underline{A G}$ and $d \underline{P A}$ ), cf. the male proper names under $N a b \hat{u}$.

${ }^{d} N a-n a-a$, cf. the male names under Nanâ and Zabadu.
$d$ Nannaru IX, read dSin in Vol. X.
$N a-a t$ (without det. $d$ ), ef. $U$-na-at. Perhaps the Egypt. goddess Neit or Nut.
${ }^{d}$ Nergal (written UGUR, dSHI-DU, $5: 10,13$ ), ef. the inale proper names under Nergal and Dannu.
${ }^{d N i n i b}$ (written $d B A R$ ). For the Ar. writing of this name אנושת, cf. Intro., p. 8 and Editorial Preface. Cf. the male proper names under Ninib, Ardi, etc.
${ }^{d}$ Nusku (written $d P A-K U$ ), cf. the male proper names under Nusku.
Qûsu (without det. ${ }^{d}$ ). [Cf. $G u-s a-a i$.-Ed.]
${ }^{d} \underline{\operatorname{Sin}}$ (written ${ }^{d X X X}$ and ${ }^{d} \underline{U D-S A R}$ ), cf. the male proper names under Sin, and narSin.
dShamash (written $d \underline{U D}$ ), ef. the male proper names under Shamash.
dShamesh (wrilten dUD-mesh) the West-Semitic pronunciation of the Sun-god, cf. the male names under Shamesh and Editorial Preface.
$T_{e-r i}$ (without det. ${ }^{d}$ ), cf. $T_{e}-$ ri-hi-li-ia and Ed. Preface.
Ti-ra, Ti-ri, Tir-ra, Ti-ri-ra, the Iranian god Tēr, cf. Ti-ri-da-a-tu, Tii-ri-ru-kt-am-ma, etc., and the footnote to Te-ri-hi-li-ia.
$T u-u$ (without det. $d$ ), perhaps a god $T \hat{u}$ or $1 t \hat{u}$. Cf. Tu$u$ i-ba-ni-ia .
${ }^{d} Z a-m a-m a$, cf. the male proper names onder Zamama.


## VI. Names of the Aramatc Endorsements.

א א א ${ }^{\text {(d } A d d[A b i ? ~ E d .]-g i-s h i-r i-z a b-d u), ~} 55:$ R.
אחרשן (Aldu-shu-nu), s. of כלאטר, 131 : R.
אורפחר (dKUR-GAL-u-pah-hir), 105 : R.
אגושתובלוט (Ninib-uballit (-it)) (DIN-it), 87 : Lo. E.
אנושתארנ (Ninib-iddina(MU)), 29 : U. E.
ביבא (Bi-ba-a), 125 ; R.
]סננ] שמתכניא ,ברא]בר] Bèl-abu-uṣur), s. of בלאבצר $h_{s} h a k n u$ sha hshumutkunai.
[בלאנבצר (Bêl-abu-usur), f. of בלאבצר, 115 : R.
(Bèl-iddina(MU)), 60 : R. E.
בלאטר (Bêl-êtir), s. of '104: O.
בלאטר (Bêl-êtir), f. of (Bחושן, 131 : R.
בלאטרשוש (Bêl-êtir-Shamash), 116 : Lo. E.
בלאצרש (Bêl-u-sur-shu), סגנ בנשיא (hshaknuhba-ni-neshai), 126 : R.
(Bêl-êrib), f. of רלאריכ , 99 : R.


נווֹ (Gu-zi-ia), f. of בלאטר, $104: 0$.
(Da-ri-ia-a-mush), 78 : R.

וברננה (Za-bid-Nanà), 106 : R.


חנגי (Ha-an-na-ni-'), s. of 132 : R.
טר (Ṭäbi-ia), f. of חנגי $132:$ R.
לבש (La-ba-shi), $59:$ R.
.. . מנא (Man-nu-lu-ȟa-a), $46:$ R.
(Marduk-a), 121: 0 .
(Nabû-2a-bad-du) $119:$ U.E.
(Nabû-ra-pa-'), 120 : 0 .
ביכת (Ri-bat), s. of 99 : R.
שבוח (Sha-ku-ú-hu), s. of זירק (f), 52 : U. E.
רהימאל (Ra-hi-im-ilî), 68 : 0.

## NAMES OF UNPUBLISHED ENDORSEMENTS QUOTED.

[א] אר (ldd-di-ia), Const. Ni. 554, cf. Name List.
אוראּרנ] (dKUR-GAL-iddina(MU)), C. B. M. 5505, cf. Intro., p. 7.
אוראר (dKUR-GAL-êtir), Vol. IX : 68, cf. Intro., p. 7.
אחושנ (Ahushunu), Vol. IX : 2, cf. Name List.
אֹאנוֹ(? (Ninib-uballit), C. B. M. 5508, cf. Intro., p. 8.
[אנושת]אבצר" (Ninib-ab-uṣur), C. B. M. 6514.
(Bêl-étir), C. B. M. 5512, cf. Pl. VI, No. 12.
(Haza'-ilupl), C. B. M. 5506, cf. Intro., p. 12.
חנונ (Hanun), Vol. IX : 87, cf. Name List.
לבנ (Labani'), Vol. IX : 108, cf. Name List.
(Nî'id-Bêl), Vol. IX : 108, cf. Name List.
(Rêmu-shukun), C. B. M. 5172, cf. Intro., p. 17.
(Sthitc'), Vol. 1X:64, cf. Name List.
תתנ (Taddannu or Tattannu), C. B. M. 5173, cf. Name List.

## ARAMAIC CHARACTERS FROM THE ENDORSEMENTS.

With the exception of a few characters, more or less uncertain, as for instance in No. 78, the list represents most of the variants which appear in these documents. Several from Vol. IX and unpublished Murash $\hat{u}$ tablets have been added.

*After the Introduction had gone through the press I discovered an additional "docket," written with black fluid, containing the god Ninib. The one character in doubt seems to be 1 . Besides the $\mathbb{N}$ the other characters are very clear, cf. Preface.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS 

## AND DESCRIPTION OF OBJECTS.

## Abbreviations.

C. B. M., Catalogue of the Babylonian Museum, University of Pennsylvania (prepared by the Editor-in-chief); Ca., Cast; cf., confer; cyl., cylinder(s); 1. ., Edge; foll(ow)., following ; fr., fragment, fragmentary; No., Number; O., Obverse; perpend., perpendicular; Pl., Plate(s); R., Right; R(ev)., Reverse; U., Upper.

Measurements are given in centimeters, length (height) $\times$ width $\times$ thickness. Whenever the tablet (or fragment) varies in size, the largest measurement is given.

## I. Autograph Reproductions.



| Text. | Plate. | Year. | Month. | Day. | C. B. M. |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Possession of <br> H. V. Hilprecht. |

## Description.

In an excellent state of preservation. $6.7 \times 8.95 \times$ 3. Inscr. 8 ( 0.$)+12$ (R.) $=20$ li. Two seal impr. on Lo. E. and one on L. E. Seal ring impr. on U. E.
Cracked, with small portions broken out on R.; otherwise well preserved. $5.95 \times 7.5 \times 2.75$. Inscr. $7(0)+.7(\mathrm{R})=.14 \mathrm{li}$. Two impr. of seal rings on Lo. E. and one on R. E. Faint traces of an Aramaic docket in black color on $O$.
Lo. L. corner chipped off; otherwise in an excellent state of preservation. $\quad 7.5 \times 9 \times 3.25$. Inser. 17 (O.) +5 (Lo. E.) +14 (R.) $=36$ li. Two seal impr. on U. E., one on L. E., R. E. and R. Two thumbmarks on U. E.
Cracked;Lo. L. corner wanting. $4.8 \times 5 \times 1.7$. Inscr. 10 (O.) +7 (R.) $=17$ li. Thumbmark on L. E.
Large portion of U. E. wanting. Trapezoidal shaped. $4.4 \times 6.2 \times 2.0$. Inscr. $5(0)+.8(\mathrm{R})=.13 \mathrm{li}$. Two thumbmarks on L. E.
Considerably cracked. Portions of O . broken out. $5.6 \times 7.1 \times 2.85$. Inser. $9(\mathrm{O})+.6(\mathrm{R})=.15 \mathrm{li}$. Thumbmark on U. E. Impr. of seals on Lo. E. and L. E.
U. L. corner wanting; also cracked. $6.4 \times 7.7 \times 2.5$. Inscr. $10(0)+.6($ R. $)=16 \mathrm{li}$. Seal ring impr. on U. E., and portion of one on L. E. The inscription of the latter is broken away.
In an excellent state of preservation. $6 \times 8.36 \times$ 2.6. Inscr. 12 ( 0.$)+11$ (R.) $=23 \mathrm{li}$. Three thumbmarks on Lo. E. Impr. of seals on U. E and L. E.
Lo. L. corner injured; otherwise well preserved. 5.48 $\times 6.7 \times 2.85$. Inscr. $11(\mathrm{O})+.11(\mathrm{R})=.22 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. on L. E., Lo. E. and R. E. Seal ring impr. on U. E.
Well preserved. $5.85 \times 7.38 \times 2.7$. Inscr. 12 (O.) $+9(\mathrm{R})=.21 \mathrm{li}$. Two thumbmarks impr. on U. E. Seal impr. on L. E., Lo. E. and R.

Cracked, with small portions broken out of O. $6 \times 7.9$ $\times 2.7$. Inscr. 12 (O.) +1 (Lo. E.) +8 (R.) $=$ 21 li. Four thumbmarks on U. E., seal impr. on L. E., Lo. E. and R. R. also shows impr. of texture of cloth.
Numerous cracks, with portions broken out. $6.4 \times$ $8.1 \times 3$. Inscr. 13 (O.) $+10(\mathrm{R})=.23 \mathrm{li}$. Five thumbmarks on Lo. E. Two seal ring impr. on U. E. and one on R. E. R. shows impr. of texture of cloth.


Text. Plate. Year. Month. Day. C. B. M.

Text. Plate. Year. Month. Day. (. B. M.

| 43 | 25 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 5349 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | 25 | 1 | 6 | 24 | 9555 |
| 45 | 26 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 5354 |
| 46 | 26 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5320 |


| 47 | 27 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 5196 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 48 | 27 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 5350 |
| 49 | 28 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 5193 |

5193

## Description.

impr. on U. E. The thumbmark on L. E. is broken away.
Slightly cracked and effaced; otherwise well preserved. $6.35 \times 7.7 \times 2.7$. Inscr. $14(0)+.10(R)=$. 24 li.
Well preserved. $5 \times 6.48 \times 2.28$. Inscr. 8 (O.) + 7 (R.) $=15$ li. Two seal impr. on Lo. E. and one on L. E .
Very well preserved. $6.05 \times 7.95 \times 3.1$. Inscr. 11 $(\mathrm{O})+$.1 (Lo. E. $)+10(\mathrm{R})=$.22 li. Two seal ring impr. on Lo. E. and one on R. E.
Slightly cracked; otherwise well preserved. $5.95 \times$ $7.6 \times 3.05$. Inscr. 12 (O.) +4 (Lo. E.) +9 (R.) $=25$ li. Two seal ring impr. on U. E. and one on R. E. Six thumbmarks on L. E. Aramaic docket in black color on $R$.
Considerably cracked. Portions broken out of R. and U. E. $6.6 \times 8.65 \times 3.2$. Inscr. 14 (O.) +9 (R.) $=23 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on U. E. and one on L. E. In an excellent state of preservation. $6.15 \times 8.15 \times$ 3.15. Inscr. 12 (O.) +8 (R.) $=20$ li. Seal impr. on L. E., Lo. E. and R. O. Three thumbmarks on U. E.
Lo. L. end wanting. Cracked, with portions broken out of R. $6.3 \times 8.5 \times 3.25$. Inscr. $11(0)+$. $9($ R. $)=20 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on U. E., one on Lo. E. and L. E. The inscr. of the latter, belonging to Bêl-nâdin-shumu, s. of Taddanu, is broken away.
Lo. L. corner slightly injured; otherwise well preserved. $6.4 \times 8.1 \times 3$. Inscr. $12(\mathrm{O})+.9(\mathrm{R})=.21 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on U. E. and Lo. E., one on L. E. Seal ring impr. on R. E. Two thumbmarks on R. Slightly effaced on R.; otherwise well preserved. 6.2 $\times 7.8 \times 3.25$. Inscr. 11 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) +10 $($ R. $)=23$ li. Seal impr. on U. E. and L. E.
U. and Lo. L. corners wanting. Cracked. $6.65 \times$ $8.15 \times 2.9$. Inscr. 14 (O.) +12 (R.) $=26$ li. Seal impr. on L. E. Thumbmark on Lo. E. Aramaic inscr. incised on U. E.
Cracked. Large portions broken out of O . and R . $8.6 \times 6.9 \times$ 3.1. Inscr. 16 (O.) +11 (R.) $=27$ li. Two seal ring impr. on Lo. E., two seal impr. on L. E. and one on U. E. Two thumbmarks on U. E.
Cracked; otherwise fairly well preserved. $6.08 \times 8.7$ $\times 2.9$. Inscr. $14(\mathrm{O})+.6(\mathrm{R})=.20 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on U. E. and one on Lo. E., L. E. and R.


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Text. 67 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Plate. \\
38
\end{tabular} \& Year.
\[
3
\] \& Month. 6 \& Day. 13 \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { C. B. M. } \\
5158
\end{gathered}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
DEscription. \\
L. corner slightly injured; otherwise well preserved. \(6.15 \times 7.5 \times 2.75\). Inscr. 10 (O.) \(+10(\) R. \()=\) 20 li. Two seal impr. on Lo. E., one on U. E., L. E. and R.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 68 \& 39 \& 3 \& 7 \& 2 \& 5502 \& U. L. corner injured; otherwise well preserved. 5.25 \(\times 6.15 \times 2.25\). Inscr. \(6(\mathrm{O})+.5(\mathrm{R})=.11 \mathrm{li}\). Two thumbmarks on L. E. Incised Aramaic docket on 0 . \\
\hline 69
70 \& 39 \& 3
3 \& 7
7 \& 2

3 \& 5209
5219 \& Lo. L. corner wanting. Numerous cracks. Portions broken out of $O$. and R. $6.55 \times 7.95 \times 2.8$. Inscr. 13 (O.) +7 (R.) $=20$ li. Seal impr. on U. E., on Lo. E. and L. E. Seal ring impr. on R. <br>
\hline 70 \& 40 \& 3 \& 7 \& 3 \& 5219 \& 2.87. Inscr. 12 (O.) $\times 8 \quad(\mathrm{R})=.20 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on Lo. E., one on U. E. and L. E. Seal ring impr. on L. E. and R. <br>
\hline 71 \& 40 \& 3 \& 7 \& 19 \& 5339 \& Lo. L. corner wanting; otherwise well preserved. $7.1 \times 8.9 \times 3.45$. Inscr. $12 \quad(\mathrm{O})+.7 \quad(\mathrm{R})=$ 19 li. Two seal impr. on U. E., Lo. E. and R.; one on L. E. and R. E. <br>
\hline 72 \& 41 \& 3 \& 7 \& 20 \& 5180 \& L. end partly wanting. Considerably cracked. 6.15 $\times 7.45 \times 2.65$. Inscr. 11 (O.) $+7(\mathrm{R})=.18 \mathrm{li}$. Thumbmark and seal ring impr. on U. E. Two seal impr. on Lo. E. <br>
\hline 73 \& 41 \& 3 \& 8 \& 12 \& 5263 \& Very well preserved. $4.35 \times 5.25 \times 2.1$. ${ }^{\prime}$ Inscr. 6 $(\mathrm{O})+.9(\mathrm{R})=.15 \mathrm{li}$. Thumbmark on L. E. <br>
\hline 74 \& 42 \& 3 \& 8 \& (?) \& 5175 \& Fragmentary. Large portion wanting. $6.4 \times 6.6 \times$ 2.75. Inscr. 10 (O.) +1 (Lo. E.) +13 (R.) $=$ 24 li. Seal impr. on L. E. and R. E. Portion of an incised Aramaic docket on U. E. <br>
\hline 75 \& 42 \& 3 \& 9 \& 10 \& 5256 \& Cracked. $5.9 \times 7.7 \times 2.85$. Inscr. 13 (O.) +7 (R) $=20 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on U. E., one on L. E. <br>
\hline 76 \& 43 \& 3 \& 10 \& 6 \& 5207 \& Numerous cracks. Portions broken out of O. and R. $5.85 \times 7.6 \times 2.95$. Inscr. $12(\mathrm{O})+.8(\mathrm{R})=$. 20 li. Seal impr. on U. E., L. E. and Lo. E. Seal ring impr. on U. E. and R. <br>
\hline 77 \& 43 \& 3 \& 11 \& 9 \& 4995 \& Considerably cracked. Portion of $R$. end wanting. $4.5 \times 5.8 \times 1.85$. Inser. 9 (O.) $\times 9$ (R.) $=18 \mathrm{li}$. Thumbmark on L. E. Faint traces of an Aramaic inscr. on 0 . <br>
\hline 78 \& 44 \& 3 \& 12 \& 1 \& 5449 \& Lo. L. corner injured; otherwise well preserved. 5.2 $\times 6.4 \times 2.4$. Inscr. 7 (O.) $+6($ R. $)=13 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. on U. E. and one on Lo. E. Incised Aramaic docket on R. <br>
\hline 79 \& 44 \& 3 \& 12 \& 14 \& 5368 \& In an excellent state of preservation. $5.35 \times 6.65 \times$ 2.7. Inscr. 11 (O.) + I (Lo. E.) +4 (R.) $=$ 16 li. Seal impr. on U. E. and on L. E. Seal ring impr. on L._E. <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Text. } \\ 80 \end{array}$ | Plate. <br> 45 | Year. 3(?) | Month. $12$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Day. } \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. B. M. } \\ 5167 \end{gathered}$ | Description. <br> U. L. part wanting. Cracked. $6.6 \times 8.6 \times 3.15$. Inscr. 12 (O.) +1 (Lo. E.) +7 (R.) $=20$ li. Thumbmark on Lo. E. Seal impr. on Lo. E., U. E. and R. A seal impr. on L. E. is broken away. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | 45 | 3 | (?) | 18 | 5269 | U. R. corncr wanting. Cracked. Portion broken out. $6.4 \times 8.15 \times 2.95$. Inscr. $12(\mathrm{O})+.9(\mathrm{R})=$. 21 li. Two scal impr. on U. E. and two on L. E. |
| 82 | 46 | 4 | 3 | 21 | 5138 | U. R. corner of $O$. wanting. Numerous cracks. 6.7 $\times 8.25 \times 2.8$. Inscr. 12 ( O.$) \times 8(\mathrm{R})=.20 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on Lo. E., two on U. E., one on L. E. and one on R. with inscription broken away. Seal ring impr. on R. E. |
| 83 | 46 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 5359 | In an excellent state of preservation. $6.7 \times 8.15 \times$ 3. Inscr. $11(\mathrm{O})+.7(\mathrm{R})=$.18 li. Two seal impr. on U. E. and L. E., one on R. E., O. and Lo. E. Seal ring impr. on Lo. E. |
| 84 | 47 | 4 | 4 | 13(?) | 5266 | L. U. and R. E. broken away. Cracked. $7 \times 8.65$ $\times 2.95$. Inscr. 10 (O.) +9 (R.) $=19$ li. Seal impr. on Lo. E. and R. Seal ring impr. on Lo. E. |
| 85 | 47 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 5367 | Lo. R. corner wanting. Cracked. $6.3 \times 8.05 \times 2.6$. Inscr. 11 (O.) +8 (R.) $=19$ li. Two seal impr. on Lo. E., one on U. E. Seal ring impr. on U. E. and L. E. |
| 86 | 48 | 4 | 6 | 16 | 5333 | Cracked. U. R. corner broken away. $5.45 \times 6.4 \times$ 2.4. Inscr. 0 (O.) +8 (R.) $=17$ li. Seal ring impr. on U. E., L. E. and Lo. E. Faint traces of an Aramaic docket in black color on R . |
| 87 | 48 | 4 | 7 | (?) | 5236 | U. R. corner wanting. Cracked. $4.45 \times 5.03 \times$ 1.98. Inscr. 8 (O.) +7 (R.) +2 U. E. $=17$ li. Seal ring impr. on L. E. Aramaic docket incised on Lo. E. consisting of three li. |
| 88 | 49 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 5280 | Lo. I. corner injured. Inser. well preserved. 6.35 $\times 8.4 \times 2.98$. Inscr. $12(\mathrm{O})+$.9 (R.) $=21 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on U. E., one on Lo. E. and R. Seal ring impr. on L. E. |
| 89 | 49 | 4 | 8 | 23 | 5229 | Portion of U. E. broken away. Cracked. $6.35 \times$ $8.35 \times 2.95$. Inscr. 11 ( O.$)+7(\mathrm{R})=.18 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. on Lo. E. Another on U. E. broken away. Seal ring impr. on Lo. E., U. E. and R. |
| 90 | 50 | 4 | 8 | 27 | 5352 | Lo. L. corner injured. Inscr. well preserved. $5.95 \times$ $8.05 \times 2.8$. Inscr. 8 (O.) $+7 \quad($ R. $)=15 \quad$ ii. Two seal impr. on U. E., one on Lo. E. and L. E. Thumbmark on R. Faint traces of an Aramaic inscription in black color on $R$. |
| 91 | 50 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 5252 | Several cracks with small portions broken out. $5.95 \times$ $7.9 \times 2.5$. Inscr. 12 (O.) +3 (Lo. E.) +8 (R.) $=23 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on L. E. and one on R. Two seal ring impr. on U. E. and one on R. |


| Text. $92$ | Plate. 51 | Year. 4 | Month. 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAY. } \\ & 23(?) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C. B. M. } \\ & 5143 \end{aligned}$ | Description. <br> Cracked. Small portions broken out. $6.75 \times 8.2 \times$ 2.75. Inscr. 13 (O.) +7 (R.) $=20$ li. Two seal impr. on U. E., two on L. E. and one on Lo. E. Seal ring impr. on Lo. E. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93 | 51 | 4 | 9 | 27 | 5373 | In a fine state of preservation. $5.25 \times 7.05 \times$ 2.45. Inscr. 10 (O.) +7 (R.) $=17$ li. Two seal impr. on U. E. and one on Lo. E. Three short parallel lines in black color on R. |
| 94 | 52 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 5281 | In a fine state of preservation. $5.4 \times 6.8 \times 2.48$. Inscr. 10 (O.) +3 (Lo. E.) $+10($ R. $)=23 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on L. E., one on U. E. and one besides thumbmark on R. |
| 95 | 52 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 5221 | Cracked. Portions broken out of O. $6.3 \times 8.2 \times$ 2.85. Inscr. 11 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) +7 (R.) $=20$ li. Two seal impr. on U. E., two on L. E., one on R. E., Lo. E. and R. Seal ring impr. on U. E., Lo. E. and R. |
| 96 | 53 | 4 | 12 | 17 | 5362 | Well preserved. $7.3 \times 5.8 \times 2.55$. Inscr. 10 (O.) + $8($ R. $)=18$ li. Seal impr. on U. E., L. E. and Lo. E. Seal ring impr. on L. E. Four thumbmarks. Also faint traces of an Aramaic inscription in black color on R . |
| 97 | 53 | 4 | (?) | 21 | 5226 | U. R. corner wanting. Numerous cracks. $8.2 \times$ $6.35 \times 3.1$. Inscr. 1 (U. E.) $+12(0)+.7($ R. $)=$ 20 li. Seal impr. on U. E., Lo. E., L. E. and R. Seal ring impr. on Lo. E. and L. E. Inscr. of seal on U. E. broken away. |
| 98 | 54 | 4 | (?) | (?) | 5140 | U. R. corner wanting. Cracked; otherwise well preserved. $8.1 \times 6.85 \times 2.8$. Inscr. $13(0)+$. $($ R. $)=19 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on Lo. E., one on U. E., of which the inscr. is broken away. Seal impr. on U. E. Two thumbmarks on L. E. |
| 99 | 54 | 5 | 2 | 18 | 5503 | Lo. R. corner wanting. Cracked. $8 \times 10.3 \times 3.6$. Inscr. 12 (O.) +6 (R.) $=18$ li. Seal impr. on U. E., Lo. E. and L. E. Two seal ring impr. on R. E. and one on Lo. E. Aramaic inscription incised on $R$. |
| 100 | 55 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 5188 | Numerous cracks. Small portions broken out. $7.7 \times$ $6.6 \times 2.8$. Inscr. 9 (O.) +6 (R.) $=15 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on Lo. E., one on U. E. and one on L. E. Seal ring impr. on U.E. |
| 101 | 55 | 5 | 7 | 18 | 5144 | Numerous cracks. Small portions broken out of O. and R. $9.65 \times 7.45 \times 3.2$. Inscr. $15(0)+$. (R.) $=30 \mathrm{li}$. Three seal impr. on U. E. and Lo. E., one on R. E. and L. E. |
| 102 | 56 | 5 | 8 | 21 | 5321 | Slightly cracked; otherwise well preserved. $6.1 \times 7.6$ <br> $\times 2.7$. Inscr. 12 (O.) +1 (Lo. E.) +10 (R.) $=$ <br> 23 li. Seal impr. on U. E., Lo. E., R. and two on <br> L. E. Seal ring impr. on Lo. E., U. E. and R. |


| Text. 103 | Plate. <br> 56 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year. } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Montr. } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Day. } \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. B. M. } \\ 5285 \end{gathered}$ | Description. <br> In an excellent state of preservation. $4.75 \times 5.95 \times$ 2. Inscr. $9(0)+.8($ R. $)=17$ li. Seal ring impr. on L. E. U-shaped mark in black color on R. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 104 | 57 | 5 | 13 | 11 | 5372 | In an excellent state of preservation. $5.36 \times 6.58 \times$ 2.7. Inscr. $7(\mathrm{O})+.5(\mathrm{R})=.12 \mathrm{li}$. Thumbmark on Lo. E. Incised Aramaic docket on O. |
| 105 | 57 | 5 | 13 | 20 | 5287 | U. R. corner wanting. $4.09 \times 6 \times 2.25$. Inscr. 9 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) +3 (R.) $=14$ li. Thumbmark and incised Aramaic docket on R. |
| 106 | 58 | 6 | 3 | 10 | Const. Ni. 607 | Well preserved. $4.9 \times 6.2 \times 2.32$. Inscr. 9 (O.) + 2 (Lo. E.) +2 (R.) $=13$ li. Three thumbmarks on R. Incised Aramaic inscr. on R. |
| 107 | 58 | 6 | 5 | (?) | 5230 | U. E. of R. considerably broken away. Numerous cracks. $7.84 \times 10.85 \times 3.3$. Inser. 8 (O.) +6 $($ R. $)=14 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on L. E., one on Lo. E. Seal ring impr. on Lo. E. |
| 108 | 59 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 5217 | Numerous cracks; small portion broken out. $6.75 \times$ $8.25 \times 2.65$. Inscr. $10(\mathrm{O})+.7(\mathrm{R})=$.17 li. Thumbmark on L. E. |
| 109 | 59 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 5450 | Cracked. $4.65 \times 5.2 \times 2$. Inscr. $7(\mathrm{O})+.6(\mathrm{R})=$. 13 li. |
| 110 | 59 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 5286 | Numerous cracks; portions broken out. $5.1 \times 6.64$ $\times 2.55$. Inser. $9(0)+.7(\mathrm{R})=$.16 li. |
| 111 | 60 | 6 | 6 | 20 | 5447 | Cracked. Small portions broken out. $6.1 \times 5.1 \times$ 2.4. Inscr. 8 (O.) +2 (Lo. E.) +9 (R.) $=19$ li Seal impr. on U. E. and R. E. Seal ring impr. on L. E. |
| 112 | , 60 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 5220 | Cracked. Portions broken out. $7.6 \times 9.65 \times 3.15$. Inser. $15(\mathrm{O})+.6(\mathrm{R})=.21 \mathrm{li}$. Three seal impr. on U. E., the inscription of one of which, belonging to Erba-Bêl, s. of Bêl-ba-na, is broken away; two on L. E. and one on Lo. E. |
| 113 | 61 | 6 | 7 | (?) | 5369 | Lo. R. corner wanting. Numerous cracks. $6 \times 7.83$ $\times 2.8$. Inscr. 11 ( O .) +7 (R.) $=18 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on U. E., one on Lo. E., L. E. and R. Thumbmark on R. |
| 114 | 62 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 5244 | Cracked. Small portion of L. E. wanting. $4.95 \times$ $6.3 \times 2.4$. Inscr. $10 \quad(\mathrm{O})+.9 \quad(\mathrm{R})=.19 \quad \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on Lo. E. and one on U. E. Seal ring impr. on U. E. and L. E. The Rev. begins at the wrong end. |
| 115 | 62 | 6 | (?) | 5 | 5165 | U. R. corner wanting. Cracked, with portions broken out, and effaced on $0.5 .98 \times 7.5 \times 2.6$. Inscr. $12(0)+$.2 (Lo. E.) $+8($ R. $)=22$ li. Seal ring impr. on U. E. and R. Seal impr. on L. E. and R. E. Incised Aramaic docket on R. and U. E. |
| 116 | 63 | 6 | (?) | (?) | 5504 | Lo. half wanting. $4.8 \times 5.3$ ( rrag .) $\times 2.35$. Inscr. $9(0)+.7($ R. $)=16$ li. Thumbmark on L. E. Incised Aramaic docket on Lo. E. |


| Text. 117 | Plate. <br> 63 | Year. 7 | Month. $1$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Day. } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. B. M. } \\ 5185 \end{gathered}$ | Description. <br> Several cracks. Large portions broken out of 0 . and R. $6.25 \times 8.4 \times 2.9$. Inser. 11 ( O .) +1 (Lo. E.) $+10($ R. $)=22$ li. Three seal impr. on U. E., two on L. E., one on Lo. E. and R. E. Seal ring impr. on Lo. E. and R. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118 | 64 | 7 | 1 | 13 | 5325 | Fragment. Right half wanting. Several cracks. $11.3 \times 10.5$ (fr.) $\times 3.55$. Inscr. 20 (O.) +19 (R.) $=39$ li. Two seal impr. on R. E., U. E. and one on R. Two seal ring impr. on R. E. and U. E. |
| 119 | 65 | 7 | 1 | 15 | 5291 | Slightly chipped on R.; otherwise well preserved. $4.8 \times 5.55 \times 2.2$. Inscr. $10(0)+$.1 (Lo. E.) + $9($ R. $)=20$ li. Thumbmark on L. E. Faint traces of an Aramaic docket in black color on U. E. |
| 120 | 65 | 7 | 1 | 15 | 5246 | Cracked. $4.8 \times 5.5 \times 2.15$. Inscr. 7 (O.) +9 (R.) $=16 \mathrm{li}$. Thumbmark on L. E. Faint traces of an Aramaic docket in black color on $O$. |
| 121 | 66 | 7 | 1 | 20 | 5290 | In an excellent state of preservation. $3.95 \times 4.9 \times$ 1.9. Inscr. $6(\mathrm{O})+.8(\mathrm{R})=$.14 li. Thumbmark on Lo. E. Incised Aramaic docket on O. |
| 122 | 66 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 5365 | Well preserved. $6.25 \times 7.4 \times 2.7$. Inscr. $12(0)+$. 9 (R.) $=21$ li. Two seal impr. on L. E., one on U. E. and Lo. E. Thumbmark on U. E. |
| 123 | 67 | 7 | 5 | 27 | 5218 | Lo. R. corner wanting. Cracked. $8.4 \times 10.57 \times$ 3.75. Inscr. $6(0)+.8($ R. $)=14$ li. Seal impr. on L. E., U. E., O., and two on Lo. E. Seal ring impr. on L. E., U. E., Lo. E. |
| 124 | 67 | 7 | 6 | 23 | 5370 | In an excellent state of preservation. $5.3 \times 6.45 \times$ 2.5. Inscr. 8 (O.) $+8(\mathrm{R})=.16 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. on R. E. and U. E. Seal ring impr. on L. E. |
| 125 | 68 | 7 | 8 | 22 | 5231 | Cracked. Large portions broken out of $0.6 .35 \times$ $8 \times 2.7$. Inscr. 14 ( O .) +9 (R.) $=23 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on U. E., one on L. E. and Lo. E. Seal ring impr. on Lo. E. Three thumbmarks on R. Faint Aramaic docket in black color on R. |
| 126 | 68 | 7 | 8 | 28 | 5275 | Well preserved. $6.65 \times 8.15 \times 2.88$. Inscr. 10 ( 0 .) $+6(\mathrm{R})=$.16 li. Two seal impr. on U. E. and one on Lo. E. Seal ring impr. on L. E. and Lo. E. Incised Aramaic docket on R., in a portion of which black color is seen. |
| 127 | 69 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 5249 | Cracked. Small portions of O. and R. broken out. $7.2 \times 8.1 \times 2.8$. Inser. $12(0)+.8(\mathrm{R})=.20 \mathrm{li}$. Two seal impr. on Lo. E. and one on U. E. Seal ring impr. on U. E. and L. E. Thumbmark on R. |
| 128 | 69 | 7 | (?) | 22 | 5276 | Slightly effaced on R ; otherwise well preserved. $6.3 \times$ $7.98 \times 2.8$. Inscr. 12 (O.) +1 (Lo. E.) +10 $($ R. $)=23 \mathrm{li}$. Seal impr. on L. E. and Lo. E. Three seal ring impr. on U. E. Thumbmark and faint traces of an Aramaic inscr. on R. |



## II. Photograph (half-tone) Reproductions.

| Text, | Plate. I | Year. 11 | Month. 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { DAY. } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. B. M. } \\ 5137 \end{gathered}$ | Descruption. <br> O. and R. of clay tablet. Contents: Lease of sheep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | II | 1 | 1 | 16 | 5267 | and goats. Cf. Pl. 71, No. 131 and Intro., p. 23 f . O., R. and Lo. E. of tablet. Contents: A release of a claim for damages. Cf. Pl. 6, No. 9 and Intro., p. 30. |
| 3 | III | 1 | 8 | 2 | 5501 | O., R. and Lo. E. of tablet. Contents: One year lease of certain fish ponds. Cf. Pl. 31, No. 54, and Intro., p. 21f. |
| 4 | IV | 7 | 8 | 28 | 5275 | R. of clay tablet, containing Aramaic endorsement. Contents: A receipt for the rent of fief lands. Cf. Pl. 68, No. 126, and Intro., p. 32. |
| 5 | IV | 5 | 2 | 18 | 5503 | R. of a tablet. Contents: A lease of certain fields. Cf. Pl. 54, No. 99, also Intro., p. 26 . |
| 6 | V | 7 | 1 | 20 | 5290 | O. of a tablet, with incised Aramaic endorsement. Cf. Pl. 66, No. 121. Contents: A record of a debt. |
| 7 | V | 3 | 12 | 1 | 5449 | O. of a tablet, with incised Aramaic endorsement. Cf. Pl. 44, No. 78. |


| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Text. } \\ 8 \end{array}$ | Plate. <br> V | Year. $1$ | Month. 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Day. } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. B. M. } \\ 5202 \end{gathered}$ | Description. <br> U. E. of tablet with incised Aramaic endorsement. Cf. Pl. 30, No. 52. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | V |  |  |  | 5505 | Lo. E. of a clay tablet, with fragmentary incised Aramaic endorsement, which contains the name of the god $d K U R-G A L$. |
| 10 | V |  |  |  | 541.7 | R. E. of a clay tablet, with a portion of an Aramaic endorsement, containing the name of the god ${ }^{d} K U R-G A L$. Cf. Vol. IX: 68. |
| 11 | VI | 6 | 3 | 10 | Const. Ni. 607 | R. of a clay tablet, with thumb-nail marks, and Aramaic endorsement, containing the name of the god ${ }^{d} K U R-G A L$. Contents: A lease of sheep and goats. Cf. PI. 58, No. 106. |
| 12 | VI | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5512 | R. of a clay tablet, containing seal ring impr., and Aramaic endorsement. Contents: A lease of sheep and goats with an Aramaic endorsement שטר בלאטר |
| 13 | VI | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5287 | R. of a clay tablet, containing thumb-nail mark, and Aramaic endorsement. Cf. Pl. 57, No. 105, and Intro., p. 26. |
| 14 | VII | 2 | 8 | 3 | 5353 | R. of a clay tablet, containing faint Aramaic inscription. Cf. Pl. 34, No. 59. For the contents, etc., cf. Intro., p. 34. |
| 15 | VII | 6 | 1 | ? | 5504 | Lo. E. of a clay tablet, containing an incised Aramaic "docket." Cf. Pl. 63, No. 116. |
| 16 | VII | 6 ? | (?) | 9 | 5165 | R. of a tablet, containing incised Aramaic "docket." Cf. Pl. 62, No. 115. |
| 17 | VIII | 1 | (?) | (?) | 5160 | R. of a tablet, containing incised Aramaic "docket." Cf. Pl. 32, No. 56, also Intro., p. 7, note 2. |
| 18 | VIII | 3 | 7 | 2 | 5502 | O. of tablet with incised Aramaic "docket." Cf. Pl. 39, No. 68. |
| 19 | VIII | 5 | 13 | 11 | 5372 | O. of a tablet with incised Aramaic endorsement. Cf. PI. 57, No. 104. |
| 20 | IX | 4 | 7 | (?) | 5236 | L. E. of tablet (double natural size) with Aramaic endorsement, containing the name of the god Ninib. Cf. Intro., p. 8, also Pl. 48, No. 87. |
| 21 | IX | 1 | 7 | 20 | 5283 | U. E. of tablet (double natural size) with incised Aramaic endorsement, containing the name of the god Ninib. Cf. Intro., p. 8, also Pl. 17, No. 29. |
| 22 | X | 1 | 12 | 28 | 5284 | R. of clay tablet with very faint Aramaic "docket." Cf. Pl. 32, No. 55, also Intro., p. 28f., for translation. |
| 23 | X | 7 | 1 | 16 | 5246 | O. of tablet containing faint Aramaic inscr. in black fluid. Cf. Pl. 65, No. 120. |
| 24 | X | 2 | 9 | 25 | 5358 | L. E. of a tablet containing a very faint Aramaic inscr., a copy of which is not given in the texts. Cf. Pl. 34, No. 60. |
| 25 | X | 7 | 1 | 15 | 5291 | U. E. of tablet containing faint "docket" in color. Cf. Pl. 65, No. 119. |


| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Text. } \\ 26 \end{array}$ | Plate. <br> X | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Year. } \\ 2 \end{array}$ | Montr. <br> 9 | Day. <br> 25 | $\begin{gathered} \text { C. B. M. } \\ 5358 \end{gathered}$ | Description. <br> R. E. of tablet with "docket" partially incised, and written with fluid. Cf. PI. 34, No. 60. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | XI | 13 | 7 | 29 | 5366 | R., Lo. E., L. E. and U. E. of a tablet. O. contains seal impr., and Aramaic inscr. The ends contain seal impr., in addition the L. E. contains thumbnail mark. |
| 28, 29 | XII | 1 | 6 | 5 | 5245 | U. E. and L. E. of a tablet containing seal impr., the latter also contains thumb-nail marks. |
| 30 | XII | 2 | 9 | 25 | 5358 | U. E. of tablet with seal impr. Cf. Pl. X, No. 26, also Pl. 34, No. 60. |
| 31 | XII | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | L. E. of tablet containing seal impr. Cf. Pl. 5, No. 7. |
| 32, 33 | XII | 4 | 4 | 11 | 5359 | L. E. and R. E. containing seal impr. Cf. Pl. 46, No. 83. |
| 34 | XIII | 1 | 8 | 2 | 5501 | U. E. of a tablet with seal impr. Cf. Pl. 31, No. 54. |
| 35 | XIII | 1 | 5 | 16 | 5361 | L. E. of a tablet containing three thumb-nail marks. |
| 36 | XIII | 4 | 4 | 11 | 5359 | Lo. E. of tablet with seal impr. Cf. Pl. 46, No. 83. |
| 37, 39 | XIII | 1 | 1 | 2 | Possession of <br> H. V. Hilprecht. | U. E. and Lo. E. of clay tablet with seal impr. Cf. Pl. 5, No. 7. |
| 38 | XIII | 1 | 1 | 16 | 5267 | U. E. of tablet with two seal impr. and a thumb-nail mark. Cf. Pl. 6, No. 9. |
| 40 | XIV | c. 60 | -300 B.C. |  | 5790 | Pottery jar, probably used for wine. The inside is covered with bitumen. There is a hole slightly above the centre, into which, doubtless, a plug or faucet was inserted. Bitumen is smeared about the hole for the purpose of making the jar watertight, after the faucet was inserted. There is a second hole at the same distance from the bottom to the left of the other. Length 32.5, circumference 43.6. |
| 41 | XIV |  | " |  | 10183 | Pottery jar, probably used for wine. Top is frag. Covered with bitumen on the inside. Length 34.5, circumference 34.25 . |
| 42 | XIV |  | " |  | 5761 | Pottery wine jar. Inside is covered with bitumen. Length 33.25, circumference 40.5 . |
| 43 | XV |  |  |  |  | Modern Babylonian water wheel, or nâ'ura. Haditha is seen across the river. Photograph by Haynes, Babylonian Expedition of the University of Pennsylvania. Cf. Hilprecht, Vol. IX, p. 40, and Peters, Nippur, Vol. I, pp. 141-154. |
| 44 | XV |  |  |  |  | Modern Babylonian water wheel, or ná'ura, at 'Anah. Photograph by Wolf Expedition. |
| 45 | XVI |  |  |  |  | Modern Babylonian water wheel, or čered, illustrating ox-power machines of irrigation mentioned in the texts. Ox hides are used to lift the water. Cf. Intro., Vol. IX, p. 40, and Intro., Vol. X, p. 29. Photograph by Wolf Expedition. Cf. Peters, Nippur, Vol. I, pp. 141-154. |
| 46 | XVI |  |  |  |  | A different view of a similar machine, taken by Haynes, one mile below Hillah on the Euphrates. |

Bubalus buffelus (water buffalo), "common Asiatic Buffalo." Used at the present time in the nartabu. "machines for irrigation." Cf. alpu, Intro., p. 29.
48 XVII
Bos indicus (humped bull), "Zebu." Cf. Hilprecht, Assyriaca, Tafel I., also alpu, Intro., p. 29. Used at the present time in machines for irrigation.

## LIST OF SIGNS

## And Their Values．

Only the characters and variants，with their ideographic and phonctic valdes，found in the publibhed tablets of the Murashit urchives，are given，ef．Introduction，p．10．Subdivisions of the $G U G$ and fractions have been omitted． All the ideograms for the gods are given under No．6，aud the cereals，having the determinative SIIE，under No． 179.

| $1 . \vdash$ <br> 2． 14 <br> 3．年 <br> （） <br> 4．5车 <br> 5．喽 <br> 6．H7 <br> 尔 <br> 17 | ash，rum，sil． kal． <br> bal，pal． <br> an． | 特唯 \＆峰 <br> 个 Cf．Id． 252. <br> H开 <br> 肝以 <br> 咩午 <br> 旰埌 <br>  <br> － <br> HF <br> 呯 <br> 以T <br> 呯 4 <br>  <br> 旰 低 <br> 的会 <br>  <br> 叫余甾 <br>  <br> 旰众 <br>  <br>  <br> H <br>  <br> $1-57$ | ina． naddnu． <br> nartabu． <br> irrishu． <br> Arahshamna． <br> ena． <br> nash patru． <br> ilu． <br> Ishtar． <br> Ea． <br> Ninib． <br> Nushu． <br> Lamassu？ <br> $N a b u$ ． <br> Bau． <br> Bêlit． <br> Marduk． <br> Nergal <br> Nergal． <br> Sin． <br> Shamash． <br> Sin． <br> Shamesh． <br> BIL－KIRRUD <br> Ashur． <br> $A d d u$ ． <br> $B e ̂{ }^{2}$. <br> Ea． <br> Gula． <br> Marduk． | 7． <br> 8． $1 \boldsymbol{H}^{7}$ <br> 9． <br> 10．际齐 <br>  <br> 12．告而傦 <br> 13． <br> 14．算 <br> 15．次 <br> 16．次 <br> 17． <br> 18．5 K <br> 分 <br> 告 | muk，muq． <br> $a k, a q$ ． <br> a． <br> mab． <br> la． <br> nu． <br> $k u l, z i r$ ． | MF <br> MF 原欴 <br> 4手边道 <br> 的度高 <br> 时卒 <br> 旰为复 <br> 㟶和筑 <br>  <br> 筑风， <br> 4 <br> Cf．Id． 6 ． <br> Cf．Id． 201. <br> Cf．Id．95． 249. <br> Cf．Id．＇ 256. <br>  <br> Cf．Id． 219. | El． <br> Bćlit． <br> Ninib． <br> Daianu． <br> Daianu？ <br> KUR－GAL． <br> Nabu． <br> Bêl． <br> Bél．${ }^{\text {．}}$ <br> alu． <br> puhrru． <br> pashshitu． <br> siru． <br> $u l, l a$. <br> urqitu． <br> zêru． <br> napharu． <br> nasaru． <br> ardu． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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obverse.

reverse.

obverse


LOWER EDGE


REVERSE.

obverse.


LOWER EDGE.


REVERSE.
3
ONE YEAR'S LEASE OF FISH PONDS.


5
TABLETS WITH ARRMAIC ENDORSEMENTS.
4 RECEIPT FOR THE RENT OF FIEF LANDS
5. THREE YEARS' LEASE OF CERTAIN LANDS


7


8


9


10
thelets with incised aramaic endorsements.


13

16.

TAELETS WITH ENDORSEMENTS IN ARAMAIC.
NO. 14 is WRITTEN WITH BLACK FLUID.
NOS 15 AND 16 RRE INCISED


17


18


19
THBLETS WITH INCISED RRAMAIC ENDORSEMENTS
17. RECEIPT FOR THE RENT OF A HOUSE
18. RECORD OF $A$ DEBT
19. PROMISSORY NOTE.


21
thblets with incised aramaic endorsements, contrining the name OF THE GOD NINIB.

ENLARGED.,



27
ONE YEAR'S LEASE OF SHEEP.
reverse contains aramaic endorsement.


TABLETS WITH SEAL IMPRESSIONS AND THUMB-NAIL MARKS



BREYLONIAN WINE JRRS.


43


44
MODERN BABYLONIAN WATER WHEELS


46
MODERN BABYLONIAN WATER WHEELS.

PL. XVII.


47


48
THE WATER BUFFRLO AND ZEBU USED IN MODERN WORKS OF IRRIGATION.

## CORRECTIONS AND ADDITIONS.

The following are offered in connection with the text of Vol. IX. The originals were examined only when the transliteration or translation seemed to suggest that the text was difterent from the tablet.

## VOL. IX.

1:1. Instead of $h S H A B$ the tablet has $h r \hat{e} \hat{e}^{\prime} \hat{u}$.
$1: 14$. Instead of $Z U$ miqittu the tablet has immerumiqittu.
$7: 17$. Instead of $a-D I-i$ the tablet has $a \cdot k i-i$.
10:21. Instead of $i-N A-e p-s h u$ the tablet has $i-t e-e p-87 u$.
$12: 8$. Scribe omitted amelu before ki-ri-ki-e-ti.
$16: 6$. Instead of $n i-D U-q u$ the tablet bas $n i-i s h-q u$.
18:13. Instead of reading $I 8 \pi-D U-b u-H A-t u$ - $^{2}$ read $18 h-t a-b u-z a-n a-{ }^{\prime}$.
$20: 9$ end. Instead of reading $E N-S H A M-D U$ the tablet has $E N-N U N$-tum.
24:7. Read $e$-lat; rest is mistake of scribe.
25 : 10. "The last perpend. li." can scarcely be regarded as a "mistake of scribe," considering that he wrote $D U R$

- in a similiar way. Cf. $34: 3,11$.
$26: 4$. The fourth character is $87 u$. Cf. 1. 9 end and $29: 19 ; 30: 8$.
$26: 12$. Instead of "Erasure," note should read "Tablet injured."
$26: 17$ end. The tablet has the determ. $m$ before $K a-s i r$.
$29: 24$. $M E S H$ omitted by scribe after $M U-A N-N A$.
$35: 17 . M A N$ omitted by scribe. It should read um-man-nu.
$35: 17$. Instead of $\underset{\sim}{s} u E R$, tablet reads isunartabu.
$35: 22$. Omit $G U R$ between 200 and 50 . Cf. 1. 14. It is a mistake of the scribe.
36 : 3. Transpose $8 h a$ and the determ. $m$. It should read $u m S h a-N a b \hat{u}-s h \hat{u}$.
$44: 24$. Text is correct. Name is to be read Bêl-shum-lil-bir.
48 : U.E. Determ. $m$ omitted before Murashû.
51 : 5 end. Tablet contains $a l u N i n i b-a-8 h a b-s h u-i q-b \%$.
$53: 18$. The sign $K I R R U D$ has on the tablet an additional perpendicular wedge on the left side. Cf. Sign List.
$55: 20$ beginning, $t u$ is omitted by the scribe. Read $u l-t u$.
$59: 1$ end. $l i$ is correct. Cf. $65: 11$.
$59: 11$. Fourth character is to be read $l i$. Cf. li. 1, and 65:11.
$60: 8$ end. The sign on the tablet is kun=87u-kun, $\operatorname{aod}$ not $B A R-N U N$. Cf. Pl. VI.
$65: 7$. $b i$ is omitted by scribe. Read ina hud lib-bi-8hu.
$70: 1$ end. kan is omitted by scribe.
$70: 8$. $h$ omitted by copyist before $S A p l$, which is read $I R p l$, Vol. IX, p. 75.
76: 6. Tablet contains $8 h a$ ina pàmi.
82:7. Instead of DIR tablet has KAR. Read Bêl-êtir.
$82: 11$ end. Tablet reads $u$ sha ina pâni.
102:16. Instead of GLSH read bar=hush-ta-ar-bar-ri.

108. Instead of $A D$ tablet has BIL. Cf. Sigu List, 88, variant.
$26^{\mathrm{a}}: 8$. Tablet reads 1600 instead of 1500 .
$32^{a}: 2$. Instead of $a-D 1-i$ read $a-k i-i$. It is a mistake of the scribe.
VOL. X .
For a goodly number of the following corrections and additions I am indebted to Rev. W. J. Hinke, a member of the Babylonian Seminar.
P. 8, li. 19, read (?) instead of (?) ר.
P. 14, li. 20, read KIRRUD instead of $K I R-R U D$.

## CORRECTION゙S AND ADDITIONS-CONTINvED.

P. 19 li. 11, read ardia instead of ardiia.
P. 24, note, li. 5, read

P. 28, 1i. 21, read, instead of (?) 7 .
 cf. p. 71 .
P. 40, notes, li. 3, omit King, Letters and Inscriptions of Hammurabi, Vol. III, p. 36.
P. 40, notes, ${ }^{\circ}$ li. 7, read אנושת instead of
P. 58 , read $N a$-tu-e-el.
P. 60, notes, li. 2, add the names $N a-a \hbar-d E-s i-\prime$, and $P a-a d-d E-s i$ or Pa-ad-an-E-si. Cf. Const. Ni. 560.

Sign List, No. 252, read eqlu instead of eklu.
Pl. 21, li. 9, scribe omitted $u l$ before $i-s h a l-l a t$.
Pl. 33, li. 14, omit $u t$, mistake of scribe ; read ra-shu-us-su.
Pl. 36, li. 4 end, read 58 gur. Cf. li. 1, 9.
Pl. 63, li. 1, omit eqlu (dittography by scribe).
Pl. 67, li. 2, na omitted. Read na-da-na-a-tu.
Pl. 122, li. 9, omit $u$ (dittography by scribe).
Pl. 129, li. 1, dan-nu orritted by scribe after karpalu. Cf. li. 7.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ On this subject cf. Hilprecht, The Excavations in Assyria and Babyionia, pp. 513, ff. (The Babylonian Expedition of the University of Pennsylvania, Series D, Vol. I).

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ As to Cassite influence, cf. $m N a^{\prime} i d-d S h i ̂ p a k$ (IX), aluBit-mTarbilimma-Harbe (X) and aluBit-dMarudda(u), IX and $X$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Whom I am inclined to recognize in Al-hylindai (IX), "settlement of the Indians," and other expressions occurring in both volumes.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. $a l u B i t-(m) T a-b a-l a-a i$ ( $T a-b a-l u-a i, \quad T a-b a-l u-l a-a \hat{\imath}$ ), X. Cf. also the additional writings Ta-bal-ai, Tab-bal-ai, Tab-la-ai, Tab-alu-ai (and Tab-al-lu-u-a, Ta-ba-li, Tab-li), quoted by Johns, Assyr. Deeds, pp. 48 and 106.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Cf}$. All-Hattai (X), "settlement of the Hittites" or "Khatians."
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. m Ham ( $m$ ) atai ( X ), probably "man from Hamatb" (on the Orontes).
     cities of the Philistines. Cf. also aluBitt-mŜ̂rai (IX, X), unless Sûrai be a hypokoristikon of a name containing צור " rock."
    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{Cf} . \dot{A l}$-Ham (ma)nai (X), "settlement of the Ammonites."
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Cf: aluHashba (IX, X, = חֲשְּוֹן, with the dissolntion of final n) and Al-Hashbai (IX), "settlement of the Heshbonites."
    ${ }^{7}$ Cf. the perconal proper names containing $\operatorname{Amunu}\left({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \mu \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu\right)$ and $d E s i{ }^{\prime}(=\mathrm{Isis})$ in X and the canal Naru sha hMisirai (X).
    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. e.g., Al-Mandirai, "settlement of the Mandireans" (IX), and hGi-mir-ra-ai, "the Kimmerians" (X, 97: 12).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Cheyne and Black, Encyclopadia Biblica, Vol. III (1902), col. 3279 : 'אל, which can scarcely be translated otherwise than "my God." On the other side cf. Gray, Studies in Hebrew Proper Names, pp. 75-86, for the view now also held by the present writer. The literature on the whole subject is given by Gray.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. especially Gray, l.c., p. 85.
    ${ }^{s}$ Cf. the Hebrew Dictionaries. For my purpose it will be sufficient to quote אבי־גיג alongside of (and even אבוּגיל, אבתינר and 1 Sam. 25 ; Sam. 14 (cf. Babyl. SHESH-nûri-' below, which can only mean "A. is my light" =Ahi-nûri); אבי־שלום (1 Kings 15) and Chron. 11, also Gray, l.c., p. 84); אב־של (1 Chron. $3: 6$ ) and אלת
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. however the traces of a final $i$ in the Greek transliteration of certain Şafaitic proper names, below.
    ${ }^{5} A D$ does not necessarily mean $A b i$. As shown below, p. $38 \dagger$, it sometimes must be transliterated $A d$, being a shortened form from $A d a d$ or $A d d u$.
    ${ }^{0}$ I must therefore decline Delitzsch's proposition (B. A., IV, p. 487) : " Das doppelte Ni (NI.NI) ist vielleicht am besten $I l u$, nicht $\grave{l}-l \hat{( }$ (Nagel) oder Ili (King) zu umschreiben," ${ }^{\prime}$-a method also adopted by Daiches, Altbabylonisehe Rechtsurkunden, p. 13, or the view held by other Assyriologists, according to which ili, when written NI-N1, is meant for $i l \hat{l}=$ " my god."

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. e.g., Strassmaier, Nabuchod. 346, where the same persou is written either Ba-ri-ki-ilipl (1. 3) or Ba-ri-ki-il (1. 7), and Nabuchod. 364, where the same person is written $I$-di-hi-il (11.3, 4) or 1 -di-chi-ilipl (1. 9).

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ As $A D=a b i, S H E S H=a h i$ in West－Semitic proper names．
    ${ }^{2}$ Observe the scriptio plena of the final $i$ in elshehri and my remarks in connection with $i l i$ above．

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Pinches in Recueil de Travaux, XIX, pp. 104, f.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Johns, Assyr. Doomsalay Book, p. 31.
    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. the "form '" of dTe-ir, Johns, l.c., p. 17, and the same author's attempt to "read Iltêr, a variant of Ishtar(?) or Il-târi, i.e., 'god of mercy.'"

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Instead of the lengthening of the last vowel ( $A b d i, A b d a, Z a b d \hat{\imath}, Z a b d a, T ִ a b \hat{\imath}, ~$ מיכָא or מִיכָה, etc.) we also find the
     Manna-akî-dIsh-tar-ia, p. 55, below, which should not be translated "who is like my Ishtar," the iâ not belonging to Ishtar, but to the whole name in its shortened form).
    ${ }^{2}$ The lengthening of the last vowel $a$ again is the substitute for the dropping of the last word. The Aram. docket ... מנא has preserved the $א$ אלוה . For the frequent omission of $k \hat{\imath}$ in this class of proper names, cf. Mannu-Bêl-h̆atin, below, Mannu-ah̆e (Johns, Assyr. Deeds, Vol. III, p. 406) alongside of Mannu-kî-aĥe, and Johns, Assyr. Doomsday Book, p. 42.
    ${ }^{3}$ Therefore to be separated from pure Babylonian names like Bêl-abu-ua, Nergal-ri-su-ú-a.
    ${ }^{4}$ On this whole question cf. Jastrow, reprint from Journal of Biblical Literature, pp. 114, ff.
    ${ }^{5}$ In many important details my view is identical with that of Lidzbarski, Ephemeris, II, pp. 1-23.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Vol. IX it was stated that they were baked, cf. pp, 13 and 79.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Z̈ehnpfund, Über babylonische assyrische Tafelschreibung, Stockholm Congress, Leyden, 1893, Vol. II, p. 272.
    ${ }^{3}$ Examined by Professor Amos P. Brown, of the University of Pennsylvania, to whom I submitted lablets spotted with the black substance, and also several kinds of clay from Nippur. He writes: "The black stain that appears upon the tablets seems to be composed of hydroxides of manganese and iron, probably somewhat like the mineral called wad. It is probably formed upon the surface of the tablet by the preciptation of the iron and manganese from solution in water from the soil ; the precipitation being brought about by the composition of the clay of the tablet. I have examined the clay of the Murashû fragments. It contains $32.75 \%$ of calcium carbonate. This has caused the precipitation which is merely superficial, and only penetrates the tablets when they are porous."
    "The use of a clay containing chalk (a marl) was no doubt due to the observation that such clay did not contract strongly or shrink and crack upon drying out. This is due to the fact that the chalk is not hydrous, and will not take up water in combination. The clay weight of the same age, which was submitted, showed $29.05 \%$ of chalk and was made of crude natural clay, containing much grit and sand, which, however, if washed out would show about the same percentage of chalk as the Murashô fragments. The clay of the Cassite period, while thoroughly washed, showed but $28 \%$ of cbalk, which indicated that it was from a different source."

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the different ways the name is written, cf. "The Concordance of Proper Names." Da-ri-ia-a-mush, in these documents, occurs most frequently. Considering that the Babylonian $m$ here stands for $w$ (cf. Haupt, Z. A., II, p. 269), this closely reproduces the Persian Dārayawa(h)ush. Cf. also $\Delta a p \varepsilon a i o g$. and the Biblical $\quad$. A docket on No. 78 contains דריהוש, which more closely represents the pronunciation of the Persian than the Biblical.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Introduction, Vol. IX, p. 14, and p. 4 of the present volume.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ No. 10 is a bond for the release from prison made with Tirirakamma, the bond-servant of Bêl-nâdin-shumu. No. 55 is a partnership contraet between Ninib-muballit, son of Mushêzib and Adgishiri-zabdu, son of Bêl-êrib. In No. 78, we learn that Ninib-muballit paid the taxes of h'udu sha Ribît, son of Bêl-êrib, servant of Rîmût-Ninib, son of Murash $\hat{u}$, which shows that he was connected with the business transactions of the family. In No. 87, a certain Ninib-muballit is mentioned as a servant of Ribatt. In all probability, by reason of the fact that the tablet was found in the archives of the Murashê sons, Ninib-muballit and his master Ribât are the same individuals as those figuring prominently in these documents under the same names as the servants of Rîmut-Ninib.
    ${ }^{2}$ The names of the creditors, ardu sha Rimut-Ninib in 87 , and also in 116, the tablets being fragmentary, are wanting. Tablet 129 was written in the interest of Mfurash $\hat{u}$, the son of Bêl-n $\hat{\alpha} d i n-s h u m u$, and gravdson of Murashû.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. No. 74, however, written in the interest of Bêlitsunu, and 105 and 106 for Ribât, servants of Rîmût-Ninib.

[^12]:    ${ }^{-1}$ Cf. "Table of Contents" under Nos. 6, 28, 49, etc.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is to be observed, however, that some persons had in use more than one seal at the same time. Cf. Vol. IX, Intro., p. 10 (No. 32, Lo. E.). When the seal impressions vary, therefore, we cannot always assume that there was more than one person ly the same name.
    ${ }^{3}$ The open space to the left of the name, in connection with the kunuklku, is where the s:al impression was made (cf. Pl. II), The scribe continued to write around the impression.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the proper legal term for the so-called Aramaic "docket."
    ${ }^{2}$ Notably Nos. 8, 12, 22, 61, 77, 86, 90, 96, 128, 130.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1864, Vol. I, p. 189.
    ${ }^{4}$ These are the only tablets of the Murashît archives thus far published, which omit the name of the scribe and date (cf. p. 3).
    ${ }^{6}$ The characters being so small it has not been found practicable to attempt with the pen a reproduction of these delicate lines (cf., however, Pl. VI).

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ [For a different view, cf. "Editorial Preface."一Ed.]

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hrozny, Mythen von dem Gotte Ninrag, 1. 81, f.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. also
    ${ }^{3}$ Consisting of seventeen short inscriptions and dockets, Journal of the Royal Asiatic Sncicty, 1864, Vol. I, N. S., p. 189 ; cf. also Corpus Inscriptionum Semiticarum, Pars Secunda, Tonıs I. Stevenson, in a handy volume, Assyrian and Babylonian Contracts, has collected all "dockets" published, with a few exceptions, and in addition presents for the first time ten not previously published. His volume contans in all forty-seven "dockets" and short inscriptions.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Tbe Editor holds with Kautzsch (Grammatik des Biblisch-Aramäischen, § 4, Wilhelm Gesenius' Hebräische Grammatik, $\S 2$, section 7) and other scholars, that the Hebrew continued to be spoken in Palestine till the third preChristian century.-Ed]
    ${ }^{2}$ A great many Western Semites adopted Babylonian names (cf. Intro., Vol. IX, p. 28, f.).
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Winckler, Geschichte Bab. u. Ass., p. 179.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Peiser, Aus dem Babylonischen Rechtsleben, III, p. 33.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Jensen, 2. A., Vol. I, p. 189.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. the use of ilupl as singular in the Tell-el-Amarna letters, Barton, American Oriental Society's Proceedings, April, 1892, p. cxevi.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Sign List, No. $86{ }^{2}$, for BIL as it appears on the tablet.
    ${ }^{2}$ I am indebted to Professor Hommel for these two referenees.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Introduction, Vol. IX, p. 18, \& 4, and the Sign List of this volume.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ The only exceptions known to me in Neo-Babylonian literature which cannot be satisfactorily explained are, the frequently occurring Nabû-u-şur-napishtim, and a peculiar name written Nabû-it-tan-ahuu, Strass., Dar., 57 : 14 . [Dippel, Name List.] Cf. also the reading of a strange name in Meissner, Altbab. Priv., 97 : 22, Ili-ishme-hani, "Gott erhörte die Elenden," by Hommel, Altisr., Üb., p. 71. This statement requires the consideration of the following :

    1. Bêl-tash-me-e-ri-ih-tu, " O Bêl, thou hast granted the desire " (Introd., Vol. IX, p. 22), if correctly transliterated and translated would represent a formation which, as far as I know, has no parallel in cuneiform literature. In this connection another name, Bet-taz-kur-shu, Vol. IX, p. 22, must also be considered. Even if the reading were correct, I do not know of an analogous formation, except perhaps Ta-qish-shu-Gule, Strass., Nbk., $435: 18$, if shu is the suffix. In view of the fact also that the sign has not been shown, so far as I know, in this period, to have the value taz and tash, this name should be read Bêl-ana-mâti-shu, a formation similar to Anum-ana-kussìshu, 101:5, or Ninib-ana-biti-shu, $26: 2$. The final elements of these names are omitted according to IX, p. 66, note. Cf. Nabû-ina-kîri-lu-mur, Strass., Cyr., 67:11; or, Bêl-Nippuru-ana-ashri-shu-têr, 117:15. Another objection must be urged against the reading tash-me-e, because the vowel would not be long if considered as a separate element. Of. Nabî-tab-ni-ul-şur, Strass., Nbn., 116:27; Nabù-tul-tab-shicli-shi-ru, Strass., Nbl. 161:5, etc. [With regard to the writing tash-me-e, cf. the very name quoted above, Ili-ish-me-e-ha-ni-e, which I interpret, however, as 'אלישמענ. Ed.] Finally, the proper reading for the name is Rel-ana-me-e-ri-ill-tu, as determined by the variant Bêl-a-na-me-e-ri-ili-ti, Strass, Dar., 379 : 38 [Dippel, Name List], and Bèl-a-na-mi-ri-hi-tu, Strass., Dar., 434 : 24.
    2. Johns in saying that Sin-nâdin-ahu $u$ and Sin-iddina-ahुu are both possible readings (cf. American Journal
[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Nûrêa, etc., Delitzsch, Handwörterbuch, p. $440:$ b, A8s. Grammar, S $74: 1$, note.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prof. Hilprecht informs me that in his lectures on the nouns (followed by a suffix), he has suggested these two theories, and also a third possibility, viz., that it really is a long vowel to be translated by a preposition $=$ riṣ̂ua, "as (like) my helper."
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Hilprecht, Assyriaca, p. 70, note 4, and p. 105 (1. 17 from end).
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. on the $o$ vowel, Haupt, Z. A., II, p. 259 ff .

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Besides the names given in the Concordances of both volumes, cf. $\quad$ Uu-u-na-tan(-an)-na, C. B. $\bar{M} ., 5510$, and Ia-a-hu-u-za-bad-du, C. B. M., 5512.
    ${ }^{2}$ The names not otherwise indicated are found in Vol. IX and the present texts.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Journal of Biblical Literature; Vol. XIV, p. 114.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf. Pinches, Proc. Soc. Bib. Arch., Vol. XV, p. 14, f.
    ${ }^{5}$ Cf. Strassmaier, Dar., $310: 4$.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aufsätze und Abhandlungen, I, p. 3. Sayce, Higher Criticism and the Monuments, p. 470. ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Prof. Franz Delitzsch, Zeitschrift für dic Altentestamentliche Wissenschaft, II, p. 173. ${ }^{3}$ The final short vowel as in Jôva, would not be represented in Hebrew.

[^25]:    Annotations: No. 4, L. 4. $a-d i$ muh-hi $a$-sice sharri is an expression not found elsewhere, so far as I know. The tablet is the first dated in the new reign, in fact it was written either on the first or second day, cf. p. 2. It may refer to the time when the new king officially visited the cities; or perhaps the house was rented for the uncertain period terminating with the reign, for a representative of the crown, or for the use of a prince who lived in Nippur.

[^26]:    Annotations: No. 6, L. 1. CLIV-ta. When ta accompanies numerals it doubtless is to be regarded as a phonetic complement, like it in ishtênit(-it). Throughout these texts $t a$ is added to numerals only when found in connection with fem substantives, e g., CLIV-t" lahru rabittit-lit-tum. Cf. naphar IV-ta isuqashtu, 107:5; adi V-ta shanâti, $107: 10$, etc. In the sheep and goat leases, 130, 131,139, besides Vol. IX : 1 aud five similar unpublished texts, the only words in which the geader varies as determined by the numerals is mu-ut-ta-tu and mi-qit-tu (see below). L. 4. enzu = the female goat, but stands as well for goat in general, just like ṣ̂nu does for sheep and goats. L. 5. şi-en piṣ̂̂tu u şalmîti. piṣ̣̂̂tu refers to the sheep and ṣalmâti to the goats in Vol. IX, 1: 4, where naphar 1085 -tcc şi-en piṣ̂tu follows the enumeration of sheep, and naphar 238 ṣi-en ṣalmâti, l. 4, the goats. After the sum total is given, the above phrase, şi-in-pişôtu u şalmitit, follows. L. 6. mi-il-du is used interchangeably with tam-lit-tu in these texts. For the former cf. $130: 6,15$; for the latter 132:6, 14, Vol. IX, 1:8,21. Tallquist, Die Sprache der Contracte Nabûnîid's, reads Nbn. 266:9, zal-lit-tu. Delitzsch, Handuörterbuch, p. 195b, reads tam-lit-tu, but does not translate. Peiser, $K . B, I V$, p. 194, and Bab. Rech'sl., III, p. 44, rightly translates "Geburten." The context of $N b n .266$ as well as the Murashî texts require a trauslation like this. A flock of sheep, two-thirds of which are bearing ewes, could almost be doubled within a year's time. It is to be expected that in a

[^27]:    Annotations: No. 106, Li. 1. lah-ri. In Nos. 131 and 132, as well as Vol. IX, I, three different ages of male goats and sheep are specified, while only two of the female are given. In this tablet, as well as in No. 105, the same is true with respect to the goats, but exactly the reverse would be the case as regards the sheep if latrru is construed as feminine, as GANAM usually is elsewhere. Taking into consideration all the sheep and goat leases the word can only mean here the two-year-old male sheep = immeru mâr shattu shanî. For an illustration of a species of sheep and goats of early Babylonia, cf. Hilprecht, O. B. I., Part 2, Vol. I, p. $47 f$. L. 8. salindu( $-i n-d u$ ) is an example of two phonograms used as a phonetic complemeut. Cf. also $S E-$ inn-nu-' $^{\prime}=$ inamdinn $\hat{u}, 132: 18$. Endorsement. The reading of the stroke inserted between the $p$ and $\kappa$ as $j=\pi j$ Dr. Littmann has kindly suggested.

[^28]:    Annotations: No. 8, Li.15. ta-a-bi may refer to kurunnu, in which case malû is misplaced. Cf. 200 karpatudannu ma-lu-ui kurunni tabi, Strass.. Nbn., 787: 13. gu-rab "bottles," cf. Arab. gurub, "leather-sack," and Aramaic "bottles." For other occurrences of the word cf. $4: 10,11$, and Vol. IX, 21: 1, $43: 4$.

[^29]:    $\dagger E-G A L-M A H$ is identified with Nippur as well as Ur and Nisin, cf. Code of Hammurabi, Col. II, and the name of a gate in Nippur, abullu E-GAL-MAB. In Vol. IX it is abbreviated abullu MAH read "abullu rabê" in Intro. King, Letters and Inseriplions of Hammurabi, Vol. III, p. 36. Cf. hmar-D U $R-A N-K I-a i(C . B . M ., 5516)$, also connected with the temple at Nippur. According to Prof. Hilprecht, $E$-GAL-MAH on Const. Ni. $611: 11$ has the determ. $d$.
    $\ddagger$ The god NIN-IB in this period was pronounced quite differeutly. Cf. Introduction, p. 8. The usual transliteration, Ninib, however, is retained, becausc a definite reading has not as yet bcen ascertained.
    $\S[$ Arta $+a p \bar{a} m$, change of $a$ into $u(o)$ caused by the following labial, cf. 'Iprâdu-pirna' $=$ Fräta-far. nah.-Ed.]
    $\|[\mathrm{Cf}$. also $A r$-za-' a slave of Bêtshamesur, Strassmaier, Nabonidus, and Ar-ri-zu, Johns, Assyr. Doomsday Book, p. 45. This and the following name, Ashkula', arc probably Semitic, cf. Bi. ,

[^30]:    $\dagger$ [The use of Bit-ili as a god (cf. Vol. IX, p. 42) in the above name and Const. Ni. $537: 11$, is West-Semitic (cf. Zimmern, K. A. T.', p. 437,f.). In view of the last syllable " $r i$ " (cf. Ed. Preface) we expect a West-Semitic root as second element, beginning with a guttural and ending in $r$, in other words 7 , so commonly found in this class of names. I am therefore inclined to regard the character read $K A L$ ( $R I B, D A N$, etc.) above, as identical with the sign found in Johns, Assyr. Deeds, III, p. 413 and p. xv, and other names, i.e., as a mere variant (no scribal error) of the sign DIR (Brünnow, List, 3717), on the occasional similarity of which with DAN, cf. Delitzsch, A. L. ${ }^{4}$, p. 129 (No. 178), and p. 124 (No. 89). The two names accordingly would mean: Bit-ili-a-dir-ri, "B. is helping" (Part.), and $A$-dir-Ha-an (Johns, l.e.), "God Hän is helping." Possibly DIR also had the value DAR (cf. $A$-dar-ri-ili and Ha-da-ri-ili.-E d.$]$
    $\ddagger[$ This name is probably to be read Pu ur-ha-at and identical with the name Pir ru-ka-a-tu, below, therefore Persian.-Ed.]
    $\S[\operatorname{Or} D(T) a b d(t) a b a$ ' ! If we read $T a-a b-d a-m a-$ ', the name may be translated "God Dama' is good " (cf. Tâb-
     compared with $D U G-G A-i$ (ib., No. 277, R. 5, and Vol. III, p. 494). As to the god dDamu cf. Zimmern, Shurpu, VII, 78, Hommel, Aufs. und Abh., pp. 464, f., and Ranke, Personennamen der Hammurabidynastie, p. 17.-Ed.]
    || [Pe. Read Da-da-pir-na-', cf. $\Delta a \tau a \phi \dot{p} p v n s .-E d$.

